Volume 3 Pages 386 - 622 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM H. ALSUP WAYMO, LLC Plaintiff, No. C 17-00939 WHA vs. UBER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC., OTTO TRUCKING, LLC, and OTTOMOTTO, LLC San Francisco, California Defendants. Tuesday) February 6, 2018 7:22 a.m. TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS APPEARANCES: QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER For Plaintiff: & SULLIVAN, LLP 50 California Street 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 BY: CHARLES KRAMER VERHOEVEN, ESQ. JORDAN R. JAFFE, ESQ. DAVID ANDREW PERLSON, ESQ. MELISSA J. BAILY, ESQ. DAVID EISEMAN, ESQ. ANDREA PALLIOS ROBERTS, ESQ. JAMES DUBOIS JUDAH, ESQ. QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART, OLIVER & SULLIVAN, LLP 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 825 Washington, DC 20004 BY: JARED WESTON NEWTON, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

Reported By: Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR Katherine Sullivan CSR 5812, CRR, RMR

APPEARANCES (Continued)

For Plaintiffs: QUINN, EMANUEL, URQUHART & OLIVER

& SULLIVAN, LLP

865 South Figueroa Street

10th Floor

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: DUANE R. LYONS, ESQ.

For Defendants: MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

425 Market Street

San Francisco, California 94105

BY: ARTURO J. GONZÁLEZ, ESQ. MICHAEL A. JACOBS, ESQ.

ESTHER KIM CHANG, ESQ. THOMAS J. PARDINI, ESQ.

MORRISON AND FOERSTER LLP

707 Wilshire Boulevard

Suite 6000

Los Angeles, California 90017

BY: WENDY JOY RAY, ESQ.

For Defendants: BOIES, SCHILLER AND FLEXNER, LLP

5301 Wisconsin Avenue, NW

Washington, DC 20015

BY: KAREN LEAH DUNN, ESQ.

MICHAEL A. BRILLE, ESQ.

MARTHA LEA GOODMAN, ESQ.

BOIES, SCHILLER AND FLEXNER, LLP

435 Tasso Street

Suite 205

Palo Alto, California 94301

BY: MEREDITH R. DEARBORN, ESQ.

(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)

APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED)

For Defendants: SUSMAN, GODFREY, LLP

1000 Louisiana Street

Suite 5100

Houston, Texas, 77002

BY: JOSEPH S. GRINSTEIN, ESQ.

SUSMAN, GODFREY, LLP

1301 Avenue of the Americas

32nd Floor

New York, New York 10019

BY: WILLIAM CARMODY, ESQ.

SHAWN RABIN, ESQ.

SUSMAN, GODFREY, LLP

1201 Third Avenue

Suite 3800

Seattle, Washington 98101

BY: MATTHEW ROBERT BERRY, ESQ.

Special Master: FARELLA BRAUN & MARTEL, LLP

Russ Building, 30th Floor

235 Montgomery Street

San Francisco, California 94104

BY: JOHN L. COOPER, ESQ.

Also Present: DEMARRON BERKLEY

ERIC MEYHOFER

TONY WEST

AARON BERGSTROM

- - -

Tuesday, February 6, 2018 7:22 a.m.
P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
000
(The following proceedings were held in open court,
outside the presence of the jury:)
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. Have a seat,
please.
What was it that was filed this morning that what was
it that was filed this morning that Waymo hasn't had a chance
to respond to?
MR. EISEMAN: Your Honor, we filed a brief this
morning responding to defendants' brief with respect to the
Stroz documents.
THE COURT: Right. I did see that.
MR. EISEMAN: I
THE COURT: I thought there was something else that I
didn't what was it you wanted me to ask about?
Oh, there's one about Bill Gurley. All right. You need
to respond to that in due course.
MR. EISEMAN: He's not going to be called today, Your
Honor, so we will.
THE COURT: All right. So, listen, I have a few
things to take up with you.
I received a huge packet, very thick. And it's impossible
for an ordinary mortal to manhandle, so I had to then break it

up.

This is your deposition designations with all the objections. And I did get -- manage to get -- so I broke it up. And I'm giving you, now, my rulings on Mr. Bares. The clerk will hand this to you now.

Angie, would you hand this to Waymo.

But the rest of it I want you to redo -- I'm handing that also to you -- because the ones that you highlighted in blue was so dark I could hardly read it. It took a magnifying glass. I mean, what was going through your mind when put it in such a dark blue? It might as well have been redacted.

That's number one.

Number two, you have so many pages in there that are just lined out totally. You don't need to put in the lined-out pages, the ones that are totally lined out. And I want you to put one of these stickers on the pages where there is something I've got to rule on.

I must have wasted half of my time going through page after page that had nothing for me to rule on. I want you to put a tag on there, I'll turn right to it, I'll say "in" or "out." That's all I'll say. Sometimes I'll say, "Out, 403."

All right. So now you've got Mr. Bares. So you've got to resubmit these.

MR. JUDAH: Yeah. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And use -- like, yellow was good; but dark

blue, no good. Okay.

Now, on your problem with the Stroz report, I'll tell you what I think the answer is based on many years of trial work and 19 years on this job.

Uber hired Stroz. Now, I know that you say, "Oh, no, it was MoFo that hired Stroz." Uber set up this elaborate system. Even put in the best light for Uber, Uber wanted to get at the truth of what was going on.

So, to my mind, anything that those investigators at Stroz wrote down as having been said by Levandowski or anyone else is accurate and will -- that's just step one. But Uber cannot be heard to say that it's hearsay. It's -- it is so attributable to Uber, it would be an injustice to say that Uber gets to run away from that due diligence report.

So step one is Uber's stuck with it, at least stuck with the conclusion that the witness, whoever that was, told Stroz that information.

Now, there's a second step. It could be that that is hearsay. For example, if witness X said, "Hey, witness Y told me that he did ABC," now, that's a different problem. We will certainly say that it's true that that was told to -- to the Stroz people, but it's hearsay. So we'd have to figure out, is there a hearsay exception to that?

Now, I was shocked to find, I said, "Oh, this is great.

Look, the lawyers did something good. It's only five pages."

Who is it up there who told me five pages? 1 I said, "For once, they came through." 2 And she said, "No, there's 300 pages -- 300 exhibits down 3 in the clerk's office somewhere." 4 5 I said, "What? 300 exhibits?" Well, there's no way I can go through 300. I've given you 6 7 the ground rule, and you go through the 300 and you figure it out. 8 You're stuck with that report on the Uber side. 9 for Uber that you set up this elaborate system. But you're 10 11 stuck with the fact that anything Stroz wrote down, it might as well be that Uber wrote it down. But, still, there could be a 12 13 subsidiary problem. Now, I'm also going to rule that all of those people like 14 15 Levandowski and Lior, they were Ottomotto at the time. 16 that they, on the Waymo side, took inconsistent positions on 17 that. All right. But, nevertheless, at the time of that 18 acquisition, they were Ottomotto. And Ottomotto is in the 19 case; Ottomotto is a defendant. So anything that they said 20 comes -- sails into evidence. The Levandowski memo, for 21 example -- the interview notes, sails into evidence because he 22 was -- there are two steps and all that. 23 Now, there could be other people who are not at Ottomotto. I'm saying those are -- those, we'll have to figure out some 24 25 other way that those get into evidence.

That's the broad picture, number one.

Broad picture number two is the entire thing comes into evidence from notice to Uber. Even if it was just one lawyer at Uber who knew about it and read the report or even got the report and didn't read it, they're stuck with everything in there that came over -- over the transom to Uber. That's for purposes of notice, meaning that they were on notice of all the, quote, bad acts, close quote, that were lurking there in the report.

Now, with the benefit of those two guidelines, I want you to sort through the 300 on your own and bring to me the problems that remain.

All right. I think I'm done with my part. Anything you want to raise with me this morning?

MR. GONZÁLEZ: One brief thing, Your Honor.

If you recall, we raised with you previously the issue of these YouTube videos, basically commercials for Waymo. And we agreed that they could show one during opening statement. At some point, you recall they showed one with the three cars driving around.

Now they want to show a different video to the witness who's on the stand right now, Mr. Dolgov. And our view is enough is enough. It's irrelevant. It's prejudicial.

The jury doesn't need to see these cars driving around.

At one point, they pick up a blind guy and say, Aren't we great

```
for giving this blind guy a ride?
 1
          I just don't think we need that. That's not the issue in
 2
     trial.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
 4
 5
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Your Honor, this is about a minute video.
     It goes through a little bit of history of the program.
 6
     the part that Mr. González is referring to is the world's first
 7
     autonomous ride, and I think it's an important part of the
 8
     history of the company.
 9
          Dr. Dolgov was personally involved in that and can testify
10
11
     as such.
               I think it's important to give context in the history
     and the development of the self-driving program.
12
                          All right. What do you say about the Good
13
              THE COURT:
14
     Samaritan scene where the driverless car stops and picks up a
15
     blind guy?
16
              MR. JAFFE:
                          I'm sorry?
17
              THE COURT:
                          What about the blind quy?
              MR. JAFFE:
                          That's part of the video. That's who they
18
19
     took on the world's first autonomous drive was someone who was
20
     blind.
21
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: And, Your Honor, it's hearsay. You've
     got somebody narrating, through the whole video, the history of
22
23
             It's all hearsay.
                                And it's --
     Wavmo.
              THE COURT: But is it untrue? Is it untrue what they
24
25
     say --
```

```
MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                             T --
 1
              THE COURT: -- in the video?
 2
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: I don't know, Your Honor.
 3
              THE COURT: Well, then, see, you -- why are you
 4
 5
     objecting if it's not -- usually, you object when something's
 6
     untrue.
              But you're just objecting for the sake of objecting.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: No, we're objecting to it because it's
 7
     hearsay, Your Honor. Because they've got a video that's a
 8
     commercial of the company that's got somebody talking, and what
 9
     they're saying is hearsay.
10
11
          If they want to ask the witness, "When did you give the
     first person a ride?" they can say it was in January of 2009 or
12
13
     whatever. He can give the history.
          But you don't put on a video -- this is a -- you know
14
15
     what? Can we just play it for Your Honor? It's one minute.
16
     You need to see it to understand.
17
              THE COURT: All right. Play it. Let's see it.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Can you play it? What -- you quys want
18
19
     to --
20
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Sure.
21
                          By the way, is that screen -- that big
              THE COURT:
     screen there seems blurry to me. This screen is much clearer.
22
23
     Maybe it's just me.
                          Does this one seem blurry to you?
                          A little bit.
24
              MR. JAFFE:
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right. The one on the screen is
```

```
1
     sharp.
             That one up there seems blurry.
          All right. I'm watching -- is it going?
 2
              MR. JAFFE:
                          For the record --
 3
              THE COURT:
                          All right. So what --
 4
 5
          (Video played.)
              THE COURT:
                          So what does it prove? It is hearsay.
 6
 7
     What are you going to prove with this?
              MR. JAFFE: We're not putting this into evidence.
 8
     This is a demonstrative that goes with his testimony and his
 9
     explanation as to the history of the Project Chauffeur and some
10
11
     of the developments over time. I'm going to ask him on the
     stand, can you explain to us what you're looking at and how
12
     that relates to what you've just testified about?
13
              THE COURT:
                          Why can't he just testify to it?
14
15
                          Well, these are visuals that accompany his
              MR. JAFFE:
16
     testimony. And if the concern is the narrater, we can turn it
17
     down.
            I'm planning on asking him while the video is playing,
     "Can you explain to us what we're looking at?"
18
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, I've just got to tell you.
19
     You know, this case is not about who -- goody two-shoes.
20
                                                                You
21
     know, they got this blind guy walking out to the car and
     they've got the letters out there. He's the CEO of the blind
22
23
     center.
          I mean, come on, you know, it's about eight trade secrets.
24
25
     This is so far removed. It's so far removed from the eight
```

```
"Testing every day since 2009." Is that really
 1
     trade secrets.
 2
     true? You don't have witnesses come in and say that on a
     video.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Here's the thing: You can
 4
 5
              Turn off the audio. And he can narrate it under
           And if I think it's too -- if he gets out of hand, I'm
 6
     oath.
 7
     going to give a strong admonition to the jury that you might
     regret. So don't abuse yourself.
 8
                      What else?
 9
          All right.
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
10
              MR. JAFFE:
11
              MS. DUNN: Good morning, Your Honor.
          The parties have done, I think, a good job of working out
12
     the issues related to Mr. Kalanick's testimony, but there are
13
     two issues that remain. The first is --
14
15
                          Is he going to come today?
              THE COURT:
16
              MS. DUNN: As Waymo's witness, but I believe so.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
              MS. DUNN: And actually as our witness due to an
18
19
     agreement that we can go beyond the scope.
                                                 So one of these,
20
     I'll handle; the other, Ms. Goodman will handle.
21
          But the first also relates to a video, which is that
     Mr. Kalanick received a text message from Mr. Levandowski where
22
    Mr. Levandowski includes a link to the movie Wall Street, where
23
     Michael Douglas gives an impassioned speech --
24
              THE COURT:
                          I remember that. That's one of the best
25
```

```
moments in all of Hollywood.
 1
 2
          (Laughter.)
              MS. DUNN:
                         That's right. And I'm glad Your Honor
 3
 4
     mentioned Hollywood. It's a work of fiction, obviously.
 5
     And --
 6
              THE COURT:
                          I'm going to take judicial notice that
     it's all true.
 7
          (Laughter.)
 8
              MS. DUNN: May be true for Michael Douglas, but this
 9
     is not a speech given by Mr. Kalanick. So we believe this is
10
     not relevant.
11
          It is extremely prejudicial to play --
12
                         Let's say it is fictional. Let's say it
13
              THE COURT:
     is totally fictional. But if Levandowski says, "Hey, this quy
14
15
     is telling my story, greed, greed, greed, " why isn't that
16
     totally admissible?
17
              MS. DUNN: Because it's completely attenuated from
     anything in this case.
18
19
          There's no indication Mr. Kalanick responded to this
20
     message, that he remembers the message, that he ever looked at
21
     the link, that he ever gave this speech, which is
22
     Mr. Levandowski's suggestion.
          It's unclear to me why this would come in.
23
     literally no argument to play a free-floating work of fiction
24
25
     and movie.
```

```
And even if Mr. Verhoeven makes some creative argument,
 1
 2
     you're still playing --
                         Wait. Are you planning on putting in the
              THE COURT:
 3
     entire movie?
 4
 5
          (Laughter.)
              THE COURT:
                          What are you trying to put in?
 6
                              No, Your Honor.
 7
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
          What -- and I can hand up the exhibit if you'd like to
 8
     look at it. It's a text message dated March 19th, right --
 9
     right when the Stroz investigation is going on, personally from
10
11
     Mr. Levandowski to Mr. Kalanick's personal phone number.
     the quote of the text is, "Here's the speech you need to give,
12
     wink, wink." And there's a link to the YouTube video. And it
13
     is indeed Michael Douglas's "greed is good" speech.
14
15
          It's only about a minute long. And I think it's highly
16
     relevant to show that these guys were in cahoots and this was
17
     something they were doing that shows their state of mind.
                                                                 And
     it's highly probative for the jury to see that they were both
18
19
     privately talking in this manner, Your Honor.
20
          It's something that he received. If, for example --
21
              THE COURT:
                          That who received?
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Kalanick.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          Kalanick.
                              So, for example, if Kalanick received
24
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     a text that attached a document, I would be able to show him
25
```

1 the document and I would be able to say, you know, "Do you 2 remember this? Did you read it? Did you determine what it was?" 3 And, you know, it's admissible. And he can say whatever 4 5 he wants about it. If he wants to say, you know, "I never saw 6 it," the jury will be entitled to believe or not believe that. 7 But this is a personal --THE COURT: Do we have any forensic evidence that he 8 9 clicked on the YouTube and actually watched it? MR. VERHOEVEN: All we have is a text message, Your 10 11 Honor. We have to tell you, these text messages were all deleted and forensically recovered. But it was to him --12 This is one of the ones that was deleted 13 THE COURT: 14 and then recovered; is that true? I want you to be clear on 15 that. 16 MR. VERHOEVEN: I don't know offhand, Your Honor, but 17 many, many of these texts were forensically recovered. Well, okay. 18 THE COURT: But --I can find out, Your Honor. 19 MR. VERHOEVEN: That would be worth knowing. 20 THE COURT: 21 MR. VERHOEVEN: But --I'm going to wait until you have it ready 22 THE COURT: 23 to go, but I'm not going to rule on whether or not -- I'm going to wait to hear what Kalanick says about it before I decide 24 25 whether you get to show it.

```
Well, how can he answer without seeing
 1
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     what it was?
 2
                          Well, because he might recognize the text
              THE COURT:
 3
     message and might recognize the thing and say, "Yeah, I
 4
 5
     remember that. You know, that was a great movie.
                                                         That was --
 6
     I know the scene."
 7
          So he -- I don't know what he's going to say. Did you ask
     him at his deposition?
 8
 9
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              No, I did not. I don't think I had
     this, Your Honor.
10
11
          But it's to him.
                            And, under any other circumstance, I
     would be able to show him a text that he received in the normal
12
     course and ask him about the content of that text.
13
          And if there's a link to another document, which this is,
14
15
     I should be able to show him the document and say, "Did you
16
     look at this?"
17
          It's just like the text. It's just a link --
              THE COURT: Maybe, I -- but your case is stronger if
18
19
     he admits he actually did see it?
              MR. VERHOEVEN: Of course it is, yes. But we're
20
21
     talking about admissibility and whether I can show it to him
     and ask him whether he saw it.
22
23
              THE COURT: You might be right anyway, but I still
     want to wait.
24
25
                 Go ahead.
          Okay.
```

Your Honor, first of all, these texts we 1 MS. DUNN: all produced to Mr. Verhoeven. And he never asked Mr. Kalanick 2 about this. There is nothing to suggest that he clicked on 3 this link and watched this video. 4 5 THE COURT: Wait. Are you telling me that this is not one of the recovered ones? Does anyone actually know? 6 7 sides just quote, think, close quote. No one knows. MS. DUNN: Ms. Goodman will address this area. 8 9 MS. GOODMAN: Judge, this text message was actually produced by Mr. Levandowski. It bears the Levandowski Bates 10 11 And my recollection is these texts were produced in May or June of this past year, prior to Mr. Kalanick's production 12 13 of text messages. When was Kalanick deposed? 14 THE COURT: 15 MS. GOODMAN: In July. So this text message was 16 available. 17 I also want to note for the record that this Trial Exhibit 10312, Waymo has added a printout of the -- of the web 18 19 page that isn't actually part of the production. So the first page is a Levandowski document, and then 20 21 Waymo just added two pages to it, which makes the exhibit --I don't understand your point. 22 THE COURT: 23 MS. GOODMAN: That they added to the text message. Mr. Kalanick did not receive this next page of the exhibit. 24 All he received was a link. 25

We have no problem removing those 1 MR. VERHOEVEN: pages, Your Honor. We were trying to give notice as to what 2 the link was. 3 Well, the fact that Waymo is adding its own MS. DUNN: 4 5 visuals to exhibits that have been produced in this case is disturbing and, I think, speaks to their motive in playing this 6 video, which the whole point is to sway the jury and play on 7 their emotions with no basis in fact or foundation. 8 This is -- you know, if Your Honor would like, there are 9 cases where courts have excluded similar evidence under a 10 11 similar circumstance. I can read those cites into the record. But I think given that -- it just seems to play a 12 free-floating piece of fiction movie where Michael Douglas is 13 saying "greed is good" is designed for one purpose and one 14 15 purpose only, and that purpose is not permitted. 16 THE COURT: I'm not sure you're right about that. 17 MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor --THE COURT: Part of the whole theory of the plaintiffs 18 19 here is Uber got greedy and wanted to jump on the subway and 20 cheat their way to the finish line. And greed fits into that 21 narrative. I don't know. 22 I --23 Well, not with Hollywood movies, Your MS. DUNN: 24 Honor. I mean, if they want to use the evidence they actually 25

```
have in this case and ask Mr. Kalanick about that, that's one
 1
     thing. They should be able to prove the case on the evidence
 2
     they have, not bring in, you know, Hollywood scenes.
 3
                          This is evidence -- this is evidence that
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
     is part of the res gestae of the case. Levandowski sends a
 6
     text with a link to the greed movie and says, "Wink, wink.
     Give this speech."
 7
          All right. I'm going to withhold ruling on this until I
 8
     see how the examination of Kalanick goes on this point.
 9
                                                               So you
     may have to do some serious work to lay the foundation.
10
                                                               But
11
     maybe it comes in.
                         I'm not going to rule right now.
          Okay. You said you had two points.
12
13
              MS. DUNN: For the record, can I read the cites in
14
     just so we have them?
15
              THE COURT:
                         No.
                               You can read one cite in.
16
              MS. DUNN: All right. 635 F.3d 480. It's an Eleventh
17
     Circuit case from 2011.
              THE COURT: Great. What else? You said there were
18
19
     two points.
              MS. DUNN: Ms. Goodman is going to handle the next
20
21
     one.
22
              THE COURT:
                          All right. So say your name again,
23
     please.
              MS. GOODMAN: Martha Goodman.
24
```

Goodman. All right. Please, what's your

THE COURT:

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

point? MS. GOODMAN: We understand from conferring with Waymo that they would like to use a letter that Mr. Kalanick received from a number of investors, in connection with his resignation, that asks him to resign. To be completely forthright, the letter says one thing about this lawsuit but does not tie in the lawsuit to the reasons that the investors are requesting the -- his resignation. THE COURT: Could I see the letter, please? MS. GOODMAN: Yeah. By the way, that's a MR. VERHOEVEN: misrepresentation. We told them we are not going to use the letter or try to put it into evidence. We will only use it to refresh his recollection. He already testified in deposition to the evidence we want to elicit. And I want Your Honor to know that we've said that. THE COURT: All right. Well, what do you -- see, there's --MR. VERHOEVEN: There's a bunch of stuff in that letter that's not appropriate, and we never intend to use it. THE COURT: All right. But when you use something to refresh memory, you never say it out loud. That's correct, Your Honor. MR. VERHOEVEN: THE COURT: You just hand him the document, and you

```
don't do theatrics and say, "Oh, see this? Does that refresh
 1
 2
     your" -- no, you don't get to do that. You just say, "Here's
     Exhibit 916. Please read that. Does that refresh your
 3
 4
     memory?"
 5
          And then let's say he says no. That's it. You don't get
 6
     to use it anymore.
                              I understand, Your Honor. And that's
 7
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     exactly what we told them, contrary to counsel's
 8
 9
     representation --
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Goodman, what's wrong with
10
     that?
11
              MS. GOODMAN: Mr. Verhoeven is correct; he did tell me
12
13
     that.
          I didn't get to my next point, which was, after
14
15
    Mr. Kalanick says "this doesn't refresh my recollection," they
16
     then want to move on to his deposition testimony for
17
     impeachment purposes, which they will mischaracterize because
     he -- he answers one question and then continues on, which, if
18
19
     Your Honor would like, I can read it to make clear that the way
20
     in which they want to impeach him with this is improper.
21
          So Mr. Verhoeven asked in deposition, "Did anyone" --
              THE COURT: Read slowly so I can follow. Read slowly,
22
23
    please.
              MS. GOODMAN:
24
                            Sure.
               "Did anyone indicate to you that the Waymo lawsuit
25
```

```
1
          was a factor in your movement of the position out of the
 2
          CEO?"
          The deponent:
                         "Yes."
 3
          "Q.
                      Who did that?
 4
 5
          ۳A.
                    They didn't say it. It wasn't like a thing
          they said. But it was in a letter that was given to
 6
          me -- that was told to me" --
 7
                          Look, I got it. I just -- this is me
 8
              THE COURT:
     reading the newspapers, but I believe Mr. Kalanick was removed
 9
     or encouraged to leave for a long laundry list of problems that
10
11
     had -- this case might have been one of them, but there was at
     least five other things.
12
13
          And it would be misleading to leave the impression with
14
     the jury that he got fired on account of this case. So that
15
     whole subject is off.
16
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Well, Your Honor --
17
                          Don't even go there, please.
              THE COURT:
                              Can I just respond?
18
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
19
              THE COURT:
                          No.
                                I understand your argument.
20
          What is your argument? Go ahead. You can respond.
21
          (Laughter.)
                              The question is that I asked him, "Was
22
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     it one factor?"
23
                          That's not enough.
24
              THE COURT:
                                               Then we have to get
     into all of the other bad things to explain he got fired for a
25
```

```
whole -- this is a trick. I'm not going to fall for it.
 1
     You're not going to get into this.
 2
              MR. VERHOEVEN: Just for the record, so I can just
 3
     plead my case, Your Honor, the reason we think this is
 4
 5
     important is it shows the significance -- they're trying to say
     this is nothing, these aren't real trade secrets, this isn't a
 6
     biq deal.
 7
          And I think it shows it's a big deal.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          No.
                               That's so far removed and attenuated
 9
     that doesn't even get halfway to first base. So that subject
10
11
     matter is off. It's out, o-u-t.
12
          All right. You can appeal that one.
13
          Okay. Do you have anything more, Ms. Goodman?
              MS. GOODMAN: I have one housekeeping matter, but it
14
15
     could wait until the end of the day if Your Honor wants to get
16
     the jury in.
17
              THE COURT: Well, we'll see if the jury is present.
     If they are, we'll get going.
18
19
          Yes?
                              I have a couple more, just to avoid
20
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
21
     interruptions --
                          The door is open, but I don't see anybody
22
              THE COURT:
23
     there.
          Please, go ahead.
24
              MR. VERHOEVEN: -- for the Kalanick cross.
25
```

So we're going to play, before Kalanick, the deposition 1 2 testimony of Mr. Bares. And Mr. Bares took detailed notes of meetings that he had with Mr. Kalanick and with the team. 3 And those will be in evidence after his deposition is played and he 4 5 authenticates all of those documents, Your Honor. And so I intend to ask him about a few entries in his 6 7 notes where he says "meeting with TK" and has a summary. I -- I don't know for sure, but I think that they might jump up 8 9 and object to that as some sort of improper examination. Look, if it's already in evidence --10 THE COURT: 11 MR. VERHOEVEN: Yes, Your Honor. -- and it refers to TK --12 THE COURT: MR. VERHOEVEN: 13 Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: -- you can ask TK about that event. 15 MR. VERHOEVEN: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: What's wrong with that? 17 MS. GOODMAN: Your Honor, you already today said that the Bares deposition clips weren't ready. You weren't able --18 19 THE COURT: Well, I did the Bares. I handed the Bares back. 20 MS. GOODMAN: Right. So they won't actually be in 21 evidence before Mr. Kalanick testifies, unless somehow Waymo is 22 23 able to get the clips ready for you before Mr. Kalanick takes the stand in about an hour. 24 25 Your Honor, fortunately, the section you MR. JUDAH:

already made rulings on has those notes. So that section, subject to confirmation that we have accurately transcribed what your rulings are, that will be ready to play, you know, in -- within minutes. So it will be before Mr. Kalanick.

THE COURT: All right. The notes that were taken within Uber by Bares sail into evidence. I don't see how you can possibly keep those out unless you had normally scandalous stuff that had nothing to do with this case. So those are going to come into evidence. Those are your company documents.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, focusing for a second not on the admissibility, but on the issue that Mr. Verhoeven brought up, which is whether Mr. Kalanick can be cross-examined on the notes of a co-worker. I believe you have said in a previous hearing, indicated that that's not permissible.

THE COURT: Unless it's for impeachment. Impeachment is where you hold back the document in secret, don't put it into evidence, but hold it back to surprise the witness. And I've said there it has to be true impeachment, meaning a statement by Kalanick, not somebody else's notes.

But I made clear the last time that you -- I'm positive I did. If it's already in evidence, the document is already in evidence, and it makes reference to TK, then you can say, "Okay, here's the minutes from that meeting. It refers to you. Were you at that meeting? Did you say the things that are attributed to you?"

So that's not a correct statement of my prior ruling. 1 mean, I believe this is totally -- totally proper. If it gets 2 out of hand, I will put a stop to it. But normally, this will 3 4 be an okay way to proceed. 5 MR. VERHOEVEN: One last thing at the risk of 6 irritating Your Honor. Mr. Kalanick, there is something that --7 THE COURT: It's easy to do. 8 9 (Laughter) It's not easy to do. I'm not irritated. 10 THE COURT: It concerns the Fifth Amendment. 11 MR. VERHOEVEN: Mr. Kalanick, part of the evidence in this case shows that --12 of course you know Mr. Levandowski took the Fifth Amendment. 13 14 And we've taken discovery. 15 And the evidence shows that notwithstanding that position, 16 Mr. Kalanick argued against the board to keep him and wanted to 17 keep him. THE COURT: Keep who? 18 Mr. Levandowski at the company. 19 MR. VERHOEVEN: THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 21 MR. VERHOEVEN: And was an advocate to keep him even 22 though he had invoked the Fifth. 23 And, as you know, you've ruled a on motion in limine we filed about noncooperation. And I've noticed that in their --24 25 they've given us some exhibits for their direct they're going

```
to do afterwards. And they have the firing letter and they
 1
     have the letter about returning the two laptops. So they're
 2
     going to go into noncooperation with this fellow, and it's not
 3
 4
     fair to paint half the picture.
 5
          I mean, the -- here -- what was going on, what the truth
     is, Mr. Kalanick was strongly advocating to keep him,
 6
     notwithstanding he wasn't cooperating with the -- or not --
 7
     notwithstanding that he was asserting the Fifth is what I
 8
     should say. We think he was cooperating but was still
 9
     asserting the Fifth.
10
              THE COURT:
11
                         Well, what was it that you're wanting in
     evidence that I'm supposed to rule on now?
12
                              That Mr. Levandowski had taken the
13
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     Fifth and that Mr. Kalanick still did not think he should be
14
15
     fired --
16
              THE COURT:
                          Here's the way I think -- it may be a good
17
     point, but let's do this: You -- don't cover this in your --
18
     wait until you see what they cover.
19
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And then it may be crystal clear that you
20
21
     should be allowed to do this.
22
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
23
              THE COURT: And I'm not saying yet that.
     saying with the benefit of -- they're going to exceed the scope
24
25
     of your cross.
```

MR. VERHOEVEN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's already been agreed to. Then you get to cross on that.

And if it seems only fair that the Fifth Amendment -eventually I think it's going to come out anyway. It's a
question of timing. But I still am -- I'm still considering
the 403 implications, and it could be that depending on how you
pitch it with the witness, that it's only fair to bring out the
Fifth Amendment point.

MR. VERHOEVEN: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. DUNN: Your Honor, just for the record, because we may not get to discuss this later, I don't intend to elicit anything from Mr. Kalanick that would open the door to this in particular because, as Your Honor has recognized, the mention of the Fifth Amendment can be extremely prejudicial. And that's why Your Honor has put in place a process where he will evaluate the questions to be posed to Mr. Levandowski, should he appear and invoke.

And so we would strenuously object to raising this during Mr. Kalanick's examination in a broad way that is not policed and enforced by the Court.

THE COURT: Well, all right. But listen, Levandowski is maybe the central witness in the case. The jury will be wanting to hear from him. If he is not brought in to testify, the jury is going to say Waymo didn't prove its case.

Your Honor, I'm not relitigating that. 1 MS. DUNN: So that it's going to -- they have the 2 THE COURT: right to bring him in and show how hard they tried, and the quy 3 has taken the Fifth Amendment. And, well, you know, that -- so 4 5 I think very likely it's going to come into evidence, but I 6 feel like we ought to wait and see how the whole case develops before we make that final decision. Nevertheless, if you open 7 the door, it's going to come in on Kalanick. 8 9 Let's bring in our jury. Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 10 MR. VERHOEVEN: 11 MS. DUNN: Thank you, Your Honor. Everyone's here. We're going to bring in 12 THE COURT: 13 the jury. What? MR. JACOBS: We can do this now or later on. 14 15 I believe they're sealing the courtroom for Mr. Bares' 16 deposition testimony without a reason to keep the public out. 17 THE COURT: I don't think there's anything in Bares' deposition that I saw that would warrant sealing the courtroom. 18 19 What's going on there? MR. JUDAH: Your Honor, so we divided up the Bares' 20 21 testimony in order to minimize courtroom sealing; the nonsealed 22 version and the sealed version. The only one you reviewed was the public one. The non -- the one that we request to be 23 sealed is testimony relating to Trade Secret 25. 24 And you've 25 not seen that one yet.

THE COURT: Well, all right. We won't get to that today. Is that right? Mr. Jacobs is looking like you didn't say it quite right.

MR. JUDAH: So our goal is going to be to get you the revised annotated designations. Mr. Bares' testimony can be broken up with respect between the public and the nonpublic.

So I think we could play the sealed Bares next time we seal the courtroom.

THE COURT: Yes, but Mr. Jacobs is saying that what you want to hide from the public does not deserve to be hidden from the public.

In fact, look, I want to say, this is for you newspaper reporters out there, in the sealed portion, only about two-thirds of it deserved to be sealed. There were things about LiDAR, there were things about -- like these promotional ads that you've put up there that the public could have seen.

And you can't do --

Make sure that door is closed.

One thing that bothers me about Waymo's case is you are leaving the impression with the jury that you invented LiDAR, that you invented self-driving cars, and that that's what this case is about.

At some point, if this continues, I'm going to have to interject and say, "No, it's about eight very specific things."

By putting that stuff under seal that looked like

promotional videos, you're making it look like, "Oh, this must be secret. We had to exclude the public. This must be a great secret. It's LiDAR. My God, it's LiDAR."

You did not invent LiDAR. And next time we go into a sealed record, it's going to be -- it's going to be these eight things and these eight things only. So we're not going to exclude the public and leave false impressions with the jury the way you did yesterday.

You're mostly guilty, but, at your own risk, your side was a little guilty too.

So there. All right.

MR. JACOBS: So, Your Honor, I understood that they submitted the entire transcript to you. If that's not the case, then we have a different procedural problem.

THE COURT: Look. You're going to get to call this guy once. And if I decide later that the stuff you want to do under seal doesn't deserve it, then you don't get to use it at all. So you -- you decide which way you want to jump on that.

MR. JAFFE: Your Honor, if I may address the sealing issue briefly.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. JAFFE: Regarding Mr. Bares' sealed testimony,
Mr. Bares is the primary witness on the case regarding the Uber
side of the Trade Secret 25 because, as we've already talked
about at length, Mr. Levandowski is taking the Fifth Amendment.

So what we have are notes of conversations with Mr. Bares 1 and Mr. Levandowski talking about content from Trade Secret 25. 2 And what Mr. Bares -- his sealed testimony is walking through 3 4 these notes. And what the sealed portion is about is him 5 talking about the notes. 6 And we're going to put up the notes when they get into evidence --7 THE COURT: All right. If that's all true, then I 8 9 agree that ought to be under seal. Is that all true? 10 11 MR. JACOBS: Well, it is partially true. It is their allegation relating to Trade Secret 25. 12 13 But you've commented on this alleged trade secret before. And I'll obscure the exact examples to avoid any issue. 14 15 This is the case where they say there's a scenario, dog 16 running into street, and the car has to watch out for the car -- for the dog running into the street. 17 And you'll recall from the opening, on the left side 18 19 there's this -- there's the basic scenario, dog running into 20 the street. And then on the right side are the specific 21 parameters that they've clarified are the scope of the trade 22 secret. 23 When you read this transcript, Your Honor, that they're proposing to seal, you'll find none of that. You'll find none 24

of those specific parameters.

25

THE COURT: All right. Then you've got to hand it up. Hand up the proposed sealed part to me so I can review it at a break.

MR. JAFFE: Your Honor, there are two issues. Number one is, as I mentioned, what is going to go with his testimony is a picture of the notes. That's issue one. So it's not just the testimony. The jury is going to be looking at both, and they need to see both to understand the context. That's issue one.

Issue two is, this is the same thing they were doing on -when we were trying to negotiate the short descriptions and
they wouldn't come up with one. They want to litigate the
scope of our trade secrets not in front of the jury. They
don't want the jury to decide these things. They want to have
them decided in the course of sealing the courtroom, which is
improper.

They -- this is their argument, that these things aren't secret. That's fine, but that's for the jury to decide; not to unseal them and put them out in the public domain.

THE COURT: But you abused it yesterday by putting LiDAR up there on the screen like you invented LiDAR. That should never have been under seal. That should have been done -- I want the public -- we're just going to exclude the public when we get down to these eight trade secrets. That's it.

```
Understood, Your Honor.
 1
              MR. JAFFE:
              MR. JACOBS: Your Honor, I think you should look at
 2
     the whole transcript. And, of course, the document, if there's
 3
 4
     an issue with the document, we've got a protocol for that.
 5
     document doesn't have to be shown to the -- to the gallery.
 6
     But Mr. Bares' testimony is at a level of generality that is
 7
     impossible to --
                          I have to see it. And also give me
 8
              THE COURT:
     that -- those handwritten notes so I can follow it. I'll look
 9
     at that at a break.
10
11
              MR. JACOBS: Thank you, Your Honor.
                         We've got to get going.
12
              THE COURT:
                                                   It's 8 o'clock.
13
     There's no more.
              MR. JUDAH: Your Honor, if I could -- just one point.
14
15
     So, for Mr. Bares, we would like to play the nonsealed
16
     testimony before Mr. Kalanick, so --
17
              THE COURT:
                          Well, if it turns out that something
     should have been in the unsealed part, it's going to be
18
19
     excluded most likely because you've been playing games with
20
            So you can play whatever you want to that I've already
21
     approved.
                          I think -- well, there's one issue.
              MR. JUDAH:
22
23
     the counter-designations are -- there's no highlighting issue.
     It's all yellow, and I flagged them.
24
     counter-designations for the public portion, which is when it's
25
```

```
1
     in a separate --
              THE COURT: Wait a minute. You mean I didn't rule on
 2
            I thought I had. You were supposed to put everything in
     that?
 3
 4
     there.
 5
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Everything was in that packet, but you
 6
     only reviewed the first appendix in the packet.
                          Well, what -- in the first appendix, it
 7
              THE COURT:
     should have been both sides' submissions.
 8
              MR. JUDAH:
                                   It was -- well, it was Waymo's,
 9
                          It was.
     and then the -- within that first section was Waymo's
10
11
     designations and the completeness objections. And then the
     counters were as --
12
13
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Give it to me, and I'll -- I
     thought I had reviewed the entire thing.
14
15
          All right. So let's bring in the jury.
16
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Your Honor, I have just one procedural
17
     point.
              THE COURT:
                          No, no. Well, what is that?
18
                          It's for -- it's regarding Dolgov's
19
              MR. JAFFE:
     testimony.
20
21
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
                          We had talked yesterday about doing a
22
              MR. JAFFE:
23
     public versus a sealed session. And I had asked Your Honor's
     quidance whether you wanted to do an open direct, open cross,
24
     closed direct, closed cross. And I wanted to get Your Honor's
25
```

```
guidance whether that was still the case or whether you wanted
 1
     to do it in one --
 2
                          Do everything.
              THE COURT:
                                           I mean, you can do it
 3
 4
     publicly first, including the cross, and then we'll have a
 5
     sealed part.
 6
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Now, bring in our jury,
 8
     please.
 9
          (Jury enters at 8:02 a.m.)
                          Thank you. Thank you. Welcome back.
10
              THE COURT:
11
     Everyone be seated.
          I want to say how great it is to have a jury like you that
12
13
     is here on time and so attentive and ready to go. So thank you
     for that.
14
15
          All right.
                      Is our witness here?
16
              MR. JAFFE:
                          He's here, Your Honor.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Remind us his name, Dolgov?
                          Dr. Dolgov, that's correct, Your Honor.
18
              MR. JAFFE:
                          Yes, all right.
19
              THE COURT:
                 Welcome back. Please have a seat.
20
                                                      I remind you
21
     you're still under oath. Please adjust the microphone so it
22
     will catch your voice.
23
                             DMITRI DOLGOV,
     called as a witness for the Plaintiff, having been previously
24
25
     sworn, testified as follows:
```

- THE COURT: And, ladies and gentlemen, remember we had
 ten minutes of direct testimony from this witness yesterday.

 And now we're going to resume right there, okay, where we left
- 5 Please go ahead, Mr. Jaffe.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)

7 BY MR. JAFFE:

off.

4

6

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Dolgov.
- 9 **A.** Good morning.
- 10 Q. If you recall, when we left off yesterday afternoon you
- 11 were explaining Waymo's self-driving car technology. And, in
- 12 particular, we were talking about those four questions.
- I want to go back in time in history. When -- in the
- 14 | first days of Project Chauffeur, Google's self-driving project,
- 15 | could Waymo's technology answer those four questions?
- 16 A. Not in a way that would allow them to drive on public
- 17 roads.
- 18 | Q. Did there come a time when Project Chauffeur was ready to
- 19 | take its cars on public roads?
- 20 A. Yes. I think middle of 2009 is when.
- 21 Q. Where did you decide to drive them?
- 22 **A.** We mostly drove around the Bay Area.
- 23 **Q.** Did you pick any particular routes?
- 24 **A.** Yeah. We had -- actually, we had a milestone that we
- 25 | created for ourselves to drive ten very specific routes.

- 1 Q. And can you give some examples of what those routes were?
- 2 A. Yeah. We had a -- I think a couple kind of going around
- 3 Lake Tahoe and through the mountains. We had one that spent a
- 4 | lot of time on freeways. I think it went through all of the
- 5 bridges in the Bay Area. And another one that started in
- 6 Mountain View and went to San Francisco. Each one was about a
- 7 | hundred miles long.
- 8 Q. What criteria did you use to pick these routes?
- 9 **A.** So we picked them to sample all the different conditions
- 10 that cars might encounter. Mountainous roads, urban
- 11 environments. So we really wanted to understand the full
- 12 | complexity of the problem that we were facing here.
- 13 **Q.** Did you achieve the milestone that you mentioned?
- 14 **A.** We did.
- 15 **Q.** How long did that take?
- 16 **A.** It took us about a year and three-quarters. I think it's
- 17 the fall of 2010 when we finished the last one.
- 18 Q. Did Project Chauffeur have any other significant goals in
- 19 these early days?
- 20 **A.** We did. Another one was to drive 100,000 miles in full
- 21 autonomous mode.
- 22 | Q. You said -- I think you said 100,000 miles. Why 100,000
- 23 | miles?
- 24 **A.** So this was -- back in those days, this was a huge number.
- 25 It was orders of magnitude more than, you know, cars have

- 1 driven in that, you know, autonomous mode at that point. And
- 2 we wanted to gain experience.
- 3 Q. Has Waymo continued to accumulate test miles through
- 4 today?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. About how many miles has -- test miles in autonomous mode
- 7 has Waymo contributed or driven today?
- 8 A. We're, I think, just a bit over 4 million miles.
- 9 Q. I'd like to talk now about some of the specific technology
- 10 in the hardware in Waymo's self-driving car.
- 11 Could you please give us an overview of the sensors that
- 12 | are on Waymo's self-driving car?
- 13 **A.** Sure. We have lasers or LiDARs. We have cameras. We
- 14 | have radars. And we have some microphones.
- 15 MR. JAFFE: Okay. If we could show WDX3-7 at this
- 16 | time, please.
- 17 (Photograph displayed.)
- 18 BY MR. JAFFE:
- 19 **Q.** Are these the sensors that you were just referring to?
- 20 **A.** That's right.
- 21 Q. Okay. Is one of these sensors most important to Waymo's
- 22 | self-driving technology?
- 23 A. The way we built the system, I would say that the LiDAR
- 24 provides primary functionality for most of the modules that are
- 25 | critical for the performance of our system.

- 1 Q. Why is that?
- A. So the LiDAR gives you very rich data about the 3D structure of the world that is incredibly useful for computers to understand what's happening around them.
- Q. We -- we're going to hear a lot about LiDAR in this case.

 We've heard about it already. Can you briefly please explain

 for the jury what is LiDAR and how does it work?
- A. Sure. So at a very high level, the LiDAR will shoot out a pulse of light into the world, and that pulse of light will, most of the time, hit something and then come back. So you can measure that reflection. So you can time how long it takes that light to travel out and back and combine that with the speed of light. And you can measure the distance to whatever that light happened to hit.
- 15 Q. Why is that useful in self-driving vehicles?
- A. So, you know, you do it not just once. You do it kind of millions of times. You send out, you know, millions of these pulses every second and you do it all around you. So, you know, you measure kind of the distance to all of the things around you and, you know, combined, that gives you this 3D picture of the world around you.
- 22 MR. JAFFE: If we could -- Mr. Fisher, if we could put 23 up WDX3-8, please.
 - (Photograph displayed.)

BY MR. JAFFE:

- 2 Q. Can you explain what we're looking at here in this
- 3 picture?

- 4 A. Sure. This is our car. That's the model that you see in
- 5 | the middle driving through a parking lot. And what you're
- 6 seeing is the output of its sensors.
- 7 | Q. In a situation like what we're seeing in this picture, in
- 8 | this video, how might the self-driving car use the LiDAR data?
- 9 (Video playing.)
- 10 **A.** What you're seeing is all of the points that come back
- 11 from the lasers. And, you know, that tells us that, for
- 12 example, the road in front of us is clear. It shows us, you
- 13 know, these returns from the cars in the parking lot. So, you
- 14 know, that is information useful to our car that helps
- 15 understand that those are the relevant objects in the
- 16 environment.
- 17 **Q.** Thanks.
- 18 If you could slow down a little bit, that would be
- 19 helpful. Thank you.
- 20 Okay. Dr. Dolgov, where do the LiDAR sensors on Waymo's
- 21 | current car -- or current cars come from?
- 22 **A.** We build them.
- 23 | Q. Are these sensors available to buy off the shelf?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 **Q.** Why doesn't Waymo buy LiDAR sensors in the open market?

- 1 A. They don't exist.
- 2 Q. Over the years, about how many custom LiDARs has Waymo
- 3 designed over time?
- 4 | A. About six major models.
- Q. And then just to conclude in the open session before we go
- 6 into the closed session.
- 7 MR. JAFFE: If we can show WDX10, the video that we
- 8 looked at this morning, Mr. Fisher.
- 9 BY MR. JAFFE:
- 10 Q. And we're going to play it without the sound.
- 11 (Video played.)
- 12 Q. Can you explain to the jury, after we get through this one
- 13 | part, the -- what we are looking at?
- 14 A. So we are looking at the video that we at Waymo put
- 15 | together to share with the world when we created the company,
- 16 and show some of the technology and some of the milestones we
- 17 went through.
- 18 **Q.** And what are these different cars that we're looking at?
- 19 **A.** Oh, these are the different generations of cars and
- 20 | self-driving hardware that we built over the years. And we had
- 21 | a prototype of the golf cart that you saw, then we started with
- 22 | a Prius, then we used the Lexus. Then we built up this
- 23 | marshmallow-looking thing. We called it the Firefly. And I
- 24 | think those are the generations that you're seeing in this
- 25 video.

- Q. And what's -- what's happening in the video right now with the gentleman in the back of the car?
- A. Oh, this is from 2015. This gentleman is Steve Mahan. He happens to be blind, and he is driving through, you know, public roads in a car that has no steering wheel or pedals.
- 6 And this is a big milestone for us in the fall of 2015.
 - Q. You've been working in this industry for a number of years now. How does it feel that self-driving cars is now kind of becoming a reality?
 - A. Amazing.

And I've been working on this for quite a while, as you said, since 2009. So it's been an incredible journey to see this go from, like, the very basic research or the DARPA competition days where we just had cars operate like either in a desert or a fake environment to, you know, at this point, we're going to have cars without human drivers on regular roads.

MR. JAFFE: Thank you, Dr. Dolgov. This is all the questions I have for the open session.

THE COURT: I want you to be considering the following because we are yanking the public around here. And that is, after the public session, we go to the next witness. And then we -- so that we're not constantly moving people in and out. And then when we have a convenient point, we'll bring this witness back for the private -- for the secret part.

I think this is the only witness where 1 MR. JAFFE: we're going to have to go under seal today. 2 THE COURT: Well, then, it might be good to do this in 3 tandem with a break where the public can go enjoy themselves 4 5 and get some coffee, and them have a longer break, maybe. 6 think about it, but I -- it's important that we -- this is a 7 public trial, except where there's good cause and I can rearrange. You see the point. 8 9 All right. Are you going to cross-examine, Mr. González? MR. GONZÁLEZ: Yes, Your Honor, we will. And we're 10 11 fine with what you proposed with going on to the next witness and then --12 13 THE COURT: All right. Good. Please -- please go over there to where Mr. Jaffe is, so you'll get closer to the 14 15 witness. MR. GONZÁLEZ: May I approach, Your Honor? 16 17 THE COURT: You may. **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 18 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 19 Sir, I'd like to clarify one thing at the outset. 20 and I agree that Google Waymo did not invent LiDAR? 21 That's true. Α. 22 23 In fact, before you came to Google, you worked on autonomous vehicles at Stanford; right? 24 25 Α. That's true.

- 1 Q. And that Stanford autonomous vehicle that you worked on
- 2 had a Velodyne LiDAR; correct?
- 3 **A.** It did.
- 4 Q. And that LiDAR had 64 laser beams; right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. So you would agree that having 64 laser beams is not a
- 7 | secret?
- 8 A. No, it's not.
- 9 Q. And from 2006 to 2009, you worked on self-driving cars for
- 10 Toyota; correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And Toyota also used a Velodyne LiDAR; correct?
- 13 A. That's correct. That was one of the LiDARs that we had on
- 14 our cars.
- 15 Q. With 64 beams; right?
- 16 **A.** That was one of them. I believe so, yeah.
- 17 Q. And you understand that the cars that Uber has driving
- 18 | around also have a Velodyne LiDAR; correct?
- 19 A. Yeah, I think so. Yeah.
- 20 **Q.** And Google -- or Waymo, you don't contend that your trade
- 21 | secrets are in the Velodyne LiDAR, do you?
- 22 A. No, I don't believe so.
- 23 | Q. All right. Now, you gave some testimony about goals,
- 24 different goals.
- 25 One of the things that Google has done is it has set up

- 1 | bonus programs for your engineers to motivate them to reach
- 2 those goals; right?
- 3 **A.** That's correct.
- 4 | Q. One of the bonus programs that has been set up to motivate
- 5 | the engineers is that when you apply for a patent, you get
- 6 | \$5,000; right?
- 7 A. I think that number has changed throughout the years, so
- 8 that came off the top of my head. And I think we might have a
- 9 different program at Waymo. So I'm not sure about the numbers.
- 10 Q. Okay. You get money if you apply for a patent, and you
- 11 | just don't remember the exact amount because it may have
- 12 | changed over time. Is that fair?
- 13 **A.** So there are a couple of -- you know, the part about time,
- 14 | that's true. And there's also different stages of the process.
- 15 Like I said, you get money when you apply for the patent.
- 16 | Then there's an invention disclosure and other steps. And I
- 17 | can't quite remember, you know, when you get the money and, you
- 18 know, how much.
- 19 Q. Okay. But, in any event, one thing we can agree on is
- 20 | that if you apply for a patent and get it, you're going to get
- 21 | money as a bonus from Google; correct?
- 22 **A.** At some point in time and place, yes.
- 23 **Q.** And, in fact, you have applied for a number of different
- 24 patents; correct?
- 25 **A.** I think I'm an inventor listed on a number of patents,

- 1 yeah.
- 2 **Q.** And you --
- 3 **A.** I didn't apply personally on all of them.
- 4 Q. I'm sorry.
- 5 Sometimes you are a sole inventor and sometimes you're
- 6 | listed as an inventor with other people; correct?
- 7 **A.** That's correct.
- 8 Q. And if it's two of you, if you and another engineer get
- 9 | together and come up with a great idea and apply for a patent,
- 10 | you both will get \$5,000; right?
- 11 **A.** I think there's a scheme where, you know, it doesn't just,
- 12 you know, grow linearly with, like, adding more people.
- 13 | Everybody gets the same number. I think what you said about
- 14 | two people might be true. But then, yeah, if you add more,
- 15 then it just caps at the total amount and you have to split it.
- 16 **Q.** Okay. I want to make sure the jury understood that.
- If there's just two inventors, then you would each get the
- 18 same monetary bonus; but if there's more than two, then you
- 19 | would have to split it up. Is that generally --
- 20 **A.** Yes. That's my recollection of the program that at least
- 21 Google had at some point in time, yeah.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. Well, that program was there when Mr. Levandowski
- 23 | was there; true?
- 24 \ A. For part of it, yeah. I think Google ramped down that
- 25 program.

- 1 Q. Now, you understand that this trial is about eight
- 2 | specific trade secrets; right?
- 3 A. I think so, yeah.
- 4 Q. Those are ideas that presumably, according to Google,
- 5 | somebody at Google came up with; right?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. And tell the jury who received the bonus for those great
- 8 | ideas that they're claiming are trade secrets?
- 9 A. So I guess on trade secrets, the best I understand, it is
- 10 some information that a number of people developed and is, you
- 11 know, something that is valuable and proprietary to us.
- 12 It is not clearly mapped as, like, the inventors on a
- 13 patent system. So some of the stuff, I imagine, many, many,
- 14 | many people all across the team contributed to the entirety of
- 15 | what is --
- 16 **THE COURT:** Is your answer nobody?
- 17 | THE WITNESS: No. I think my answer is many, many
- 18 people.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Many people got bonuses for trade secrets.
- 20 Is that what you're saying?
- 21 **THE WITNESS:** No, no. I think the question was, who
- 22 | contributed to the trade secrets?
- 23 | THE COURT: No, no. You're not answering his
- 24 question.
- 25 Ask your question again.

```
BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
 1
          There are eight trade secrets in this case, just eight.
 2
     Q.
     Tell the jury, who are the people that got bonuses for these
 3
     eight things that are supposedly great ideas that are of --
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Well, wait a minute.
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
 6
          -- that are ideas that are valuable?
 7
     0.
              THE COURT:
                          Wait.
 8
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Okay. I'll stop the question after
 9
     trade secrets.
10
11
          (Laughter.)
                          You were okay for a while.
12
              THE COURT:
13
          (Laughter.)
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                            Let me rephrase it, Your Honor.
14
15
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
16
          Tell the jury, who are the people that got bonuses for
17
     these eight trade secrets?
                          Fair question.
18
              THE COURT:
19
          Please answer.
              THE WITNESS: I don't know.
20
21
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
          Nobody did; isn't that right?
22
     Q.
23
          I don't think we do trade secrets to bonus mappings.
     Α.
          Right. So that if somebody came up with a great idea and
24
     they applied for a patent, they get money. But if it's just a
25
```

- 1 trade secret, then you don't; right?
- 2 **A.** I wouldn't call it just a trade secret.
- 3 MR. JAFFE: Your Honor?
- 4 **THE COURT:** Yes?
- 5 MR. JAFFE: I'd object on relevance ground here, and
- 6 | it's confusing the jury for the reason that Mr. Verhoeven --
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled. This is a proper line of
- 8 cross-examination.
- 9 Please continue.
- 10 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 11 **Q.** Sir, whoever it is -- and the jury hasn't heard
- 12 | testimony -- do you know? You said earlier that you supervise
- 13 | hundreds of engineers; right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Do you know? Can you tell us, who are the engineers that
- 16 | came up with the eight trade secrets that this trial is about?
- 17 **A.** I can't name specific names. It was a number of people
- 18 | throughout the team for, you know, many years. So it's hard
- 19 | for me to very precisely answer that question.
- 20 **Q.** Was there ever a hallelujah moment? Was there ever a
- 21 | moment when you were told about any of these eight trade
- 22 | secrets and you said, "Wow, what a great idea"? Was there ever
- 23 | a moment like that?
- 24 **A.** We have a number of hallelujah -- like, you know, big
- 25 | moments where people solve a really hard problem and they get

1 excited and tell me about it.

Actually, I don't think I know the full eight trade secrets that you're talking about, so I don't think I can answer that question well. And even for the ones that I know, the process goes back years and maybe -- also, I don't think I can give a very crisp answer.

- Q. You're telling me you're sitting here right now, you don't even know what the eight trade secrets are that we're here to try? You don't know?
- 10 A. I know some.
- 11 Q. Okay. Of the eight, how many do you know?
- 12 A. I am mostly familiar with two.
- 13 **Q.** All right. Do you have numbers?
- 14 **THE COURT:** Wait, wait.
- MR. JAFFE: Your Honor, I just want to be careful
- 16 about --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 17 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Just numbers. Just numbers.
- 18 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 19 Q. Do you have the numbers? The jury has got a list of them
 20 in their book somewhere. I think we gave them a sheet of
- 21 paper.
- Do you have the numbers of the two you're familiar with?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 **Q.** Okay.
- 25 **THE COURT:** You can use a shorthand version. You've

- agreed that we can use shorthand versions; right? 1 MR. GONZÁLEZ: 2 Yes. THE COURT: All right. Why don't you hand that list 3 to the witness. Maybe he can identify the two he's talking 4 5 about. MR. GONZÁLEZ: Precisely. May I just point something 6 out to the witness? 7 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 8 So what you're allowed to say is what's in the parens. 9 Do you see the brackets, the parens? 10 11 THE COURT: Or the number. BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 12 13 Yeah, or the number. So can you please identify for the jury the number and then just read what's in the parens that 14 15 goes along with that number. 16 MR. GONZÁLEZ: And I'm going to stand here, Your 17 Honor, just for a minute because I may need -- well, I'll move. BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 18 So what are the two trade secrets that you are familiar 19 20 with? So you want the number and the description in parens? 21 Α. Please. 22 0.
 - Q. All right. Let's take 111 as an example, the LiDAR

It's Number 25, self-driving car test scenarios and

specifications. And Number 111, MBr, LiDAR design to avoid.

23

24

- 1 design. Can you tell the jury who came up with that trade
- 2 secret?
- 3 **A.** You know, there are a number of people who were involved.
- 4 And what I understand is claimed as a secret both on the
- 5 hardware side and on the software side, but it's not like there
- 6 was a specific set of people that we've identified, to the best
- 7 of my knowledge.
- 8 Q. Okay. So understanding we're having a jury trial, you're
- 9 not even prepared to say who came up with Trade Secret 111, are
- 10 you?
- 11 A. I don't have specific names.
- 12 Q. Okay. Can you tell us when -- when did someone come up
- 13 | with Trade Secret 111?
- 14 A. So this is a design to avoid. So this is, you know,
- 15 | something that we've built up experience on. I would -- you
- 16 | know, I can give you a rough time frame. I think it was about
- 17 | 2011.
- 18 Q. Can you imagine in your mind a moment when this trade
- 19 | secret came to your attention?
- 20 A. I think I can, you know, subject to this being, you know,
- 21 | a few years -- quite a few years ago. I can roughly recall the
- 22 | time when I and other folks on the team had the realization
- 23 | that I think is captured by this trade secret.
- 24 Q. Okay. At that moment when you had the realization, did
- 25 anybody get any kind of bonus for that?

- 1 A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 2 Q. And, by the way, from time to time, you report to
- 3 management about how your team is doing; right?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 **Q.** You give them updates; correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. Can you identify for this jury any update ever to your
- 8 | management where you said to management, "Wow, look what we've
- 9 come up with, " and where you identify any of the eight trade
- 10 | secrets to management as a new idea or discovery?
- 11 | A. So for the one we just discussed, it was a design to
- 12 avoid, it was a very small group of us at that point. So I
- 13 | think my management at that point was directly involved in the
- 14 process. So, you know, it was kind of a group realization.
- The other one that we just spoke about, you know, it's --
- 16 | it's something that I'm sure came up in the process of our
- 17 discussion of updates.
- 18 Q. Well, you now have a list of the other six. And I don't
- 19 want to take too much time, but I want to give you an
- 20 opportunity to answer this question.
- 21 Can you identify any moment when you ever reported to
- 22 management that any of those other six things -- I won't say
- 23 | what they are, but you can see them -- are some new discovery
- 24 | that you just made? Can you identify any moment in time when
- 25 you did that?

1 A. Just to be clear, when I did it to my management, not when 2 somebody else did it to theirs?

- Q. I tell you what, whatever you remember. If you remember a moment when you told management, tell us that. If you remember a moment when somebody else told management, "Wow, look what we just discovered," tell us that.
- A. So this is -- you know, maybe it's easier for me just to speak to the ones that we just discussed. The other ones, I see about a paragraph. And, you know, I'd have to go look more carefully.

I think there's a lot of really technical juicy stuff in all of those. So there are specific aspects of this that I'm sure all of us had, you know, aha moments, but I would have to take a lot more time.

For the ones we just talked about, I'm sure there are aha moments with --

THE COURT: Well, he's not asking what you're sure of; he's asking you, do you have an actual memory of the moment when you told management, "Look at what a great idea we came up with." And then the follow-up question is going to be "show us the memo," most likely.

So you need to have an actual memory that you did this or that it was a verbal conversation, whatever it was. But to say "I'm sure" is not answering his question.

THE WITNESS: No.

```
All right. Next question.
 1
              THE COURT:
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                            Your Honor, I would like to briefly
 2
     show the jury a patent, Trial Exhibit 1049.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          What?
 4
 5
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: I'd like to show the jury, briefly, a
     patent, Exhibit 1049, just to very briefly show them what a
 6
 7
     patent is.
              THE COURT: All right.
 8
 9
          What's wrong with that?
                          Your Honor, we objected on foundation
10
              MR. JAFFE:
11
     grounds. And I don't believe it was actually --
                          Well, he's an inventor on patents.
12
              THE COURT:
13
              MR. JAFFE:
                          If it's the patent that I'm thinking of,
     he's not an inventor on it.
14
15
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: It's a Google patent, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: What's that? I think I -- we'll see where
17
     it goes.
18
          Go ahead. Overruled. Please go ahead.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:

- 2 Q. Turn to Exhibit 1049, please.
- 3 (Document displayed.)
- 4 Q. Just very briefly, sir, you understand that this is a
- 5 patent and the applicant is Google on this patent; correct?
- 6 **A.** Yes.

- 7 | Q. And when you talk about patents, do you normally describe
- 8 them by using the last three numbers of the patent number? Do
- 9 you see that?
- 10 A. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q. And the date of the patent, just this example that I
- 12 | picked, is 2014; right?
- 13 A. That's right.
- 14 Q. And then it lists the number of inventors that the jury
- 15 sees. And it includes a number of people that they may have
- 16 | already heard about: Gaetan, Pierre, Anthony Levandowski. Do
- 17 you see that?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 Q. This is an example of an idea where a number of different
- 20 people contributed and, therefore, they are all listed as
- 21 inventors; right?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 | Q. And you understand that patents are publicly available;
- 24 correct?
- 25 **A.** Yes, once they're -- well, once some are published. I

- 1 | think some are not publicly disclosed.
- 2 Q. Once the patent is actually issued, once you actually get
- 3 | the patent like this, you understand that that's publicly
- 4 available; correct?
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. So, for example, the various patents that you've gotten,
- 7 | you understand that those are available for the public to
- 8 review; right?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And so you understand that what is in the patent is not a
- 11 | trade secret? You understand that; right?
- 12 **A.** I mean, I'm not a lawyer, but yes, it seems -- that sounds
- 13 right.
- 14 Q. All right. Just a couple more questions.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, turn to page 7, please.
- 16 (Document displayed.)
- 17 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 18 Q. So that, for example, this patent has this figure,
- 19 | Figure 4 on page 7. And you understand that this is a PCB
- 20 | board; correct?
- 21 **A.** I would have to look at the rest of it.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Please take your time and do whatever you
- 23 | need to do to answer the question.
- 24 THE WITNESS: So let me -- I quess, let me try to find
- 25 | the reference to this figure.

- Okay. So I see a description of that figure, and it
- 2 states that it's an example transmit block.
- 3 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 4 Q. All right. You understand this is a transmit block?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And is that sometimes referred to as the PCB board?
- 7 **A.** So the PCB board might be, you know, part of that or an
- 8 | entirety of it. Now we're getting into technicalities.
- 9 Q. All right. Fine. And this transmit block shows that on
- 10 one side it's curved. Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** And the general shape of it is like a rectangle; right?
- 13 A. Like a -- I don't know -- trapezoid, yeah.
- 14 \ Q. And those little things -- underneath the curve, you see
- 15 | it looks like a T, they're in green now?
- 16 **A.** Yeah.
- 17 **Q.** Those are the diodes; right?
- 18 A. Dials?
- 19 **Q.** Diodes, the lasers?
- 20 **A.** Yeah.
- 21 | Q. And then, if you go just below that, you see there's,
- 22 like, three circles --
- 23 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can you go to the middle
- 24 | circle. There you go.
- 25

BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:

- 2 Q. You see those two middle circles?
- 3 **A.** I do.

- 4 Q. Those are holes in the block; correct?
- 5 **A.** They look like holes to me, yeah.
- 6 MR. GONZÁLEZ: And then can you do the outline,
- 7 Andrew.
- 8 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 9 Q. And do you see what's in yellow? That is the outline of a
- 10 | shim that goes between the transmit blocks; correct?
- 11 **A.** So it's an outline of a shim, I guess, but what's another
- 12 | side of it? I guess it's not here.
- 13 **Q.** It's the outline of a shim; correct?
- 14 **A.** Yeah.
- 15 **Q.** And so you would agree that none of the things that I just
- 16 | mentioned are secret; right?
- 17 **A.** They're public as part of the patent.
- 18 | Q. Let me ask you, sir, a couple of quick questions about
- 19 Exhibit 1248 --
- 20 MR. GONZÁLEZ: -- Your Honor, which I move into
- 21 | evidence. There's no objection to it.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Is 1248 the patent?
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: No, Your Honor. 1248 is an email.
- 24 THE COURT: I'm sorry. I'm confused. What is it
- 25 | you're moving into evidence?

```
MR. GONZÁLEZ:
 1
                             Oh, I'm sorry. I do move the patent
     into evidence, 1049.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Any objection?
 3
                          No objection, Your Honor.
              MR. JAFFE:
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you. Received in evidence.
          (Trial Exhibit 1049 received in evidence.)
 6
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: And then, Your Honor -- thank you for
 7
     clarifying -- I move into evidence Trial Exhibit 1248, which is
 8
     an email chain that the witness is involved in.
 9
                          Any objection to 1248?
10
              THE COURT:
11
              MR. JAFFE:
                          No objections, Your Honor.
                          Thank you.
                                     Thank you.
                                                   Received.
12
              THE COURT:
          (Trial Exhibit 1248 received in evidence.)
13
          (Photograph displayed.)
14
15
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Just briefly, Andrew, can you
16
     please ...
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
17
          The top email is an internal Google email. And this is a
18
19
     two-page chain.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can you go to the bottom of the
20
21
     first page. Here we go.
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
22
23
          This is an email that you wrote at the bottom on
     August 18th, 2016; right?
24
25
     Α.
          Yeah.
```

- 1 Q. And you say -- well, one of the persons you're writing to
- 2 is John. Do you see that, "John"?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- 4 Q. That's the CEO, Mr. Krafcik; right?
- 5 A. I believe so.
- 6 Q. All right. The jury met him yesterday.
- 7 And you say, "One of the significant effects of today's
- 8 Otto/Uber news is increased attrition risk for us"; right?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- 10 Q. And the news was that Otto was going to be acquired by
- 11 | Uber; right?
- 12 **A.** Yeah.
- 13 | Q. And then you wrote, "Uber has always been pretty
- 14 aggressive at recruiting, but one of the main barriers for
- 15 | folks on our team was geography. Now that the core
- 16 | self-driving effort will be in San Francisco and Palo Alto, the
- 17 | competition for talent will get much more serious."
- 18 Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** I do.
- 20 | Q. And what you meant there is that, before the acquisition,
- 21 | if you wanted to work on Uber's self-driving program, most of
- 22 | the people were located out of Pittsburgh; right?
- 23 A. That's what I meant.
- 24 | Q. And there are a lot of people that just don't want to live
- 25 | in Pittsburgh; right? Too cold?

- 1 A. Yeah, I guess part of the reasons.
- 2 Q. But your point was that now that Uber is involved here
- 3 | locally, it's going to be harder for us to keep our people
- 4 | because they might be more inclined to move to Uber; right?
- 5 That was your point?
- 6 **A.** That was one of the factors, yeah.
- 7 Q. And you were very concerned about that, weren't you?
- 8 A. Very concerned? I always care a lot about retaining our,
- 9 | you know, talented people. So that was one of those points
- 10 where I think it kind of bubbled up in my mind.
- 11 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, next slide.
- 12 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 13 | Q. And your email continues. You say, "I believe Anthony
- 14 | will much more aggressively go after higher value targets on
- 15 | our team"; right?
- 16 **A.** Yeah.
- 17 Q. "Anthony" is Mr. Levandowski?
- 18 **A.** Yeah.
- 19 Q. And you're alerting management, saying, hey, guys, we
- 20 | might be losing more of our people; right?
- 21 A. I was worried that Anthony was going to reach out and
- 22 | basically poach some of the best people, yeah.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Next. Andrew, can you ...
- 24 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 25 **Q.** And then Joanne Chin responds to your email. She is the

- 1 head of HR at Waymo; correct?
- 2 A. That's right.
- 3 Q. And she responds and says, "Dmitri, your points align with
- 4 | the conversations I had yesterday after the news, and I share
- 5 your concerns"; right?
- 6 A. Yeah, that's what it says.
- 7 Q. Sir, will you please look at Exhibit 1136.
- 8 A. I'm sorry. 1136? I'm not sure I have that.
- 9 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry. Is this one that's secret or something?
- 11 MR. GONZÁLEZ: 1136.
- 12 THE COURT: Angie, they want you to turn off the -- I
- 13 | don't understand. What do you want us to do?
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: I don't need to do anything right now
- 15 other than have the witness look at 1136.
- 16 THE COURT: It's not on the screen yet; right?
- 17 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Correct.
- 18 THE COURT: Don't put it on any screens yet.
- 19 MR. GONZÁLEZ: I don't --
- 20 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 21 **Q.** You see 1136?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- 23 | Q. Are you generally familiar with this document?
- 24 A. I'm not sure about the exact specific version, but it
- 25 looks familiar.

- 1 Q. All right. And if you look at page 10 of that document.
- 2 The top part. Sir, the top part.
- 3 A. Oh, top part. Okay.
- 4 Q. Got a bunch of boxes on there?
- 5 A. Yeah.
- 6 Q. Do you understand, generally speaking, that those are
- 7 different parts of the autonomous vehicle?
- 8 A. Well, it's the -- it describes the various aspects of the
- 9 technology that contribute to our system.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: All right. Your Honor, I'd like to
- 11 display just this one page, page 10 of Exhibit 1136.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Well, is that -- what do you say?
- 13 MR. JAFFE: Your Honor, we understood that they were
- 14 only going to be playing this on the screen and then ask him
- 15 | questions about it. We need to look more specifically at this
- 16 | page -- it looks like highly technical information -- before we
- 17 display this to the gallery.
- 18 MR. GONZÁLEZ: I can display it, Your Honor, to the
- 19 | jurors for now. I don't think there's anything big that --
- THE COURT: Well, is this in evidence yet?
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: No, Your Honor. I move in page 10 of
- 22 | Exhibit 1136, so I can display it to the jury.
- 23 THE COURT: All right. Is there any objection to that
- 24 much?
- 25 MR. JAFFE: No objection.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
          (Trial Exhibit 1136 - Page 10 received in evidence.)
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Then the clerk will show that page only to
 3
     the jury box.
                    You don't need to show it to me. Just inside
 4
 5
     the jury box.
          And if somebody out there manages to see it on the -- you
 6
     know, jump up and let me know that we're not doing it right.
 7
          Okay. Over there in the jury box, do you now have what --
 8
     is that over there yet, Angie?
 9
          Okay. You should be able to see it, but don't put it up
10
11
     there and don't put it on my screen. Just put it in the jury
     box. Is that possible?
12
13
          Okav.
                 I think we're doing it right. But you still have
     it or not?
14
15
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                             No.
16
              THE COURT: Okay. Now, it went off. Okay.
                                                           We can't
17
     do that. I'm sorry. That's beyond --
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Can we show it to the jurors and the
18
     lawyers and Your Honor and the witness? Just black out --
19
              THE COURT:
                          Yeah, but then it will go up on this big
20
     screen, I think, the way you lawyers have set this up.
21
              TECHNICIAN: Your Honor, at this point, the projector
22
23
     is still projecting. I think we can just block the projector
     for now. The gallery line is blocked.
24
25
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Here you qo. Problem solved.
```

- Technology. Good. Can we try it again?
- 2 THE COURT: All right. Let's try it again.
- 3 MR. GONZÁLEZ: All right. Great. So the jurors have
- 4 it in front of them.
- 5 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:

- 6 Q. So, sir, I don't want to spend a lot of time on this, but
- 7 | you just basically said what I wanted to elicit. These are all
- 8 different components of a driverless vehicle; right?
- 9 **A.** That's correct.
- 10 MR. GONZÁLEZ: And, Andrew, can you -- Andrew, can you
- 11 | bring up the top left?
- 12 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
- 13 **Q.** And for the record, there has got to be maybe 15 different
- 14 | boxes here of information, and they have smaller boxes inside;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. That's right.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And I just want to call your attention to just one
- 18 | box, which is the sensors on the upper left-hand side has four
- 19 different boxes inside. Do you see that?
- 20 **A.** I do.
- 21 Q. You understand that the eight trade secrets that we're
- 22 here to discuss all come from the top box of that box, which
- 23 | says "Lasers." Do you see that?
- 24 A. I think that's right. Although, they might not be that
- 25 | correct, complete mappings.

- 1 Q. Okay. You can't -- you don't see any other box on here
- 2 | that the eight trade secrets come from, do you?
- 3 A. So, you know, for example, the one we talked about
- 4 earlier, the 111, I think, in my mind, the scope of that would
- 5 encompass more than just that box.
- 6 Q. Okay. Fair enough.
- 7 **A.** The learning is distributed throughout the entire system.
- 8 Q. All right. Fair enough. The other seven, you agree, come
- 9 just from that one box?
- 10 A. You know, this I'm less familiar with than the other
- 11 stuff, so I would have to follow it a little more carefully.
- 12 Q. All right, sir. Just a couple more questions.
- One of the things that you do at Google and at Waymo, of
- 14 | course, is you give evaluations, written evaluations to your
- 15 | engineers; correct?
- 16 **A.** You mean performance evaluations?
- 17 **Q.** Yes.
- 18 **A.** Yeah.
- 19 Q. Can you identify a single evaluation -- oh, and, of
- 20 | course, one of the things you say in your evaluations is you
- 21 | commend people when they come up with a great idea; right?
- 22 **A.** So it's usually a little bit broader than that. Right.
- 23 | In the performance evaluation, like, you don't list, you know,
- 24 | very specific bright ideas that they had. You know, you give a
- 25 | summarized overall performance of an individual.

- 1 Q. You're telling me that if somebody came up with a great
- 2 | idea that was a breakthrough, what, you have a rule that you
- 3 | don't mention that in written evaluations for that employee?
- 4 A. No, we have no such rule.
- 5 Q. Okay. Can you identify a single evaluation of any
- 6 | employee where you or anybody at Google or Waymo said, "Great
- 7 | job coming up with any of these trade secrets"?
- 8 I realize you may not have called them trade secrets. But
- 9 whatever they are, can you think of a single evaluation where
- 10 that feedback was given to an employee? Where somebody said,
- 11 | "Great job coming up with this"? Anything?
- 12 A. I can't think of that specific, you know, congratulatory
- 13 | note, specifically mapping to the entirety of these trade
- 14 secrets, no.
- 15 **Q.** Or any of the trade secrets?
- 16 **A.** You know, some components, maybe like the mapping of --
- 17 | you know, the trade secret, no.
- 18 MR. GONZÁLEZ: All right. Thank you. That's all I
- 19 have.
- 20 | THE COURT: Okay. Let's go to the redirect on the
- 21 | public part.
- 22 MR. JAFFE: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 23 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. JAFFE:
- 25 Q. Dr. Dolgov, let's pick up where Mr. González left off.

- We were looking at page TX1136 -- excuse me, Exhibit
- 2 | TX1136. And he asked you a question about these different
- 3 boxes that were on that page, on page 10. Do you remember
- 4 | that?
- 5 **A.** I do.
- 6 Q. Okay. Can you go -- well, and the box he was asking you
- 7 about was the one labeled "sensors." Is that right?
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Okay. Can you go to page 17. There's a line in this
- 10 document, there's a category "Sensors." Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** I do.
- 12 | Q. And then it says -- and I'm just going to read this out
- 13 | loud because we're not displaying it publicly, but this is a --
- 14 | it says: "Current assumption. A mixture of sensors are
- 15 essential for self-driving." Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** I do.
- 17 **Q.** Do you agree with that?
- 18 **A.** I do.
- 19 **Q.** Why?
- 20 **A.** So they -- each sensor has something that it is very good
- 21 at. And, you know, putting them together, it gives you, in my
- 22 opinion, the best system.
- 23 | Q. But we heard from Mr. González or -- he was asking you,
- 24 | you're saying it's only one box that -- why -- if they're
- 25 essential, why is there only one box?

- 1 A. Well, and I think measuring, you know, their impact of
- 2 | something by counting boxes is not the metrics that I would
- 3 use, especially in a context of a slide that's describing, you
- 4 know, maybe some other set of boxes.
- 5 Q. And Mr. González was asking you who did what about when.
- 6 Let's just go back and talk about this in terms of your
- 7 experience.
- 8 In 2011, when you referred to for Trade Secret 111, who
- 9 was on the software team with you?
- 10 A. There were a number of people. You want to try me to
- 11 | recall the names?
- 12 **Q.** Yeah, so any of the names that you recall regarding the
- 13 MBr project.
- 14 | A. Michael Montonaro. Should I talk about the area or just
- 15 | the names?
- 16 Q. The names.
- 17 | A. Mike Montonaro, Jaijun Zhu, Nathaniel Fairfield, Chris
- 18 Urmson, myself. Maybe Hector Hee, but I'm not certain about
- 19 that one.
- 20 **Q.** And in terms of folks that worked on the MBr LiDAR design
- 21 on the hardware side, do you remember who was on the LiDAR team
- 22 | at that time?
- 23 | A. Pierre-Yves Droz, Anthony Levandowski. I think Andrew
- 24 | Schultz probably contributed. I can try to recall other names,
- 25 but -- Gaetan. Can't remember the last name.

- 1 Q. Thank you.
- 2 All right. And Mr. González asked -- he put a patent in
- 3 front of you. Remember that?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 | Q. To be clear, was it -- were you looking at whether any of
- 6 that patent disclosed any of the trade secrets in this case?
- 7 A. No, I don't think that was the question that I got.
- 8 Q. And is it fair to say that -- well, let me ask it
- 9 differently.
- 10 With regard to Trade Secret 25 --
- 11 **A.** Yeah.
- 12 Q. -- who contributed to that, that -- what's described there
- 13 | in that trade secret, the self-driving car specifications and
- 14 | scenarios?
- 15 **A.** Many people across the team for, you know, a number of
- 16 | years. So, again, I can't be identifying the specific people
- 17 | just because the time is so long and the number of people is so
- 18 | large, it's hard for me to do.
- 19 Q. And about how long did it take to come up with the data
- 20 | that's included in that trade secret?
- 21 A. Years. You know, the experience is probably, you know,
- 22 | from day one of the project.
- 23 **Q.** And when was that?
- 24 **A.** 2009.
- 25 MR. JAFFE: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: All right. Can we excuse the witness? MR. GONZÁLEZ: 2 Just one question. One question. RECROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 4 5 Sir, the first time that you ever saw a document that 6 identified those eight things as trade secrets is when I handed 7 you that document right in that chair; true? We're getting into maybe some privileged conversations 8 that I had with in-house attorneys where I might have seen it. 9 Let me rephrase it. 10 Q. Okay. 11 Before this lawsuit was filed, you had never seen any document that identified any of these things as trade secrets; 12 13 true? 14 Α. True. 15 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Thank you. 16 THE COURT: All right. May the witness -- no, we have 17 to have the private session; right? MR. JAFFE: That's correct, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. So tell me how long that's 19 Just on your direct, how long will that be? 20 going to last. 21 I should be about 15 minutes or less. MR. JAFFE: Here's what we're going to do. All right. 22 THE COURT: 23 I think it will be more efficient. Members of the public, I'm going to excuse you now. We're going to do the private part of 24 25 this witness. And then at the end of that, I'm going to give

```
the jury a 15-minute break. So effectively what I'm doing is
 1
 2
     giving you maybe like a 40 or 50-minute break, would be my
                So everyone -- members of the public and all people
     estimate.
 3
     not cleared under the protective order need to leave.
 4
 5
     you.
          While everyone is leaving, if anyone can hear me in the
 6
 7
     overflow room, there's been an error. So come see me so we
     don't have this thing broadcast. And, also, if anybody in the
 8
 9
     rest of the building --
10
          (Laughter)
11
              THE COURT:
                          -- can hear me, let me know because I
12
     don't trust this system that we have 100 percent, even though I
13
     do trust my courtroom deputy.
14
          (Sealed proceedings Page 460, Line 1, through Page
15
           474, Line 7.)
16
     //
17
     //
18
     //
19
     //
20
     //
21
     //
     //
22
23
     //
24
     //
     //
25
```

```
1
     //
 2
     //
     //
 3
 4
     //
 5
     //
 6
     //
 7
     //
          (Sealed proceedings concluded.)
 8
              THE COURT:
                          Just a minute.
 9
          Just to give the jury a heads-up, who's going to be our
10
     next witness?
11
12
              MR. LYONS:
                           Gary Brown.
13
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Should that be done in public?
                          Yes, Your Honor.
14
              MR. LYONS:
15
              THE COURT:
                          All right. We'll take our 15-minute break
16
     now.
           Remember my admonition.
17
          Thank you, ladies and gentlemen.
18
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
19
          (Jury out at 9:08 a.m.)
20
              THE COURT:
                           Thank you. Be seated. Our witness can
21
                 Clear away the witness bench so we'll have a fresh
     start.
22
          I have just a comment for you. It's up to you how you'll
23
     make your record, but, Mr. Jaffe, there were many times there
24
25
     where you used the present tense, "How does Waymo do its LiDAR
```

now?" It may become important in the case how Waymo did it at the time Levandowski was there. And those could be entirely different things. I've seen this problem come up in other trials, and the lawyers seem to have a fixation in asking the present tense.

It's up to you how you want to make your record, but if it turns out that it's important, the verb tense, I want both sides to know I'm putting you on notice, it sometimes is important, and the other side might call you on it or the Court of Appeals. So take that to heart.

We'll take our break now.

MR. JAFFE: Thank you.

THE COURT: Yes, sir.

MR. VERHOEVEN: I had one quick thing. You'll recall yesterday, after Mr. Carmody's direct, I raised the issue of the distinction between patents and trade secrets.

During the cross of this latest witness, phrases were used like "great idea," "new discovery," "hallelujah moment" in talking about the trade secrets, Your Honor.

And then, of course, the patent was shown as well. And I think that's further perpetuating a confusion -- a potential confusion with the jury.

THE COURT: I'm hoping that I can come back on the bench and -- since you lawyers have failed me again, I'm going to give my own instruction on the difference.

```
1
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Thank you very much, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
                                      Thank you.
              MR. JUDAH:
                          Your Honor, there's one other point.
 3
     have the revised highlighted versions. I think that they're
 4
     legible for the designations. If I could pass these up, and
 5
 6
     you can review them when you have an opportunity.
                          This is on Bares?
 7
              THE COURT:
              MR. JUDAH:
                          This is Bares, yes. The completeness with
 8
     Bares, and then also Brian McClendon.
 9
                       (Recess taken at 9:10 a.m.)
10
11
                    (Proceedings resumed at 9:25 a.m.)
                       (Discussion off the record.)
12
13
              THE COURT: All right. Everyone be seated.
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              I believe that it was given to
14
15
     Mr. Eiseman, but we'll double-check and confirm.
16
              THE COURT: Well, no, but somebody gave something to
17
     Angie, I thought, at the very end. Who was that?
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              That was Mr. Judah, Mr. James Judah,
18
     and that was the sealed portions for Your Honor's review.
19
20
     you get that, Your Honor?
21
                          I never got it.
              THE COURT:
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Oh.
22
23
              THE COURT: So it never got past there.
                                                        So you all
     figure it out. I can't solve every problem.
24
25
          All right. Let's bring in the jury.
```

I found it. It was my fault, I quess. 1 All right. MR. VERHOEVEN: You have it, Your Honor? 2 THE COURT: Yes, I do. 3 Okay. Thank you. 4 MR. VERHOEVEN: 5 THE CLERK: All rise for the jury. (Jury enters at 9:27 a.m.) 6 Welcome back. Please be seated. 7 THE COURT: Before the next witness, your judge, meaning me, wants to 8 give you an explanation of a couple of things in this case that 9 I think will mean more to you now that you've heard some of the 10 11 evidence than if I had given this straight off the bat. Now, at the end of the case, I will give you very 12 13 detailed, even in writing, instructions about the law and what 14 needs to be proven. 15 So what I'm going to do now is a little bit better than 16 quick and dirty, but it is a preliminary instruction about the 17 difference between patents on the one hand and trade secrets on the other. Okay? Because this has already come up a couple of 18 19 times. Remember, our case involves trade secrets; it does not 20 21 involve patents. So, nevertheless, comparisons have been made. So we need to understand that. 22 23 So let me start first with patents. A patent is issued by the federal government. It's right there in the Constitution, 24 25 actually. If you go back and look at the Constitution, patents

are referred to there.

And a patent is a grant by the United States of America to an inventor to have the exclusive use of an invention for -I've lost track. They changed this. Is it 21 years now? 21 years. All right. Used to be 17, but they changed it to 21.

So, to take an example, someone invents a particular widget. They apply for a patent. It's all done in secret.

The Patent Office looks at it and decides whether or not it is a new invention. It has to be something new, not in the prior art. It has to be something new and also useful.

So if the patent office thinks that it meets those qualifications, then they issue the patent. And the patent -- the PTO, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office issues the patent. Sometimes we have litigation over the validity of those patents, but that's a different point.

So then the owner of the patent has the exclusive right to use and -- make, sell, or use that widget in the United States. This is very important. They have the exclusive right to do it. No one else can do it without their okay.

So even if one of you decided, if somebody else invented the same thing on their own, in their own little workshop, and thought they were the inventor, no, they lose out to the patent unless they get an earlier patent. But it's whoever invents it first winds up getting the patent, and then everyone else has got to get a license from them or they just can't use it.

All right? So that's the patent.

And, by the way, once the patent is issued, it's public for all the world to see. So part of the tradeoff is that, in exchange for telling the world about this patent and this invention, the public gets to see it, and then you -- and then commerce and innovation can build on that information. But in exchange for that disclosure, the inventor gets this 21-year exclusive right.

Now, that's kind of what a patent is. Let me see if there's anything else I wanted to cover.

All right. So a trade secret is something that is different in many respects. I'll say how it's similar.

This term you've heard, IP -- intellectual property, IP -- usually is used to mean both patents and trade secrets and copyrights, trademarks, lots of things. It's a very broad term. But once you get down to comparing patents and trade secrets, they are different in many important ways.

A trade secret is something that you don't apply for some patent somewhere. No government agency issues a trade secret. Instead, it's something that the -- typically, a company or a small business, or a big business, would come up with and develop their own way of doing something, and they kept it secret. And that has value -- economic value because it is secret and has value to them. And they take reasonable efforts to keep it a secret.

So that's what a trade secret is. Now, if it's generally -- it always concerns information. Information. And if that information, though, is already known generally to the public, then it can't qualify. It cannot qualify as a trade secret because it's already generally known.

And so that -- so part of what Waymo has got to prove here is its alleged trade secrets were not generally known. It would be one of the elements that it needs to prove.

Now, another important way that the trade secrets are different is that -- remember I said for patents the owner gets the exclusive right to use, make, or sell the widget, and nobody else can do it without their permission.

But where trade secrets are concerned, if you have one company over here and one company here, and one of them develops its own trade secret, the other -- the other company is entitled to develop its trade secrets. And they may or may not be the same thing. But if they do happen to be the same thing, that's okay.

What you can't do, though, is steal somebody else's trade secrets. That's a no-no. You can't do that. But if you independently develop your own trade secrets, the fact that somebody else has got a trade secret that looks just like it or close to it, too bad. You can't sue them over that. You can only sue over stealing or misappropriating somebody else's trade secrets.

So, remember, I said that for patents you get the nationwide exclusive right to make, use, or sell. That doesn't apply at all in trade secrets. Somebody else has the right at any time to develop their own trade secrets.

Now, they have to legitimately develop their own. As I say, you can't misappropriate somebody else's trade secret.

But every company -- every company has the right to develop their own and then use it. And it doesn't matter if it's the same as somebody else's trade secret.

So at the end of the case, I'm going to give you more detailed instructions. But, for present purposes, I wanted to try to explain to you the difference between patents on the one hand and trade secrets on the other.

Okay. One last thing. One of you told my clerk that the last witness on the stand, you could not hear. I totally understand because there were a few moments when I found it hard to hear. And what I want you to do, if you find yourself in that position again, is raise your hand and say, "I can't hear the witness." I want you to -- you know, this entire exercise is for you. So you have to raise your hand and let us know you can't see something or you can't hear something. So please take that into account.

All right. Next witness, please.

MR. LYONS: Thank you, Your Honor. The plaintiff calls Gary Brown.

1 THE COURT: Gary Brown. 2 Will the witness please approach the THE CLERK: witness stand. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown, welcome. 4 And please 5 raise your right hand and take an oath to tell the truth. GARY THOMAS BROWN, 6 7 called as a witness for the Plaintiff, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. Welcome again, Mr. Brown. have a seat. 10 11 See how my microphone moves around? Yours does too. Ιt has to be close enough to catch your voice so all those people 12 13 back there can hear you. 14 Say your name, please. 15 THE WITNESS: Gary Thomas Brown. 16 THE COURT: All right. That's good, I think. 17 Counsel, remind the jury who you are and then please proceed. 18 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 19 MR. LYONS: Μy 20 name is Duane Lyons. 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. LYONS: 22 23 Good morning, Mr. Brown. 24 Good morning. Α. 25 Where are you employed?

- 1 A. Google.
- 2 Q. How long have you worked at Google?
- 3 A. Since August 2013.
- 4 Q. Could you tell us briefly about your educational
- 5 background.
- 6 A. In May 2011, I received a bachelor's in cybersecurity and
- 7 | a master's in enterprise computing from the Stevens Institute
- 8 of Technology in Hoboken, New Jersey.
- 9 Q. What did you do after you received your degrees from
- 10 Stevens?
- 11 A. I worked for the Federal Reserve Bank of New York as an
- 12 intrusion analyst on their national incident response team.
- 13 **Q.** What is an intrusion analyst?
- 14 A. We would investigate suspected attacks on bank computers
- 15 and networks to determine whether they were real or not.
- 16 **Q.** How long did you work at the Federal Reserve?
- 17 | A. A little over two years.
- 18 **Q.** And why did you leave?
- 19 **A.** I got an offer from Google.
- 20 **Q.** So you've been at Google now for a little over four years;
- 21 is that correct?
- 22 **A.** That is correct.
- 23 **Q.** And what do you do for Google?
- 24 | A. I'm a forensic analyst investigating security incidents.
- 25 | Q. And what are your responsibilities as a forensic analyst?

- 1 A. We investigate security incidents.
- 2 Q. Do you know who Anthony Levandowski is?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- 4 Q. And were you part of a forensic investigation conducted by
- 5 Google into his activities on the network at Google?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. Are you familiar with something called the SVN server?
- 8 **A.** I am.
- 9 **Q.** What is the SVN server?
- 10 **A.** It's a repository of design files that pertain to
- 11 self-driving cars.
- 12 Q. Did you investigate suspected activity on the SVN server
- on December 11, 2015, at approximately 6:40 p.m.?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** And what tools did you use as part of your investigation
- 16 of activity on the SVN server that day?
- 17 **A.** I reviewed several log sources that pertained to hosts and
- 18 | networks of Google's.
- 19 **Q.** Speaking about the logs, do you recall the logs that you
- 20 reviewed?
- 21 A. Yes. There were DNS logs, DHCP logs, SVN logs, Google
- 22 | network flow logs, Bit9 logs, and records for Armada and GRR.
- 23 Q. Did you also review records from Google Drive?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Now, with regard to these logs, have you used them in

- 1 | connection with your duties as a forensic analyst at Google?
- 2 **A.** I have.
- 3 | Q. And what type of information is generally reflected on
- 4 | these types of logs?
- 5 **A.** Information pertaining to the systems on which they're
- 6 recorded.
- 7 | Q. Do these logs reflect date, time, and that type of
- 8 information?
- 9 \mathbf{A} . They do.
- 10 Q. And how is the date, time, and information that's on these
- 11 logs generated?
- 12 **A.** The system on which the logs are recorded generates them.
- 13 | Q. Have you found the information to be reliable and
- 14 accurate?
- 15 **A.** I have.
- 16 Q. Is the information that appears on these logs recorded at
- 17 or near the time of the event that the log actually reflects?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And was it the practice at Google to have these logs
- 20 generated in the course of regularly conducted business
- 21 activity?
- 22 | A. It is.
- 23 | Q. And is it the regular practice at Google to utilize these
- 24 types of logs?
- 25 **A.** It is.

- 1 Q. Now, you said you were investigating activity on the SVN
- 2 | server. Do you recall that?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Does that server have a website address?
- 5 **A.** The SVN server was audited at yakshaves.com.
- 6 Q. And did you make an effort to determine whether the
- 7 | computer accessed the SVN server located yakshaves.com on
- 8 December 11, 2015, at approximately 6:40 p.m.?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 **Q.** What did you do?
- 11 A. I reviewed DNS logs for Google's network to determine what
- 12 | machines were making lookups of that domain.
- 13 Q. And when you reviewed the DNS logs, did you determine how
- 14 | many computers accessed the SVN server on December 11th at
- 15 | approximately 6:40 p.m.?
- 16 **A.** There was one.
- 17 MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 4879 on the screen for
- 18 | the witness, please.
- 19 BY MR. LYONS:
- 20 **Q.** Do you recognize what's on the screen as Exhibit 4879?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And what it is?
- 23 A. This is a DNS log for the computer that made the lookup to
- 24 yakshaves.com.
- MR. LYONS: Your Honor, move the admission of 4879 and

```
ask that it be published to the jury.
 1
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection, Your Honor.
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Received.
 3
          (Trial Exhibit 4879 received in evidence.)
 4
          (Document displayed.)
 5
     BY MR. LYONS:
 6
          So this is a DNS log. Did you prepare a demonstrative
 7
     Q.
     regarding this log activity that you believed might be helpful
 8
     in explaining your testimony to the jury?
 9
          Yes.
10
     Α.
                          Can I have the demonstrative 4-4 before
11
              MR. LYONS:
     the witness and the jury. It's a demonstrative, so no
12
13
     objection.
     BY MR. LYONS:
14
15
          So is this the demonstrative that you prepared?
16
     Α.
          Yes.
17
          And does this reflect a particular line from the DNS log
     Q.
18
     activity that you reviewed on that day?
19
     Α.
          Yes, 0745.
20
          With the regard to the column that says "Query," it says
21
     "yakshaves.com." What is that?
          That's the domain at which the SVN server resided.
22
     Α.
23
          Are you familiar with the term "IP address"?
     Ο.
24
          Yes.
     Α.
25
          What is an IP address?
     Q.
```

- 1 A. It's a set of numbers that help computers communicate on
- 2 | the internet.
- 3 Q. Is that an IP address associated with yakshaves.com?
- 4 A. Yes. It's 108.59.84.174.
- 5 | Q. Now, looking at the DNS log, were you able to identify the
- 6 | IP address of the computer that was used to access
- 7 | yakshaves.com at that time?
- 8 A. Yes. A machine on Google's network with the IP address
- 9 | 100.98.165.23 had made the request.
- 10 Q. Once you had the IP address for the computer, what
- 11 information did you need to determine whose computer it was?
- 12 **A.** The machine's MAC address.
- 13 Q. And did you make an effort to obtain the MAC address?
- 14 A. Yes, I reviewed DHCP logs.
- 15 Q. Let's start with, what is a MAC address?
- 16 **A.** It's a unique hardware identifier like a serial number for
- 17 | a device that connects to networks.
- 18 Q. Okay. You mentioned a DHCP log. How do you use a DHCP
- 19 log to identify the MAC address?
- 20 **A.** DHCP logs show the hardware identifiers associated with IP
- 21 | addresses for a period of time.
- 22 MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 4850 on the screen for
- 23 the witness.
- 24 Your Honor, if I hadn't done so, I'd like to move 4879, if
- 25 I haven't done that already.

Do you

4879 is in evidence. 1 THE COURT: BY MR. LYONS: 2 Do you have Exhibit 4850 before you on the screen? 3 Q. 4 Α. Yes. 5 And do you recognize that? Q. Okay. 6 I do. Α. What is it? 7 Q. This is a DHCP log for records pertaining to the IP 8 address that had made that lookup to yakshakes.com on 9 December 11, 2015. 10 Move admission of Plaintiff's 11 MR. LYONS: Exhibit 4850. 12 MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: 14 Thank you. Received. 15 (Trial Exhibit 4850 received in evidence.) 16 (Document displayed.) 17 BY MR. LYONS: Now, looking at 4850, you see there's a bunch of numbers 18 Looking at column C, what's in column C? 19 20 That's the IP address that we had previously shown making Α. the DNS lookup for yakshaves.com. 21 And what's in column B? 22 Q. The MAC address for unique hardware identifier associated 23 with that IP at that time. 24

And there's also a column E that says "Host Name."

25

Q.

- 1 see that?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. What is that?
- 4 A. That is the name that that machine was advertising to the
- 5 | network at that time.
- 6 Q. At this point in time, did you have an idea whose computer
- 7 this was?
- 8 **A.** I did.
- 9 Q. And whose computer did you believe it to be at the time?
- 10 A. Anthony Levandowski's.
- 11 Q. Now, did you also prepare for your testimony today a
- 12 demonstrative regarding your investigation?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. Would that demonstrative assist you in explaining your
- 15 | testimony to the jury?
- 16 A. It would.
- 17 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, may I please have DX4-1 before
- 18 the witness.
- 19 BY MR. LYONS:
- 20 Q. Do you recognize that?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- 22 **Q.** Is that the demonstrative you prepared?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- MR. LYONS: Can I have that published, Your Honor?
- 25 **THE COURT:** Sure.

(Document displayed.)

BY MR. LYONS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Q. So could you explain what's shown --

THE COURT: So I better explain to the jury something, though. These things -- they call demonstratives -- will not be in evidence in the jury room. So if there's something on there that you want to remember, you've got to take a note of it because this will not be in the jury room.

It's not evidence. It's a shorthand way to demonstrate something that we allow in trials. But it's not evidence itself. So if you want to make a note of anything, make a note. But this won't be in the jury room.

Thank you.

14 BY MR. LYONS:

- 15 Q. So, Mr. Brown, is this a demonstrative that you prepared
- 16 | regarding your investigation for activity between
- 17 | December 11th and 18th of 2015?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And in the first slide on the left-hand side, you see
- 20 | there's a couple of computers and some information on there.
- 21 What's on there?
- 22 **A.** This shows that for December 11th, 2015, I had queried
- 23 | lookups of the SVN server at yakshaves.com and had identified
- 24 | an IP address for a machine on Google's network that was making
- 25 | that request. And then reviewing DHCP logs, I was able to pair

- 1 | that IP to the device that was making that request at that
- 2 time.
- 3 Q. Did you do anything else to confirm that the computer
- 4 belonged to Anthony Levandowski?
- 5 **A.** I did.
- 6 **Q.** What did you do?
- 7 **A.** I queried the MAC address in Armada.
- 8 Q. What is Armada?
- 9 A. Armada is an internal system that helps track inventory.
- 10 Q. And have you used Armada to look up MAC addresses in the
- 11 past?
- 12 **A.** I have.
- 13 **Q.** And have you found the information in Armada to be
- 14 reliable and accurate?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 MR. LYONS: Your Honor, may I have Exhibit 4840
- 17 | admitted at this time?
- 18 **THE COURT:** 4840. Any objection?
- 19 MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Received.
- 21 (Trial Exhibit 4840 received in evidence.)
- 22 (Document displayed.)
- 23 BY MR. LYONS:
- 24 Q. Now, what are we looking at in Exhibit 4840?
- 25 **A.** This is an Armada report for a laptop issued to Anthony

- 1 Levandowski.
- 2 Q. And if we go to two lines up from the bottom of the
- 3 | screen, you see it says "Armada:user primary." Do you see
- 4 that?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** What -- what is that information?
- 7 **A.** This shows that the primary user of that device was anthonyl@google.com, which is Anthony Levandowski's user name.
- 9 MR. LYONS: Can we go to demonstrative 4-2, please.
- 10 BY MR. LYONS
- 11 Q. And we filled in your chart a little bit further. What's
- 12 depicted on this portion of your chart?
- 13 **A.** Armed with the MAC address for the machine that had made
- 14 | the lookup to yakshaves.com, I then searched that MAC address
- 15 | in Armada and confirmed that the device making the request was
- 16 | issued to Anthony Levandowski.
- 17 | Q. What did you do next, after you determined that it was
- 18 Mr. Levandowski's computer that accessed the SVN server on that
- 19 day?
- 20 **A.** I reviewed Google network flow logs as well as the SVN
- 21 server log to determine the extent of activity.
- 22 **Q.** You mentioned the -- the flow logs. What is a flow log?
- 23 **A.** A flow log is a record of network communications between
- 24 two devices.
- 25 MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 4852, please, admitted?

1 THE COURT: Any objection? 2 MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. Received. 3 (Trial Exhibit 4852 received in evidence.) 4 5 (Document displayed.) BY MR. LYONS: 6 We have 4852 on the screen, and they're a bunch of numbers 7 Q. Let's start just with Column A. What is in Column A? 8 The time of the connection. 9 Α. And then in Column C, what's in Column C? 10 Q. 11 Α. The IP address of the device initiating the connection. And what's in Column E? 12 13 Α. The recipient or destination device. In this case, in Column C on line 2 -- I think it's 14 Q. Okay. 15 the first one there -- what computer is that? 16 That's Anthony Levandowski's laptop on Google's network. Α. 17 And then it's making a request for a device in Column E. Q. What is in Column E? 18 That is the IP address of the SVN server. 19 Α. If we go down one line, you'll see that the information in 20 column C and E are switched. What is indicated by that? 21 In Column 2, the Levandowski laptop 22 Α. Typically a response. 23 was making a request to the SVN server for a file. line 3, the server is responding in kind with that file. 24

25

Q.

Now --

- 1 **A.** And this pattern goes on for a period of roughly 43
- 2 minutes.
- 3 | Q. And that's what's reflected in the rest of the log until
- 4 | the end of it?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. How much data was transferred during that time period?
- 7 **A.** Roughly 10 gigabytes.
- 8 Q. Did you make any effort to determine the exact number of
- 9 | files that were downloaded during that session?
- 10 A. Yes, through review of the SVN log.
- 11 MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 4881 marked for
- 12 identification.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Do you want us to mark it? I thought
- 14 these were premarked.
- 15 MR. LYONS: No, I'm sorry. Premarked, Your Honor. I
- 16 | apologize. 4881 is before the witness, Your Honor.
- 17 THE COURT: All right. Did you want to move it in
- 18 | evidence?
- 19 MR. LYONS: Yes, I'm going to move it at this time.
- 20 **THE COURT:** All right. Any objection?
- 21 MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection.
- 22 **THE COURT:** Received.
- 23 (Trial Exhibit 4881 received in evidence.)
- 24 BY MR. LYONS:
- 25 Q. What's depicted on 4881?

- 1 **A.** There is activity for anthonyl@google.com for activity on
- 2 the SVN server on December 11th, 2015.
- 3 | Q. Okay. And were you able to determine the number of files
- 4 | downloaded by reviewing this particular log?
- 5 A. Yes. I counted 14,107 files.
- 6 **Q.** And what was the -- the gigabyte size for that download?
- 7 **A.** It constituted 9.74 gigabytes.
- 8 MR. LYONS: Can I go to demonstrative 4-3.
- 9 BY MR. LYONS
- 10 Q. Now, what's depicted on the third slide on this
- 11 demonstrative?
- 12 **A.** Through a review of Google network flow records and the
- 13 | SVN log, I determined that 14,107 files, constituting 9.74
- 14 gigabytes, had been downloaded from the SVN server to Anthony
- 15 Levandowski's laptop.
- 16 Q. Now, knowing Mr. Levandowski had downloaded those files,
- 17 | did you do anything to determine what happened to those files?
- 18 | A. Yes. I reviewed Bit9 logs to determine if the files had
- 19 | made their way off the machine.
- 20 **Q.** What is Bit9?
- 21 **A.** Bit9 is an application that runs on our Windows machines
- 22 that records, among other things, attachments and detachments
- 23 of removable drives, like thumb drives.
- 24 MR. LYONS: At this time, I'd move admission of
- 25 Exhibit 4846.

- MR. GONZÁLEZ: 1 No objection, Your Honor. THE COURT: Received. 2 (Trial Exhibit 4846 received in evidence.) 3 (Document displayed.) 4 5 BY MR. LYONS: Now what is Exhibit 4846? 6 Q. 7 This is a Bit9 log for Anthony Levandowski's laptop for Α. December 14th, 2015. 8 And did you prepare a demonstrative to assist you in 9 describing your testimony about the use of the Bit9 logs? 10 11 Α. Yes. Can I have 4-5 before the witness, please. 12 MR. LYONS: 13 BY MR. LYONS: Looking at -- just for the record, this is your analysis 14 15 of Exhibit 4846, but in particular, Column Rows G and 8; 16 correct? 17 Α. Rows 3 and 8, that's correct. Now, with regards to the information in Row 3, there are 18 three areas that are highlighted in red, and the first says, 19 "Device TS-RDF5 transcend." Do you see that? 20 I do. 21 Α. What do you recognize that to be? 22 This is a make and model of a device. In this case, a USB 23 Α.
 - Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Katherine Sullivan, CSR, CRR Official Reporters - U.S. District Court - San Francisco, California (415) 431-1477

What is a memory card reader?

24

25

Q.

memory card reader.

- 1 A. It's an adapter for a memory card.
- 2 Q. And what's a memory card?
- 3 A. A small electronic device that stores information,
- 4 | commonly found in cameras and other small electronics.
- 5 | Q. And can you put the memory card inside the card reader?
- 6 A. Yes. The card would go into a reader, and that would
- 7 allow data to be transferred between a computer and the memory
- 8 card.
- 9 Q. Now, in the second line, there's something that says
- 10 | "device attached." Do you see that?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** What does that refer to?
- 13 A. It being plugged in.
- 14 Q. And then the third line, there's a date and time stamp.
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** What is that?
- 17 A. That is December 14th, 2015, at 5:37 p.m. UTC, which is
- 18 the same as GMT, or Greenwich Mean Time, and translates to 9:37
- 19 | in the morning, California time.
- 20 **Q.** So putting it all together, what did that particular line
- 21 | indicate to you?
- 22 **A.** On the morning of December 14th, 2015, a memory card
- 23 | reader was attached to Anthony Levandowski's laptop.
- 24 Q. And going down --
- 25 THE COURT: Can I interrupt for one second.

- When you say "Anthony Levandowski's laptop," do you mean one issued by the company to him or do you mean his own personal machine? It's unclear what you mean.
 - THE WITNESS: A -- the device that had been issued by the company to him.
- 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
- 7 BY MR. LYONS:

4

5

8

- Q. Looking down at Row 8, you'll see the same three red
- 9 line -- or red indicators, and the second line says "device
- 10 detached." What does that refer to?
- 11 **A.** It being unplugged.
- 12 MR. LYONS: Can we go to demonstrative 4-6.
- 13 BY MR. LYONS:
- 14 **Q.** And what's depicted in this portion of your demonstrative?
- 15 A. On December 14th, three days after the download from the
- 16 | SVN server, a USB card reader, presumably with card attached,
- 17 | was plugged into Anthony Levandowski's laptop for a period of
- 18 | roughly eight hours before being disconnected at 6:14 p.m.
- 19 California time.
- 20 | Q. Now, as part of your investigation, did you make any
- 21 | efforts to determine what happened to this computer?
- 22 | A. I did.
- 23 | Q. What did you do?
- 24 | A. I reviewed GRR records for the device.
- 25 Q. How do you spell that?

- 1 **A.** G-R-R.
- 2 Q. And what does "GRR" stand for?
- 3 A. I've heard it referred to as Google rapid response or GRR
- 4 response rig, but we just call it GRR.
- 5 Q. And what does it do?
- 6 A. It's a remote forensics tool that, when connected to the
- 7 | Internet, will phone home to a server every 15 minutes to
- 8 receive instructions.
- 9 MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 4842 in evidence?
- 10 MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection, Your Honor.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Received.
- 12 (Trial Exhibit 4842 received in evidence.)
- 13 (Document displayed.)
- 14 BY MR. LYONS:
- 15 Q. Could you just describe how you use those -- excuse me.
- 16 Let me stop for a second.
- 17 Is this the GRR record that you were referring to?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. Could you tell the jury how you use the GRR record
- 20 to determine what happened to the computer.
- 21 **A.** GRR records information about the machines that phone
- 22 | home. In this case, the time that the operating system was
- 23 | installed, which in this case is December 18th, 2015.
- 24 Another piece of information that it records is the
- 25 | operating system running. And what's curious about this is on

- 1 December 18th, 2015, the machine was reinstalled as a Linux
- device, and it had previously been a Windows device.
- 3 Q. What was significant about the reinstall of this device
- 4 | with a Linux operating system?
- 5 **A.** The operating system that had been on it and all artifacts
- 6 on it would have been erased.
- 7 **Q.** And did you prepare a demonstrative to describe this?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 MR. LYONS: And can we go to 4-8.
- 10 BY MR. LYONS:
- 11 Q. So now, what -- what's depicted in this portion of your
- 12 demonstrative?
- 13 **A.** On December 18th, roughly four days after the connection
- 14 of the USB card reader, the device was erased and reimaged as a
- 15 different operating system.
- 16 **Q.** What happened to the data that was on the device?
- 17 A. It's gone.
- 18 | Q. Now, for the last 15 minutes or so, you've been describing
- 19 certain information that you obtained from this computer. How
- 20 | is it that you were able to obtain that data since the computer
- 21 had been erased?
- 22 **A.** When these machines are connected to the Internet, they
- 23 | send their logs back to Google's infrastructure for events
- 24 where the device may become unrecoverable.
- 25 Q. Did you document, in your investigative findings, in

```
something called a machine forensics log?
 1
 2
     Α.
          Yes.
                          And move admission of Exhibit 2260.
              MR. LYONS:
 3
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                              No objection, Your Honor.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                  Did you say 2260?
              MR. LYONS:
                           Yes.
 6
 7
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Thank you.
                                                    In evidence.
          (Trial Exhibit 2260 received in evidence.)
 8
          (Document displayed.)
 9
     BY MR. LYONS:
10
11
     Q.
          Now, one last exhibit, Mr. Brown. Did you do any analysis
     of any other file transfers by Mr. Levandowski?
12
13
     Α.
          Yes.
                 Specifically, did you conduct an investigation into
14
15
     his access of Google Drive?
16
     Α.
          I did.
17
          And what is Google Drive?
     Q.
18
          Google Drive is cloud storage for files and documents.
     Α.
              MR. LYONS: Can I have Exhibit 5031 -- let's -- I'll
19
20
     move the admission of 5031 at this time.
21
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection.
              THE COURT: Received.
22
          (Trial Exhibit 5031 received in evidence.)
23
          (Document displayed.)
24
25
```

```
BY MR. LYONS:
 1
          Can you describe what's depicted in Exhibit 5031?
 2
     Q.
          This is not 5031.
 3
     Α.
          (Document displayed.)
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                           Sorry. What's happening here?
 6
              MR. LYONS:
                           I, apparently, have the wrong exhibit.
 7
              THE WITNESS: Oh, the next tab, please.
     BY MR. LYONS:
 8
 9
          I'm sorry. Is that the right exhibit?
     Q.
10
          Yes.
     Α.
11
          Okay.
                 What is it?
     Q.
                           What exhibit number is that one now?
12
              THE COURT:
13
              MR. LYONS:
                           5031.
14
              THE COURT:
                           All right.
15
                           I believe the computer operator put the
              MR. LYONS:
     wrong exhibit up.
16
17
              THE COURT:
                           We're -- we're good. Go ahead.
              THE WITNESS:
                             This is Google Drive download activity
18
19
     for Anthony Levandowski for the time period October 14th, 2015
20
     through his departure.
     BY MR. LYONS:
21
          Did you prepare a demonstrative reflecting activity on --
22
23
     in January 2016?
24
     Α.
          Yes.
                           Can I have 4-7 on the screen.
25
              MR. LYONS:
```

BY MR. LYONS:

1

- 2 Q. And what's depicted in this demonstrative?
- 3 A. Downloads by Anthony Levandowski from Google Drive for
- 4 January 4th, 2016, as well as January 11th, 2016.
- 5 MR. LYONS: I have nothing further, Your Honor. Pass
- 6 the witness.
- 7 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

8 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 9 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 10 Q. Sir, you were not the only person working on the
- 11 examination of Mr. Levandowski's computers, were you?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 Q. For example, there's a person named Kristinn Gudjonsson
- 14 | that also worked on it; correct?
- 15 **A.** That is correct.
- 16 Q. And I -- I don't think you were asked when you did this
- 17 | work, so I want to ask you some questions about that.
- 18 You understand that Mr. Gudjonsson's work began in
- 19 February of 2016, correct?
- 20 **A.** That sounds correct.
- 21 | Q. Literally the week after Mr. Levandowski left, you all
- 22 | started examining his computers; right?
- 23 A. I did not, but I believe it was looked at.
- 24 \ Q. And you started the very next month in March; right?
- 25 **A.** That is incorrect.

```
MR. GONZÁLEZ:
 1
                             Andrew, can we play 338, line 7 to 9.
          (Counsel confer off record.)
 2
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Can we play it, Your Honor?
 3
              THE COURT: Is this from the deposition?
 4
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Yes, Your Honor.
 5
              THE COURT: Before, let me tell the jury. Sometimes
 6
 7
     they videotape these depositions. You've already seen some
     where they read from a transcript. This one we're going to get
 8
     to see in video form, but it -- the same principles and rules
 9
             This is prior sworn testimony of the same witness.
10
     apply.
11
              MR. LYONS: Can I just have a second, Your Honor, with
              I don't have the depo transcript. It's not in the
12
     counsel?
    binder.
13
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                             It is in your binder.
14
15
              MR. LYONS: Can I have the date, Counsel?
16
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Can we play it now, Your Honor?
17
              THE COURT: Please roll the tape.
          (Videotaped deposition played but not reported.)
18
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: All right. And the deposition, for the
19
     record, Your Honor, was on September 6th, 2017.
20
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
21
          Sir, I'd like to ask you about a few exhibits. I'd like
22
23
     to start with 2241. Do you see the binder to the left?
24
          Yes.
     Α.
25
          The series of emails that you were part of. If you look,
```

2241 is an email chain. Do you see at the very top, there's an 1 2 email to you? Do you see that? Α. Yes. 3 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Now, Your Honor, move 2241 into 4 5 evidence. THE COURT: Any objection? Any objection? 6 7 MR. LYONS: No objection. THE COURT: Thank you. Received in evidence. 8 (Trial Exhibit 2241 received in evidence.) 9 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 10 11 All right. The top email is May 3, 2016. This is around the time period that you're doing work on this matter; correct? 12 You had previously referred to --13 Α. This is the time period that you're doing work on this 14 15 matter; right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And the email is from an investigator at Google; correct? Yes. 18 Α. MR. GONZÁLEZ: And, Andrew, if you could, in the 19 interest of time, go to page 6. 20 21 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: On February 3rd, 2016, there's an email from Chelsea 22 23 Bailey to Kristinn. Kristinn is the person who you mentioned earlier, 24 Gudjonsson. Mr. Gudjonsson is also working on this 25

- 1 investigation; correct?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. "Hi, Kristinn. Hope you're well. We have a former
- 4 | employee whose laptop we'd like to have forensics run on."
- 5 Right?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. That's February 3rd. That's the week after
- 8 Mr. Levandowski leaves; correct?
- 9 A. I believe so.
- 10 MR. GONZÁLEZ: And if you can go, Andrew, to click 3.
- 11 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 12 Q. On February 8, Chelsea Bailey thanks Kristinn, "as well as
- 13 | if there may have been any trade secret compromise."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 A. I do see that.
- 16 Q. And at the bottom, "Can you help us find out if there's
- 17 | evidence of trade secret compromise." Right?
- 18 A. I see that.
- 19 Q. So one of the things that you all were looking for was
- 20 whether or not Mr. Levandowski had taken any trade secrets;
- 21 right?
- MR. LYONS: Objection, Your Honor. No foundation with
- 23 regard to this witness because he said "you all" as opposed
- 24 what --
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Let me -- let me rephrase.

BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:

- 2 Q. You understood from this email that you received that one
- of the things that Mr. Gudjonsson was looking for was whether
- 4 Mr. Levandowski stole trade secrets; right?
- 5 **A.** I can't speak for Mr. Gudjonsson.
- 6 Q. All right.
- 7 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Let's go to clip 4.
- 8 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
- 9 Q. There's another email. This one's February 16. It's part
- 10 of this chain. "Stacy is aware and has discussed with David
- 11 Drummond? Stacy is Stacy Sullivan; correct?
- 12 **A.** I have no idea.
- 13 | Q. Do you -- David Drummond is the top lawyer at Google; is
- 14 | that right?
- 15 **A.** I believe he is a lawyer at Google.
- 16 | Q. Do you know that he's the top lawyer, the general counsel
- 17 | for Alphabet, Google's parent company?
- 18 A. That's possible.
- 19 Q. "Also, L and S seemed to have some interest in this
- 20 topic."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 A. I do see that.
- 23 | Q. You understand "L and S" is Larry Page and Sergey Brin,
- 24 | the founders of the Google; correct?
- 25 **A.** I was asked about this in my deposition. My response was

- 1 I don't know.
- 2 Q. Do you have any reason to think it's any other L and S?
- 3 **A.** I didn't even recall seeing this email until it was put in
- 4 | front of me in my deposition.
- 5 Q. All right.
- 6 MR. GONZÁLEZ: And then clip 5.
- 7 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
- 8 Q. On March 8, 2016, this is from page 2, right there in the
- 9 | middle "plus Gary Brown." Do you see that?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. That's when were you added to this email string; correct?
- 12 **A.** That is correct.
- 13 Q. Sir, could you please turn to 2232.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Or, Andrew, could you please play 2260,
- 15 which is in evidence, that he was just shown.
- 16 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 17 | Q. This is one of the documents, sir -- let me call your
- 18 attention first to this document which your lawyer just showed
- 19 you. This is one of the documents you saw. It says
- 20 | "Examiners: Kiddi and someguyiknow."
- 21 Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. Who is "somequyiknow"?
- 24 A. That's me.
- 25 **Q.** And "Kiddi," who is Kiddi?

- 1 A. Kristinn Gudjonsson.
- 2 Q. All right. Now, let's go back to 2232.
- 3 2232, these are notes of a meeting that you participated
- 4 in on August 23, 2016; correct?
- 5 A. Possible.
- 6 Q. That's the same date that Uber's acquisition with Otto
- 7 | closed; right?
- 8 A. It would appear so.
- 9 Q. Do you remember that on the same date that Uber's
- 10 acquisition of Otto closed, you participated in a meeting with
- 11 | Kristinn Gudjonsson and with a roomful of lawyers?
- 12 A. I may have.
- 13 **Q.** Do you have any reason to think that you did not?
- 14 A. No, but I don't recall it.
- 15 Q. You'll notice on the participants, meeting participants,
- 16 | you are listed, someguyiknow; correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 | Q. And do you recall meeting with lawyers from a law firm
- 19 | called Keker & Van Nest?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 Q. And do you recall that Kristinn was in that meeting,
- 22 Mr. Gudjonsson?
- 23 A. When I say I'm familiar with meetings with Keker &
- 24 | Van Nest, there were multiple. And Kristinn may have been a
- 25 part of some of them.

All right. Do you have any reason to believe that 2232 is 1 Q. anything other than notes of that meeting? 2 No. Α. 3 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, I'd move 2232 into 4 evidence. 5 MR. LYONS: Objection. No foundation. 6 THE COURT: Sustained for now. 7 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, you had indicated earlier 8 that we might, from time to time, be able to use an exhibit 9 with a representation that the foundation would be laid later. 10 THE COURT: 11 Yes. BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 12 13 Under "author" -- you see the top of the document under "author"? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 "Kiddi," that's Kristinn Gudjonsson; right? 17 Α. Yes. MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, we'll bring in 18 Mr. Gudjonsson, if we have to, to lay the foundation as the 19 author. This is --20 21 THE COURT: All right. I'll -- can I see the document, actually? 22 MR. GONZÁLEZ: The scribbles are mine. 23 THE COURT: You say this is a forgery? 24 No, Your Honor. There was no foundation 25 MR. LYONS:

```
for this witness.
                        That was the only objection I have.
 1
              THE COURT: Well, but counsel is going to bring in
 2
     Kiddi --
 3
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Mr. Gudjonsson.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          -- to lay the foundation, if need be.
                         Your Honor, I'll let the exhibit come in
              MR. LYONS:
 6
     subject to being able to look at it. But I have no objection
 7
     on authenticity grounds or anything like that.
 8
              THE COURT:
                          2232 is in evidence.
 9
          (Trial Exhibit 2232 received in evidence.)
10
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
11
          All right. This is the first page of 2232.
12
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can you please just go to the
13
     date for now and some of the participants.
14
15
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
          August 23, 2016, the date our deal closes.
16
17
          You are somequyiknow; right?
          I am.
18
     Α.
          Mybarra and rmeny, kvn, those are outside lawyers that you
19
20
     brought in -- that your employer brought in from Keker &
21
     Van Nest; correct?
          That seems possible.
22
     Α.
23
          And you recognize other names as being lawyers here, don't
     ٥.
24
     you?
25
     Α.
          Yes.
```

- 1 Q. Which ones do you recognize as being lawyers -- in-house
- 2 | lawyers at Google?
- 3 A. Blackhart.
- 4 Q. What about michaelpfyl, right above blackhart?
- 5 A. I'm unfamiliar with him. I've seen his name on things,
- 6 but I'm not sure if he's a lawyer.
- 7 Q. Fair enough. What about Laurie Abraham?
- 8 A. Can you point that out?
- 9 Q. Right -- right before you is Kiddi.
- 10 A. That's Abraham Lu. He's a security analyst.
- 11 Q. All right. So you have this meeting. And it says -- the
- 12 | second bullet says "Background introduced for all parties
- 13 involved." Did you see that bullet right there? It says
- 14 | "Background introduced for all parties involved." Do you see
- 15 that?
- 16 A. I do see that.
- 17 | Q. Who was the person who was speaking about the background
- 18 | to educate everybody?
- 19 A. I have no idea. As I mentioned before, the notes would
- 20 | imply that I was at this meeting, but I could not tell you who
- 21 | wrote what line and who spoke what line that was transposed.
- 22 | MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, next clip, please.
- 23 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 24 Q. I'm going to ask you just a couple of these entries.
- 25 **A.** Sure.

- 1 Q. "He" -- referring to Mr. Levandowski. "He announced that
- 2 he wanted to start his own company that was involved in
- 3 autonomous trucks which would not be a direct competitor to
- 4 Google. That was the general agreement."
- 5 Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do see that.
- 7 Q. Do you recall somebody discussing at this meeting that
- 8 there was an agreement that Mr. Levandowski could start his own
- 9 | company as long as he didn't compete with Google?
- 10 A. I don't specifically recall that.
- 11 Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to what that means
- when it says "that was the general agreement"? Do you have any
- 13 recollection of what that means?
- 14 A. I don't know. I don't know how these agreements work when
- 15 | people leave the company.
- 16 | Q. All right. And then below that, the next highlighted
- 17 | item, "Uber is generally considered to be Chauffeur's main
- 18 | competitor, which" --
- 19 You recall that being discussed; correct?
- 20 A. Possibly.
- 21 **Q.** You understood that at the time?
- 22 | A. One of -- everybody has a -- some type of self-driving car
- 23 endeavor these days.
- 24 Q. All right. And then it says, "Which contradicts what they
- 25 | learned from Anthony with his intent to not be in the same

- 1 | space and compete against Google."
- 2 Do you recall that being discussed?
- 3 **A.** Not specifically.
- 4 Q. Do you recall there being discussion that there was an
- 5 agreement or an understanding with Mr. Levandowski that it was
- 6 okay as long as he didn't get into the same space and compete
- 7 | against Google?
- 8 A. I don't. I was not part of those discussions or these
- 9 interviews or any of that.
- 10 Q. All right. The next item at the bottom says, "If Google
- 11 | were to take actions on preventing the acquisition, we need to
- 12 move as quickly as possible."
- Do you see that?
- 14 A. I do see that.
- 15 | Q. The acquisition there, preventing the acquisition, that's
- 16 | Uber's acquisition of Otto; correct?
- 17 A. Possibly.
- 18 | Q. Do you recall that being discussed in this room while you
- 19 were sitting there with a bunch of lawyers?
- 20 **A.** Not specifically.
- 21 Q. Now, I want to pause right here. You gave a lot of
- 22 | testimony about all of these things that you looked at about
- 23 the SVN server. Do you recall that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 **Q.** When did you do that work?

- 1 A. Sometime in the summer of 2016.
- 2 Q. Summer of 2016?
- 3 **A.** Yes. Sometime between maybe July and October.
- 4 Q. When you began doing your work in March and you saw
- 5 Mr. Gudjonsson was doing work in February, did either one of
- 6 you look at the SVN server at that time?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8 Q. Nobody asked you to; right?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. And neither one of you thought, hey, that's an important
- 11 | place to look? Neither one of you thought that; right?
- 12 **A.** Uhm --
- 13 MR. LYONS: I'll object.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: I'll rephrase. I'll rephrase.
- 15 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 16 Q. You didn't think that that was an important place to look,
- 17 | did you?
- 18 A. I was not familiar with the entirety of Waymo's
- 19 infrastructure at that time.
- 20 Q. All right. Let me have you turn, sir, to 2211.
- 21 | 2211 is another email chain that you're part of; correct?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Move 2211 into evidence, Your Honor.
- MR. LYONS: No objection, Your Honor. I'll just note
- 25 | it's beyond the scope of direct.

THE COURT: Received. 1 (Trial Exhibit 2211 received in evidence.) 2 (Document displayed.) 3 MR. GONZÁLEZ: The top email, please. 4 5 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 6 The date is August 23rd of 2016. Do you see that? Q. Α. I do. 7 That's the same exact date that you had the meeting with 8 all these lawyers; right? 9 10 Α. Seems so. MR. GONZÁLEZ: 11 Andrew, can we go to the page before. 12 Okay. BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 13 On the second page of this email chain, there's an email 14 15 from Kevin Vosen. Do you see that name? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Kevin Vosen is the top lawyer, the general counsel, for Waymo; correct? 18 19 It's possible. Α. 20 Do you know -- you don't know the name? 21 I've heard the name before. I don't know what his title Α. 22 is. 23 You know he's a lawyer? He could be. 24 Α.

25

Q.

It says, "Based on discussions with Drummond this

- 1 | morning, we should accelerate our investigation."
- 2 You understand that to be David Drummond?
- 3 **A.** That seems plausible.
- 4 Q. "We also need to decide when and whether to notify Uber of
- 5 our concerns or potential concerns."
- 6 Do you see that?
- 7 A. I do see that.
- 8 Q. Are you aware of any notification to Uber, before this
- 9 lawsuit was filed, that Anthony Levandowski or Uber had
- 10 | allegedly done anything wrong?
- 11 A. I'm not party to any of these intercompany communications,
- 12 so I wouldn't be able to speak to that.
- 13 Q. Number 3, this is the bottom of the first page. "John K.
- 14 has now said he wants this investigation done in a week."
- 15 Do you see that?
- 16 A. I do see that.
- 17 Q. That's John Krafcik?
- 18 A. Could be.
- 19 **Q.** Do you know of any other John K.?
- 20 **A.** No, but I don't know if at that time I knew of John
- 21 Krafcik.
- 22 Q. Mr. Krafcik is the CEO of the company; correct?
- 23 A. I'm aware of that now.
- 24 \ Q. Do you recall that there was a lot of pressure to get this
- 25 done quickly?

- 1 **A.** No more than any other incident that we handle.
- 2 Q. Do you recall that management was eager to find something,
- 3 | anything, that they could use to try to stop that deal?
- 4 **A.** I don't report directly to lawyers, and our management
- 5 trusts us to do our job.
- 6 Q. All right. Could you turn, please, to 2242.
- 7 This is another email chain that you're part of; correct?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Move 2242 into evidence, Your Honor.
- MR. LYONS: No objection, Your Honor. Note again this
- 11 is beyond the scope of direct.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Well, it bears on this general subject
- 13 | matter that you got into, so that objection is overruled.
- 14 2242 in evidence.
- 15 (Trial Exhibit 2242 received in evidence.)
- 16 (Document displayed.)
- 17 | BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 18 **Q.** Sir, I want to start on the second page of the email.
- 19 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can you put up the first.
- 20 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 21 Q. Thomas Gorman -- that's a name I don't think we've heard
- 22 yet.
- Thomas Gorman is yet another lawyer from the law firm
- 24 | Keker & Van Nest, that Google hired to help with this
- 25 | investigation; correct?

- 1 A. Tom Gorman, I believe, is a lawyer at Keker & Van Nest.
- 2 Q. All right. And you've had a lot of communications with
- 3 that lawyer; correct?
- 4 A. I've spoken with Tom, yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. And on September 13 --
- 6 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Back, Andrew.
- 7 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 8 Q. On September 13, Mr. Gorman is asking Kristinn and Gary --
- 9 that's Mr. Gudjonsson and you; right?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. He's asking, "Have you finished reviewing all of the
- 12 | Xooqler's laptops"; right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And, by the way, that's not a typo. "Xooglers" means
- 15 | former Google employees; right?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 | Q. Once they leave, you call them Xooglers. I don't even
- 18 | know how to pronounce that, but that's what that means; right?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** Okay. And they're asking you if you've finished reviewing
- 21 | all of the Xoogler laptops. Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. Because at that time you weren't just looking at
- 24 Mr. Levandowski's laptop, you were looking at everybody who
- 25 | left and was now at Uber; isn't that right?

- 1 **A.** It's hard to enumerate specifically.
- 2 Q. All right. You were looking at lots of different people
- 3 who had left; right?
- 4 **A.** We were investigating multiple individuals.
- 5 **Q.** Okay. More than a dozen; right?
- 6 A. I don't know.
- 7 **Q.** Okay.
- 8 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, next one, Number 2.
- 9 **BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:**
- 10 Q. Then you write back on September 15th, "So I met with
- 11 | Keker & Van Next" -- that's what KVN means; right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 | Q. "I met with KVN external lawyers today, and they have some
- 14 requests with a super aggressive timeline, like as soon as
- 15 | humanly possible."
- Now you're telling us that there was no big hurry to get
- 17 | this done? It was just a normal investigation?
- 18 **A.** So when I say no more than our regular security incidents,
- 19 | we have different priorities to different incidents where -- a
- 20 | P0 is suspected compromise, live actor, very time sensitive.
- 21 And P4 is not so urgent, you know, put it below other things.
- 22 | Q. Okay. The lawyers that Google hired to investigate were
- 23 | telling you "as soon as humanly possible"; right?
- 24 A. It would appear so.
- 25 **Q.** Can you turn to 2215?

```
(Witness complied.)
 1
 2
          The very top email of this chain is an email that you were
     Q.
     copied on; correct?
 3
 4
          Yes.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, I'd move 2215 into
 5
 6
     evidence.
 7
              MR. LYONS:
                           Without objection.
              THE COURT:
 8
                           Thank you.
          It's in evidence.
 9
          (Trial Exhibit 2215 received in evidence.)
10
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
11
          Just for the jury to know, the date October 7, 2016,
12
13
     you're still working on this; right?
14
     Α.
          Possibly.
15
          The email I just showed you a second ago where the lawyers
16
     said get it done as humanly possible, were you done with it by
17
     now?
          What was the date on that?
18
     Α.
19
          I believe it was September 15.
20
          And what was your question?
     Α.
21
          Were you done?
     Q.
22
          I don't know.
     Α.
23
          All right. And it's to Mr. Gorman and to you; right?
24
          Yes.
     Α.
25
          Mr. Gorman being the lawyer; right?
     Q.
```

Α. 1 Yes.

- "Anthony had two laptops assigned to him, and he also had 2 a workstation."
- Now, a couple of points. I think the judge made one of 4 5 them, which is that the laptop that this stuff was downloaded 6 onto was a Google laptop; right?
- Please clarify which stuff. 7 Α.
- The 14,000 files that you just testified about. 8 Q.
- Those files were downloaded to a laptop that had been 9 Α. assigned to him. 10
- 11 By Google? Q.
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 0. All right. And then he also had a workstation; right?
- 14 Α. Yes.
- 15 A workstation is like a computer that you have on your
- 16 desk; right?
- 17 Like a desktop. Α.
- 18 A desktop. Thank you. 0.
- And that desktop, you never got to examine it, did you? 19
- It had been returned to inventory and repurposed. 20 Α.
- Because Anthony Levandowski's desktop, even though you 21 Q.
- were in the middle of an investigation, Google wiped it clean 22
- 23 and assigned it to somebody else; right?
- It had been collected and repurposed. 24 Α.
- Let's just -- let me try some plain English. 25 Q. When

you say "repurposed," Google wiped it clean and assigned it to 1 another employee in the middle of an investigation; right? 2 At some point, they -- I'm not sure when it was collected. 3 Α. Well, the dates are on the screen, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Listen -- well, you're not answering his 6 question. Let's take it. 7 Was it wiped clean? THE WITNESS: It was. 8 9 **THE COURT:** And was it assigned to somebody else? THE WITNESS: Yes, it was. 10 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ: 11 And it was reassigned to another user on February 24th, as 12 13 indicated up there on the email; right? Yes. 14 Α. 15 And that's right in the middle of your investigation; 16 right? 17 Possibly. I would need to look at the exact date that Α. even the first laptops got to us. 18 19 Okay. Q. MR. LYONS: Can the witness finish the answer? 20 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ 21 I'm sorry. I thought you were finished. 22 Q. 23 THE COURT: Please finish your answer.

reason to believe -- there was no evidence of anything

24

25

THE WITNESS: It's also worth noting that we had no

- 1 particularly unusual going on, on that device as opposed to the
- 2 download that I walked through earlier where we had identified
- 3 his laptop.
- 4 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 5 Q. As of February 24th -- or, actually, February 18th, when
- 6 | it was reformatted, had anybody even inspected his workstation?
- 7 **THE COURT:** What year is --
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: This is 2016, Your Honor. This is just
- 9 a few weeks after Mr. Levandowski leaves, it's reformatted.
- 10 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
- 11 Q. Nobody had even examined it before it was reformatted;
- 12 | isn't that true?
- 13 A. I don't know that we examined anything that belonged to
- 14 him by February 18th, 2016.
- 15 Q. All right. So just to be clear, it wasn't erased because
- 16 | somebody examined it and concluded there was no evidence that
- 17 | might be helpful; right?
- 18 A. I believe that to be correct.
- 19 Q. Next clip.
- 20 All right. October 5, 2016, Mr. Gorman, the lawyer hired
- 21 by Google from Keker & Van Nest is writing an email. And this
- 22 | is his response to Mr. Gudjonsson. The email that I just sent
- 23 | you, this is Mr. Gorman's response. And what he says is, he
- 24 refers --
- 25 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can you highlight

- 1 December 11th.
- 2 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ:
- 3 Q. Do you see that date, December 11th?
- 4 **A.** I do.
- 5 Q. He's referring to the download that you just talked about;
- 6 right?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. And what he says about those documents is "those aren't
- 9 the crown jewels." That's what your own lawyer said about
- 10 | these documents; right?
- 11 A. Those words are said.
- 12 Q. Sir, just a couple more questions.
- So when Mr. Levandowski downloaded the 14,000 files on
- 14 December 11th, did any alarm bells go off anywhere?
- 15 **A.** Not on December 11th, to my knowledge.
- 16 Q. Who was the person at Google, at that time, who's
- 17 responsible for making sure that when somebody downloads 14,000
- 18 | files, they have a legitimate reason to do that? Who's
- 19 responsible for that back then?
- 20 A. I'm not sure.
- 21 | Q. Nobody -- nobody at Google supervised that SVN repository
- 22 | to monitor who was downloading the entire database. Nobody did
- 23 | that; right?
- 24 A. I don't know.
- 25 Q. If these documents were important, don't you think that

```
would be a good idea, is to put somebody in charge of keeping
 1
     an eye on the repository so that if somebody downloads
 2
     everything, maybe somebody might ask a question about, "Hey,
 3
     why did you do that?"
 4
 5
          Do you think that would have been a good idea from a
     security standpoint?
 6
          Possible, but it's very hard to detect malicious insiders.
 7
     Α.
          But it's not hard to detect when somebody downloads the
 8
     entire database. That's not hard, is it?
 9
          I don't know.
10
     Α.
11
     Q.
          Thank you.
                          That's all I have, Your Honor.
12
              MS. DUNN:
13
              MR. LYONS:
                           Can I have a second, Your Honor?
14
              THE COURT:
                           Okay.
15
          (Discussion held off the record amongst Plaintiff's
16
           counsel.)
17
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. LYONS
18
          Just a few questions, sir.
19
          With regard to the time that you worked on this
20
21
     investigation, when did you start?
22
          With respect to Mr. Levandowski's devices?
     Α.
23
          Yes.
     Ο.
          Sometime in the summer of 2016.
24
     Α.
25
          Now, during the time that you started looking at his
     Q.
```

- 1 devices, did you look at a number of different types of
- 2 devices?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. When is the first time that you looked at the
- 5 | laptop that you found the downloads on?
- 6 A. Probably around October.
- 7 Q. So with regards to counsel's comments about a lot of
- 8 things that you worked on, with regards to the download, the
- 9 | first time that you looked at that particular computer was in
- 10 October; is that correct?
- 11 **A.** That is correct.
- 12 Q. Okay. And how is it that you began looking at the laptop
- 13 in October?
- 14 A. We had received information that it was suspected Anthony
- 15 Levandowski had downloaded files from the SVN server.
- 16 **Q.** To his laptop?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Now, with regards to the workstation that you referred to
- 19 | earlier that you said had been re-inventoried and put back into
- 20 use, you recall that testimony?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- 22 | Q. Okay. With regard to that laptop, I think counsel
- 23 | indicated to you that the lap- -- excuse me, that the
- 24 | workstation was collected in February, 2016?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. And at that time, to your knowledge, was there any
- 2 | suspicion that Mr. Levandowski had improperly used the
- 3 workstation?
- 4 **A.** No.
- 5 Q. With regards to the devices that you were working on in
- 6 March that you were shown exhibits about, what devices with
- 7 | regard to Mr. Levandowski were you looking at?
- 8 A. None.
- 9 Q. Now, with regard to other individuals in March of 2016,
- 10 | were you looking at other devices?
- 11 **A.** I was.
- 12 Q. Okay. But with regards to Mr. Levandowski, when is the
- 13 | first device that you looked at with regard to him?
- 14 **A.** Sometime in the summer of 2016. Thank you for allowing me
- 15 | to clarify.
- 16 **Q.** And what was the -- the first device that you looked at
- 17 | with regard to him?
- 18 A. Anthony Levandowski, would have been the Windows laptop
- 19 | that had become a Linux laptop.
- 20 **Q.** So with regard to counsel's comments about all of the
- 21 | activity that other people were doing, with regards to the work
- 22 | that you did as a witness who's here testifying today, you
- 23 | first started your work in the summer of 2016; is that correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Now, you were asked some questions about the SVN server

- 1 and security on the SVN server; right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. Are you here to testify today about the security
- 4 | precautions of the SVN server?
- 5 **A.** Not particularly.
- 6 Q. So with regard to whether it would be a good idea to do
- 7 certain things with regard to the SVN server, are you in a
- 8 position to testify about what you think is a good idea about
- 9 what should be done?
- 10 A. I don't believe so.
- 11 Q. Okay. Did you set up the SVN server?
- 12 A. I did not.
- 13 Q. Okay. With regard to the work you did regarding the SVN
- 14 server, you did some investigation about downloads from that
- 15 | particular server; correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. And that was what you testified about?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. The drive download that you discussed with regards to the
- 20 | card reader, you recall that testimony?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. There was a laptop that was his workstation laptop?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. And then there was a transcend device that was accessed or
- 25 | that -- excuse me, plugged into the computer; correct?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And then the device was removed; correct?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. Now, with regard to the workstation laptop that he
- 5 had, that was reformatted; correct?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 **Q.** Okay. Now, as you sit here today, did you personally
- 8 investigate whether Mr. Levandowski removed any files from his
- 9 workstation that you examined, the laptop that you examined,
- 10 and put it on a personal computer that did not belong to
- 11 Google?
- 12 **A.** The granularity of the logs available to us did not
- 13 include that visibility and any artifacts that would have
- 14 | allowed us to confirm or refute that happening were erased with
- 15 the reimage.
- 16 **Q.** So with regard to the work that you did, as you sit here
- 17 | today, you have no personal knowledge as to whether
- 18 Mr. Levandowski took the card reader and put it into some other
- 19 device?
- 20 **A.** I have no knowledge, no.
- 21 | Q. Okay. If we wanted to find that out, we'd have to bring a
- 22 different witness to court?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Okay. With regard to the information that you do know,
- 25 | though, did you have an opinion as to whether it was

```
appropriate for Mr. Levandowski to remove the information from
 1
     the SVN server and put it onto a card reader?
 2
              MR. GONZALEZ: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
 3
          His opinion, I'm not sure is proper.
 4
     403.
 5
              MR. LYONS:
                         Your Honor, if I may --
              THE COURT: Present day opinion is excluded.
 6
     Sustained.
 7
     BY MR. LYONS
 8
 9
          With regard to whether it was appropriate to remove the
     information from the SVN server and put it on a device that was
10
11
     not a Google-issued device, do you have an understanding as to
     whether that's appropriate for Google employees?
12
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Same objection, Your Honor.
13
              THE COURT: Well, no. You asked questions like,
14
15
     "Don't you think it would have been a good idea?"
16
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Fine. Let him answer.
              THE COURT: All right. So this is about the same, so
17
     he can --
18
              MR. GONZALEZ:
                             Appreciate that.
19
              THE COURT: Fair enough. Go ahead. Ask that
20
     question.
21
                            This type of removal is against policy.
22
              THE WITNESS:
23
              MR. LYONS:
                          Thank you. Nothing further.
                          All right. May the witness be excused?
24
              THE COURT:
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: As a concession to the shortness of
25
```

```
life, yes.
 1
 2
          (Laughter.)
                                  Thank you, Mr. Brown. You're free
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
 3
 4
     to go.
 5
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
              THE COURT: Have a good day.
 6
 7
              THE WITNESS: You too, Your Honor.
          (Witness excused.)
 8
                          We're going to -- we're going to go on to
 9
              THE COURT:
     our next witness and probably interrupt him in the middle, but
10
11
     I want to push on unless somebody over there in the jury box
     needs a break.
12
13
          (No response.)
                          Seeing no hands shoot up, I'm going to ask
14
              THE COURT:
15
     the plaintiff to call the next witness.
16
              MR. PERLSON: Good morning, Your Honor.
                                                        David
17
     Perlson. Waymo calls William Grossman.
              THE COURT: William Grossman?
18
              THE WITNESS:
19
                            Yes.
                          Raise your right hand, please.
20
              THE COURT:
21
                           WILLIAM GROSSMAN,
     called as a witness for the plaintiff, having been duly sworn,
22
     testified as follows:
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            I do.
24
                          Please be seated. Speak directly into the
25
              THE CLERK:
```

- microphone. State your name for the court, and spell your last name, please.
- THE WITNESS: My name is William Grossman, and my last name is spelled G-R-O-S-S-M-A-N.
 - THE COURT: All right. Welcome again.
- 6 Counsel, go ahead.
- 7 MR. PERLSON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
- Your Honor, just for notice, there's going to be a few portions -- a few exhibits that will be shown to the jury only that can't be shown to the gallery.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Remind us when we get there.
- 12 MR. PERLSON: Absolutely, Your Honor.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- 14 BY MR. PERLSON
- 15 Q. Good morning. Can you please introduce yourself to the
- 16 jury?

13

- 17 A. Yeah, hi. My name's William Grossman.
- 18 **Q.** And where do you live, Mr. Grossman?
- 19 A. I live in Palo Alto.
- 20 **Q.** Where did you grow up?
- 21 A. I grew up in Northern California. I was born in Lodi and
- 22 | I went to high school in Davis.
- 23 **Q.** And where do you work now?
- 24 A. I work at Waymo.
- 25 **Q.** When did you start working at Waymo?

- 1 A. I began at Waymo in roughly 2014. Back then, it was the
- 2 | self-driving car project part of Google and it recently became
- 3 part of -- recently became Waymo.
- 4 | Q. Did you go to college?
- 5 **A.** I did.
- 6 Q. Where?
- 7 A. I went to UC Berkeley here in the Bay, and I studied
- 8 | mechanical engineering.
- 9 **Q.** Did you go to graduate school?
- 10 A. I did. After UC Berkeley, I went to Stanford and I did a
- 11 | Master's in mechanical engineering as well, with a sub-focus on
- 12 embedded systems.
- 13 **Q.** Embedded systems, what's that?
- 14 A. Embedded systems is the study of low-level electronic
- 15 | hardware with low-level software, and you combine the two and
- 16 | it's what makes up a lot of smart products that you would use
- 17 on a daily basis.
- 18 So, like a smart doorknob would be an embedded system,
- 19 coffeemakers can be embedded systems; that type of thing.
- 20 **Q.** Did you have any jobs in between Stanford and Waymo?
- 21 A. Yeah, I did. After I graduated Stanford, I moved to
- 22 | San Francisco and started working at a company called ODG, and
- 23 | we were making augmented reality glasses.
- 24 After that, I started a company with two friends and we
- 25 | were doing large scale aerial imaging using drones.

- 1 Q. Why did you join Waymo?
- 2 A. I joined Waymo because I -- because the technology is
- 3 | really cool and compelling to me. It's a good fit with my
- 4 | background. They were looking for somebody with my particular
- 5 | set of skills and I had -- I knew people that were working
- 6 there and they -- you know, they said it was a pretty good
- 7 | environment, so I -- so I joined them.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what do you do at Waymo?
- 9 **A.** So my job title is hardware engineer, and I work on the
- 10 | SDS architecture group.
- 11 **Q.** SDS architecture, what's that?
- 12 **A.** So SDS stands for self-driving systems and it encompasses
- 13 | all of the hardware that we build that's necessary to put on a
- 14 car to make it self-driving, all the extra hardware.
- 15 And the architecture group is responsible for working with
- 16 | a lot of the different teams, the -- the LiDAR teams, the radar
- 17 | teams, the computer teams, all the different pieces of
- 18 | hardware, the embedded electronics teams, that bring all of
- 19 their different disciplines together.
- 20 And the architecture group kind of managed that coming
- 21 | together and make sure that it all comes together well and
- 22 makes the car drive itself.
- 23 | Q. Do you work with -- do you work on the laser team that
- 24 develops LiDAR at Waymo?
- 25 **A.** I do not.

- 1 Q. Do you work with the laser team?
- 2 **A.** I have worked with them in the past, yes.
- 3 Q. Do you work with PCBs at Waymo?
- 4 **A.** I have, yeah.
- 5 Q. And what's a PCB?
- 6 A. Yeah. So a PCB is a printed circuit board and it's a
- 7 | substrate, typically very thin, that you would solder
- 8 | electronic components onto, typically called chips. You might
- 9 have heard of those.
- 10 And their PCB is the basis of almost all modern
- 11 electronics. So if you open your cell phone, if you open your
- 12 | watch, if you open -- even your coffeemaker will have a PCB in
- 13 it these days.
- 14 Q. And before you joined Waymo, did you have experience with
- 15 PCBs?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 **Q.** About how many years have you worked with them?
- 18 A. I -- in grad school, I've worked with them. I've designed
- 19 them. I've had them manufactured. I've debugged them, which
- 20 | is just a fancy way of saying you troubleshoot issues with the
- 21 PCBs. It's maybe been at least ten years now.
- 22 | Q. How many different types of PCBs have you seen over the
- 23 years?
- 24 A. Thousands. Many.
- 25 MR. PERLSON: Your Honor, I'm going to show -- if you

```
could turn to TX-4835 in your binder?
 1
 2
          Mr. Fisher, we can show just the top part of this is in --
     we can show --
 3
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Do you have a binder?
 4
 5
          (Whereupon exhibit binder was tendered to counsel.)
     BY MR. PERLSON
 6
 7
          Do you recognize this email?
     Q.
          Yes, I do.
 8
     Α.
          And what is it?
 9
          This is an email that I received on December 13, 2016.
10
     Α.
     It's from Eri Suzuki and was sent to me.
11
              MR. PERLSON: Your Honor, we move to admit TX-4835.
12
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: No objection.
13
              THE COURT: Received.
14
15
          (Trial Exhibit 4835 received in evidence.)
16
     BY MR. PERLSON
17
          Mr. Grossman, what is Gorilla Circuits?
          Gorilla Circuits is a vendor down in San Jose that
18
     Α.
     manufactures PCBs.
19
          Does Waymo work with Gorilla Circuits?
20
          Yeah, we have in the past. Different groups have worked
21
     Α.
     with Gorilla Circuits.
22
          And have you worked with Gorilla Circuits in the past?
23
                 I've worked within groups that have had our PCBs
24
25
     manufactured within -- with Gorilla Circuits, yes.
```

- 1 Q. Where were you when you got this email in December 2016?
- 2 A. I was sitting at my desk in front of my computer down at
- 3 our headquarters in Mountain View.
- 4 Q. Were you expecting to receive this email from Ms. Suzuki?
- 5 **A.** No, I was not.
- 6 Q. Do you see the -- if you look on the email, there's some
- 7 | addresses from Gorilla Circuits. Do you see that?
- 8 A. In the -- in the "To" line? Yeah.
- 9 Q. And then in the "cc" line, there's an
- 10 uber@gorillacircuits.com?
- 11 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. Did you know what that was at the time you received it?
- 13 A. No, I didn't.
- 14 Q. Now, if you look at the subject of the email, it says,
- 15 "Otto Files." Do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. When you got this email, did you know what Otto files was?
- 18 A. I did not.
- 19 Q. Had you heard the name Otto before?
- 20 A. Yeah. I had heard of Otto in the context of a
- 21 | self-driving company that was recently started by some -- some
- 22 | former Waymo employees, including Anthony Levandowski.
- 23 | Q. Okay. Does this email have any attachments to it?
- 24 **A.** Yeah. It says right here it's got a zip folder,
- 25 | "manufacturing docs for Fuji laser."

```
1
     Q.
          Okay.
              MR. PERLSON: Mr. Fisher, if you could pull up the
 2
     native portion of that? Open that up. Okay.
 3
          (Document displayed.)
 4
 5
     BY MR. PERLSON
 6
     Q.
          So first of all, what's a zip -- what's a zip file?
          A zip file is a compression of lots of different
 7
     Α.
     documents, and you compress it so that it's smaller and you can
 8
     send it more quickly through the internet.
 9
          So what was in this zip file?
10
     Q.
11
          So this zip file contained a fab package, as we call it in
     the industry. It's everything that you need to be able to
12
     manufacture that specific PCB. So there's multiple files and
13
     folders within the -- within here that contain all that
14
15
     information.
16
              MR. PERLSON: And so for this portion, Your Honor,
17
     we'd just like to show one of these attachments to the jury and
18
     not have it shown to the gallery.
              THE COURT:
                          Fine.
19
              MR. PERLSON: Well, if you could pull up the Fuji
20
21
     laser TX-100 assembly drawing, please?
22
          (Document displayed)
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            Yeah.
     BY MR. PERLSON
24
25
                 So do you recognize this document?
     Q.
```

- 1 A. Yes, I do.
- 2 **0.** And what is it?
- 3 **A.** This is a 2D drawing of the PCB in question that was in
- 4 the attachments to the email.
- 5 Q. Okay. And does it have any -- does this -- does this
- 6 | specification on it indicate a particular company it's
- 7 | associated with?
- 8 MR. RABIN: No.Not that I can tell or not that I -- I
- 9 could tell. It just says -- it's got the title down here "Fuji
- 10 Laser TX, you know, so on.
- 11 Q. Did you know what Fuji was at the time that you received
- 12 this email in December 2016?
- 13 A. No, I didn't.
- 14 Q. Okay. What was your reaction when you -- when you saw
- 15 | this attachment to the email?
- 16 **A.** I was a little surprised and confused that it looked like
- 17 one of the PCBs that we have in one of our LiDAR systems.
- 18 0. And which PCB is that?
- 19 A. This is the -- so it looks like the -- the GBr3 transmit
- 20 board.
- 21 **Q.** What's the GBr3 transmit board?
- 22 **A.** So GBr is a LiDAR, and within it are lots of PCBs. And
- 23 | the GBr3 transmit board is one of those PCBs. And it houses,
- 24 or it has soldered onto it, laser diodes.
- 25 And that PCB is what actually shoots out the lasers that

- form sort of a -- one of the key components of the LiDAR 1 That's the photons leaving the little -- the LiDAR 2 unit. 3 If you could turn in your folder to TX --4 5 demonstrative TX-2963? MR. PERLSON: This, again, I'd like to show to the 6 7 jury and not to the gallery. Okay. Make sure that this is not being 8 THE COURT: shown publicly, just to the jury and counsel. 9 (Photograph displayed.) 10 11 THE WITNESS: Where does -- oh, okay, I see it. BY MR. PERLSON 12 13 Yeah. What's -- what's in this photo? So this is a photo of a stackup of GBr3 transmit boards. 14 15 MR. PERLSON: Mr. Fisher, can you put this 16 demonstrative 29 -- or 2863 next to the blow-up you had of the 17 assembly drawing? (Documents displayed side-by-side.) 18 BY MR. PERLSON 19 Why is that you -- what was it in the specification that 20 you saw attached to the Gorilla email in December 2016 that 21 made you think that it looked like the GBr3 that's pictured on 22 23 the right?
 - Debra L. Pas, CSR, CRR and Katherine Sullivan, CSR, CRR

So you can just take a -- take a look at the two

24

25

Yeah.

to it that's really important.

So three sides are flat, and that's not particularly interesting, but the one side, the left side here has a concave structure. And then even within that concave structure, there are very specific spacings and angles of the edge of the PCB which are very unusual. Like, you wouldn't -- you wouldn't just see that randomly.

And so it caught my eye and that's -- that's what, you know, made me think that it was our board.

- 10 **Q.** Okay. When you -- when you got the email and after you looked at it, did you contact -- did you respond to Gorilla?
- 12 A. I did not.
- 13 **Q.** Why not?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 14 A. I don't know. I was a little confused about what I was
- 15 looking at and...
- 16 Q. Well, what did you do?
- 17 A. So I contacted my manager and showed him the email and the
- 18 attachments.
- 19 Q. Why did you do that?
- 20 **A.** I wanted to get his opinion on what I should do and kind
- 21 of escalate upwards.
- 22 **Q.** Okay. And who's your manager?
- 23 **A.** My manager is Ryan Andrade.
- 24 | Q. And then what did you do after Mister -- after you talked
- 25 | with Mr. Andrade?

- 1 A. So I spoke with Mister -- with Ryan and we decided that it
- 2 | would be best to go talk to one of the leaders on the laser
- 3 | team who was very familiar with this board and can kind of tell
- 4 us if this was our -- actually our board, and that was
- 5 Pierre-Yves Droz.
- 6 Q. Okay. So did you go talk to him?
- 7 **A.** I did, yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. And what -- what happened when you talked with him?
- 9 A. So we -- I went and spoke with Pierre. We went to a
- 10 | conference room. I showed him the email, showed him the
- 11 attachments. And we -- he took a look at them and then we
- 12 decided that we should get Dmitri Dolgov involved. And Dmitri
- 13 | is the VP of Engineering, so he's sort of the top engineer at
- 14 Waymo.
- 15 Q. Okay. Why did you decide to do that?
- 16 **A.** Again, we were sort of escalating things as we thought
- 17 | this looked more and more like our board, and so we wanted to
- 18 | get higher levels of management involved.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so now you've got Mr. Dolgov involved. What
- 20 | did you do after that?
- 21 A. So Dmitri joined us. So it was Pierre, Dmitri and myself
- 22 | sitting in the conference room. We showed him the files as
- 23 | well. And at that point, we decided that we should get Legal
- 24 involved.
- 25 | Q. And why was that?

- 1 A. Well, we thought that it looked like our board and that --
- 2 that the right thing to do was to, you know, get Legal
- 3 involved.
- 4 Q. Okay. And did you -- after -- and so did you send it to
- 5 Legal?
- 6 A. Yeah.
- 7 | Q. Okay. And that's the email you got in December '16, the
- 8 | Gorilla email?
- 9 A. Yes. Correct.
- 10 Q. After you sent the -- this email to Legal, did you show
- 11 | the email and attachments to anybody else?
- 12 A. I did not.
- 13 MR. PERLSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 16 BY MR. GONZÂLEZ
- 17 Q. Mr. Grossman, I'd like to clarify something.
- 18 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can we put up Page 7 of
- 19 Exhibit 1307 for the jury only?
- 20 Andrew, blow up the drawing on the upper left, the board
- 21 on the upper left.
- 22 (Document displayed.)
- MR. GONZÁLEZ: Okay. Can you turn it off? I said not
- 24 | for public. Turn it off, those two.
- 25 Try that again. Only the jury and counsel. If we could

please display Page 7. 1 2 (Document displayed.) MR. GONZALEZ: There we go. I'm assuming the jurors 3 can see a board in front of them. 4 5 BY MR. GONZÂLEZ 6 Sir, I just want to clarify one little thing. Q. Α. 7 Yes. The reason you thought the shape was like yours because 8 three sides were flat, and that I can understand, and you said 9 one was concave? 10 11 Α. Yes. Concave basically means, like, a curve; right? 12 13 Α. Yes. 14 Okay. All right. 15 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Andrew, can we show a side-by-side of 16 this -- well, I'm sorry. 17 Andrew, put up for the public -- put up for the public Page 7 from Exhibit 1049. 18 (Document displayed) 19 BY MR. GONZÁLEZ 20 21 Sir, just looking at that drawing on the bottom, three are flat; right? 22 23 Α. Yes. And one is concave; correct? 24 25 That is correct. Α.

```
1
     Q.
          Okay.
                 Now --
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
 2
                             Madam Clerk, I'm sorry.
                                                        No more
     public, just the jury.
 3
          Andrew, can we do a side-by-side?
 4
 5
          (Document displayed)
     BY MR. GONZÁLEZ
 6
          This is Page 7 from Exhibit 1307, which was the Gorilla
 7
     email, and Page 7 from Exhibit 1049, which is the publicly
 8
 9
     available patent.
          Sir, both of these have three flat sides and one concave
10
11
     side; correct?
12
          I can't see anymore.
13
          You can't see it on your screen either?
14
     Α.
          No.
15
              THE COURT:
                           Just a minute.
                                            Can we --
              THE WITNESS: I can see it now.
16
     BY MR. GONZÂLEZ
17
18
          You can see it there?
     0.
19
     Α.
          Yes.
20
          They both have three sides and one curved or concave;
21
     correct?
          That is correct.
22
     Α.
          And the one on the right that you're looking at on the
23
     right, you see the -- how it's got three holes on the
24
25
     right-hand side?
                        See those three?
```

- 1 A. Yeah. Okay.
- 2 Q. The one in the middle, you see the one in the middle is a
- 3 | little bit bigger. You understand that to be a guide hole;
- 4 correct?
- 5 A. If you're telling me that that's a guide hole, okay.
- 6 Q. You don't have any reason to dispute that?
- 7 A. No. I don't have any specific information about it.
- 8 Q. All right. And then the -- you see the circle that goes
- 9 around -- it's not a circle. The part that goes around those
- 10 | squares, that part there?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. You understand that's a spacer; correct?
- 13 **A.** Again, I don't know that it's a spacer, but, sure, if
- 14 | you're telling me it is.
- 15 Q. Right.
- 16 MR. GONZÂLEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. That's all I
- 17 have.
- 18 MR. PERLSON: Can we keep that up, the comparison?
- 19 | Can you put that back up? Not to the public.
- 20 | THE CLERK: Not to the public?
- 21 MR. PERLSON: Not to the public, just to the jury. Is
- 22 it up?
- 23 THE COURT: So you need to do the video feed to this
- 24 | side of the room; right? So they can put it back up there the
- 25 | way it was. And the gallery is off, please. Jury and witness,

1 okay. Well, it was up there and then it went away. 2 MR. PERLSON: Now I can't see it. 3 BY MR. PERLSON 4 5 Well, I think he showed you the patent. Is it on the left Q. or the right? Because I can't see it. 6 Α. 7 I think it was on the right. 8 Okay. Q. It's not up here at all. 9 THE COURT: THE CLERK: Just a second. 10 11 THE COURT: What happened to the side-by-side comparison? 12 The gallery monitor is still on, so I'm 13 MR. GUEVARA: not -- until we have that off, I can't show it. 14 15 Angie, switch it so that the right side of THE COURT: 16 the room is able to do inputs, and then they will put the --17 there we go. It's up on the screen -- well, then it went away What are you lawyers doing to me? 18 aqain. The gallery monitor is still on, Your 19 MR. GUEVARA: 20 Honor. THE COURT: All right. Here's the answer. It's your 21 examination. Your technical people have got to put it up. 22 Those people have failed us. Somebody has failed us, but 23 it's your exam. So if you can't get it up there yourself, too 24 25 bad.

```
1
              MR. PERLSON:
                            I think I can work around it, Your
 2
     Honor.
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Work around it.
 3
              MR. PERLSON: Did you get a cross binder?
                                                          Did you
 4
 5
     hand up a cross binder for him?
          (Whereupon binder was tendered to the witness.)
 6
 7
              MR. PERLSON: Can I get one too?
          (Whereupon binder was tendered to counsel.)
 8
 9
              MR. PERLSON:
                            Thank you.
     BY MR. PERLSON
10
11
          Okay.
                 So if you could turn to, I guess it is 1049, which
     is the -- the patent in Figure 4 that he showed you.
12
13
     Α.
          Yes.
                Figure 4. Okay.
                 And then -- now, you were asked about this, and you
14
15
     pointed out that there were three sides and one of them was
16
     curved; remember?
17
     Α.
          Yes.
          And you pointed out that there were a couple of holes on
18
     it?
19
20
     Α.
          Yes.
                 If we could -- I think we should be able to do
21
          Okay.
     this, show just to the jury the GBr3 demonstrative. Can you do
22
23
     that? I think that should get us where we need to be.
          (Photograph displayed.)
24
25
              MR. PERLSON:
                             Okay.
```

BY MR. PERLSON

- Q. Now, other than the fact that there are three sides and a
- 3 curve and maybe a couple holes, are there other differences --
- 4 are there differences between the -- the board shown in
- 5 | Figure 4 of the patent and the GBr3 in the demonstrative?
- 6 A. The GBr3 transmit board, yeah. So if you look closely,
- 7 | there's a few differences. One of them is the spacing of the
- 8 | structure within the concave side is not uniform. Whereas, in
- 9 | the -- in the patent's, it looks much more uniform.
- 10 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other differences?
- 11 **A.** Yeah. If you look -- and this is part of what struck my
- 12 | eye initially. The angles of the edges are very specific, and
- 13 there's sort of a flow to them. Whereas, on the patent the
- 14 angles of the edge are -- they seem to all be the same. The
- 15 | top portions look the same and the bottom portions look the
- 16 same.
- 17 MR. PERLSON: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Thank you.
- 19 Anything more?
- 20 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Just one, sir.
- 21 Your Honor, I would like to play from his deposition,
- 22 | Page 17, Lines 10 to 16.
- 23 THE COURT: Is that within the scope of what we just
- 24 heard?
- 25 MR. GONZÁLEZ: It's exactly.

```
1
              MR. PERLSON:
                            Your Honor, there's not even a question.
 2
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: It's to impeach the answer he just
 3
     gave.
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 It's fair. Go ahead. Play the --
 4
 5
     roll the tape.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: 17/10 to 16.
 6
 7
          (Videotape played in open court, not reported.)
              THE COURT:
                         Is that it?
 8
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: That's it.
 9
              THE COURT:
10
                          Thank you.
11
                           REDIRECT EXAMINATION
     BY MR. PERLSON
12
13
          Mr. Grossman, one last question. Were you shown the
14
     patent at your deposition in relation to this question that
15
     Mr. González asked you about in his examination?
16
     Α.
          No.
17
              MR. PERLSON: No further questions.
              THE COURT: All right. I'm going to excuse the
18
19
     witness.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                            Nothing else, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: Mr. Grossman, thank you. You're free to
21
22
     go.
          Have a good day.
23
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
          (Witness excused.)
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. We're going to take our break now
```

```
for 15 minutes.
                      Remember the admonition.
 1
                          All rise for the jury.
 2
              THE CLERK:
          (Jury exits the courtroom at 10:58 a.m.)
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Angie, I need you back here, please.
 4
 5
     Everyone be seated.
 6
          All right. You lawyers explain to me what happened there
     such that counsel for Waymo was unable to get the Arturo
 7
     Gonzalez side to do the fair thing and show the very slide you
 8
     had used.
 9
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: I don't know, Your Honor.
10
11
          Come up.
              THE COURT: Was it our fault or was it -- whose fault
12
13
     was it?
              Because this cannot happen again.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: I'm going to have our trial tech answer
14
15
     the question.
16
              MR. GUEVARA: Your Honor, the Clerk has master control
17
     on what goes up and down on the screens. So I believe there
     might have been a, you know, malfunction on what she was
18
19
     pressing to turn off.
20
                          There was a delay --
              THE CLERK:
21
              THE COURT:
                          What happened?
              THE CLERK:
                          There was a little bit of a delay whenever
22
     I would click on his side.
23
                          How long a delay?
24
              THE COURT:
25
              THE CLERK:
                          About a two-second delay.
```

```
Well, then we waited, like, two minutes.
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
     So --
              THE CLERK:
                          But then --
 3
              THE COURT:
                          What? What?
 4
 5
              THE CLERK:
                          Then I tried the other side --
              THE COURT:
                          Here is what I want you to do -- let's get
 6
 7
     your technical person up here, too.
                            This is Mr. Ryan Fisher, Your Honor.
 8
              MR. PERLSON:
              THE COURT:
 9
                         Mr. Fisher.
10
          What's your name?
11
              MR. GUEVARA:
                           Andrew Guevara, for the record, Your
12
     Honor.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Listen, here's the deal. You three -- and
     I'm including the Deputy Courtroom Clerk -- have got to be
14
15
     pronto, no delays.
16
          And whenever the other side wants to borrow a slide that
17
     you showed when Mr. González was doing his cross, it's only
     fair. He had every right to ask you to put that back up there.
18
19
     You can't play games and say, "Oh, sorry.
                                                 Too late." No games
     are going to be played that way.
20
21
          So if there was a glitch here, you three, including the
     Courtroom Deputy Clerk, you've got to figure out what the
22
23
     protocol is going to be so that it doesn't happen again.
     That's point one.
24
25
          Point two is, there's too much of a delay between
```

Mr. González saying we're going to show the deposition, and
then 45 seconds later it comes on the screen. The time of this
jury is too important. It's got to be one second. Two
seconds, I can stand. But if it's going to be longer than
that, then forget the impeachment.

Same thing on your side. You've got to be instant.

All right. Enough on that. Thank you. I know you'll do

a good job.

MR. GUEVARA:

THE COURT: I have a different question now about the substance.

Thank you, Your Honor.

I thought I had made a ruling that that Gorilla Circuits thing, that you could not suggest for one second that that was a trade secret, that spacing. Didn't I have -- I went down and I -- didn't I have an order on that about eight months ago?

What am I thinking of?

MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, I recall the Court expressing great skepticism about the notion that it was a trade secret. I don't recall there being an actual order to that effect.

THE COURT: No, we went through all of the -- we went through all of the directions the diodes were placed and the idea that -- and it turned out those two sets of diodes were so far off that there's no way it could be a trade secret. I mean, at least -- no, maybe it could be a trade secret, but

there was no way you were doing the same thing. 1 I could have sworn that I've made that ruling. 2 MR. GONZÁLEZ: I recall -- Your Honor, I believe what 3 the Court is referring to is Trade Secret 96. That may be what 4 5 the Court is recalling. THE COURT: I don't remember the numbers. 6 MR. GONZÁLEZ: But, in any event, we --7 THE COURT: But that's out of the case, isn't it? 8 Didn't I knock 96 out? 9 MR. GONZÁLEZ: Yes. Yes, you did. 10 11 THE COURT: All right. If you suggest to the jury that there is some trade secrets in that Gorilla thing, I'm 12 13 going to be upset with you. MR. PERLSON: Your Honor, I don't think I did. 14 Ιn 15 fact, it was actually -- it was -- it was them who was 16 comparing it. It was Mr. González on his examination who was 17 comparing it to the public document and suggesting that it was 18 the same as the public document. THE COURT: Well, but this was after you laid all 19 20 kinds of suspicious things on the jury, saying, "Oh, it's got 21 three flat sides. It's got a curve. My God, it's got a curve and three flat sides." 22 23 I mean, you were the one that was suggesting they were copying your design. 24 25 MR. GONZÁLEZ: It was worse than that, Your Honor.

They --1 Well, wait a minute, Your Honor --2 MR. PERLSON: Wait, wait. THE COURT: 3 I should be able to respond. 4 MR. PERLSON: 5 THE COURT: Yes, you should. First of all, Your Honor, what we have MR. PERLSON: 6 heard in this case -- and you heard it in Mr. González's 7 examination of Mr. Brown. They went through in detail saying 8 that this is all just a competitive thing; that this isn't 9 about substance and that the timing of this, that we've known 10 about this for months. 11 And then right at -- you know, and then, in February, 12 we're finally fed up and that we feel that we need to bring a 13 trade secret action. 14 15 The jury needs to know that we found out about this and 16 had the first, you know, tangible evidence from Uber that they 17 were copying our stuff. And it was the basis of the board and the receipt of that Gorilla email that did that. And the fact 18 19 that -- and the story behind it and how it happened directly rebuts the narrative that they have been pushing the last day. 20 21 I have no way of telling that story without --All right. I accept what you say is fair 22 THE COURT: 23 game, but I think you -- you went further and insinuated into that story that -- that there was copying of that particular 24

PCB board and you put the transmit board up there to show the

similarities.

To me, that suggested something that had been knocked out of the case by the judge.

MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, I have a suggestion. And this is what the problem was, and I probably should have objected.

The problem is, they shielded it from the public. That's the problem. They didn't show the public that email they got from Gorilla suggesting, as you've said throughout, that we've got to shield stuff from the public that's a secret. That's my concern.

So here is what I suggest. I think we should show the side-by-side to the public right now, and I think Your Honor should tell the jury that, you know, in hindsight the public should have been allowed to see what was on the screen during the examination of Mr. Grossman. And that's all.

THE COURT: Oh, we're not going to do that yet. But we -- I'm going to see how this develops.

MR. PERLSON: Your Honor --

THE COURT: You know, you have been attached to that Gorilla Circuits email. You have squirmed left and right trying to figure out some way to build your case around it.

And even though 96 got thrown into oblivion, you're still trying to find some way to screw that into the case and it has nothing to do with any trade secret that's in play.

```
So I -- I'm a little upset about that, but I'm not going
 1
     to make some ruling now. We're going to see how it develops
 2
               Maybe I will do a curative instruction in due course.
 3
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Your Honor, can we show a side-by-side
 4
 5
     to the public?
              THE COURT:
                          No.
 6
 7
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Okay. Your Honor --
              THE COURT: We're moving on. We're moving on.
 8
              MR. GONZÁLEZ: Yeah, I have a housekeeping matter.
 9
          Would Your Honor or Your Honor's Clerk, or both of you,
10
11
     like copies of these witness binders? I don't want to fill you
     with binders, but --
12
13
              THE COURT: Actually, I don't want them.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
14
                             Okay.
15
              THE COURT: I've -- it just piles up up here.
16
                  I don't have time to read all these things.
17
     look at it on the screen as it comes up. That's what I have to
     do.
18
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                             All right.
19
              THE COURT: And then if I need to see a hard copy,
20
21
     which I have once, I'll ask you for it.
              MR. GONZÁLEZ:
                             Thank you.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right. What's your housekeeping?
24
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              The next witness we were going to call
25
     is Mr. Bares.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Right.
              MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor has ruled on --
 2
              THE COURT:
                          I gave you back -- did you give them
 3
 4
     the --
 5
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Yes.
                                    We have those and we've
     incorporated those, but I'm told that there wasn't any ruling
 6
     on whether the stuff we thought was -- should be sealed,
 7
     appropriately should be sealed.
 8
          My request would just be --
 9
                          However you presented it to me, I'm going
10
              THE COURT:
11
     to let you go with it as public, and then the part you gave me
     as proposed seal. I haven't got the time. I've just got to
12
13
     trust you to do it right.
                              Okay. So we will seal that little bit
14
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
15
     at the end, or we could do it before we bring everybody in.
16
              THE COURT:
                          I wish you two would work the sealed part
17
     out.
          Now, the next part, is it going to be public so that --
18
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              So it's Mr. Bares and so he's got a
19
20
     little bit that's, we say, sealed. And then the vast majority
21
     is public.
          So we could do the sealed at the end or the beginning.
22
23
     It's up --
                          Will it flow right if you do it --
24
              THE COURT:
                              We could do it later on when there's
25
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
```

```
another sealed portion.
 1
 2
              THE COURT:
                          Why don't we do this. Do the public part
     and then go to your next public witness. And I will do a
 3
     placeholder for the jury and say, for their convenience, we're
 4
 5
     not going to clear the courtroom. And we'll come back and pick
 6
     up a short piece of under-seal material from Mr. Bares.
 7
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: And then you can do that whenever --
 8
     whenever it's convenient for the public.
 9
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              I appreciate that.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                          Yes, sir. What can I do for you?
                          That's fine, Your Honor. I was responding
12
              MR. RABIN:
13
     to the Bares issues.
14
              THE COURT: Fine.
                                 Thank you. We will take 15
15
     minutes.
16
          (Whereupon there was a recess in the proceedings
17
           from 11:08 a.m. until 11:20 a.m.)
              THE COURT: Next witness, please.
18
                              Your Honor, Waymo calls John Bares by
19
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     video testimony.
20
21
                          All right.
              THE COURT:
                              Just by way of background, Your Honor,
22
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     Mr. Bares was Uber's founder and director of Uber's Advanced
23
     Technology Center.
24
                          What's the date of the deposition, please?
25
              THE COURT:
```

MR. CARMODY: And I want to clarify something. 1 wasn't Uber's founder. 2 I think that's what you said. 3 He was the founder of the Advanced MR. VERHOEVEN: 4 5 Technology Center. That's the autonomy effort. MR. CARMODY: He wasn't the founder. He was employed 6 7 there. THE COURT: All right. So, remember, this is a great 8 What the lawyers say is never evidence. And you see 9 right here, they can't even agree on something. 10 11 So that's -- it's probably going to be in the testimony that we're going to hear, so that will help set the stage. 12 And if it doesn't there, then somebody else will testify to 13 whatever the correct answer is. 14 15 I would like to know the date of the deposition. 16 MR. JUDAH: Your Honor, there were two depositions, 17 June 19th and --THE COURT: June 19th, last year. And what else? 18 MR. JUDAH: 19 I'm sorry. June 16th, 2017 and August 11th, 2017. 20 21 Very good. THE COURT: Thank you. Now, we're about to hear something you haven't seen 22 23 yet, which is a witness is going to be appearing and testifying under oath by video, but it's all in the can. This is an old 24 25 deposition from last year -- not old, but last year.

```
videotaped live from the east coast. No.
 1
                                                 This is something
 2
     that's already been taken.
          The lawyers have trimmed it down to just what you need to
 3
            And so it may jump around a little bit, but it counts
 4
 5
     just as much as testimony from the stand. It is under oath,
 6
     and both sides had an opportunity to examine the witness.
 7
          So I think it's at least fair to say that Mr. Bares,
     B-a-r-e-s, is affiliated with the Uber side of the case.
 8
 9
          All right. Now, roll the tape.
                              Just a question. Is there a switch to
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
10
11
     turn down the lights a little bit?
                          Turn down the rights?
12
              THE CLERK:
                                                  I can.
13
              THE COURT:
                          I think we can turn it down about halfway
     in order to help the jury see better.
14
15
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
16
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
17
          About halfway. It's kind of like the Grand Lake Theater
     in Oakland.
18
          (Laughter.)
19
20
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
21
                               JOHN BARES,
     called as a witness for the Plaintiff herein, testified via
22
23
     videotaped deposition played in open court, not reported.
              THE COURT:
                          Is that it?
24
25
              MR. JUDAH:
                          That is, Your Honor. And we would like to
```

```
move into evidence certain exhibits from that testimony.
 1
 2
               THE COURT:
                           All right.
                                        Go ahead.
              MR. JUDAH:
                           Exhibit Nos. 170.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           Any objection?
 4
 5
              MR. RABIN:
                           Not beyond those that were in the clip
 6
     sheet that we supplied to Your Honor.
                           170 is in.
 7
               THE COURT:
                                       What's next?
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-171.
 8
 9
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
               THE COURT:
10
                            In.
11
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-367.
                           No objection.
12
              MR. RABIN:
               THE COURT:
13
                            In.
              MR. JUDAH:
14
                           TX-433.
15
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
16
               THE COURT:
                            In.
17
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-459.
                           Not beyond those we provided to Your
18
              MR. RABIN:
19
     Honor.
               THE COURT:
                           I don't know what that means, but --
20
                           It's in the clip sheet.
21
               MR. RABIN:
               THE COURT:
                           -- 459 is in.
22
23
          You're going to have to -- I don't know what you're
     referring to.
24
25
          Okay.
                  Next.
```

```
MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-662.
 1
 2
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
              THE COURT:
 3
                           In.
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-663.
 4
 5
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
 6
               THE COURT:
                           In.
 7
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-668.
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
                           In.
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-674.
10
11
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
              THE COURT:
12
                           In.
13
              MR. JUDAH:
                           TX-678.
14
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
15
              THE COURT:
                           In.
16
              MR. JUDAH:
                           And TX-682.
17
              MR. RABIN:
                           No objection.
               THE COURT:
                           Thank you. In.
18
19
           (Trial Exhibits 170, 171, 367, 433, 459, 662, 663,
20
           668, 674, 678, 682 received in evidence.)
21
              THE COURT:
                           All right.
                                        I wanted to give each side a
22
     30-second opportunity to explain to the jury who NewCo was and
23
     who -- is it Tyto? -- that you all assume the jury has a
     laser-sharp memory and remembers every little detail. And it's
24
25
     even harder for me after all this time to keep all this
```

```
So you get 30 seconds to explain to the jury.
 1
     straight.
          This is not evidence, ladies and gentlemen. Not evidence.
 2
     Zero, zero, zero. However, it will be helpful to you for the
 3
     lawyers to give you a refresher course on who NewCo was and who
 4
 5
     Tyto was.
          Time is ticking. One, two. Please go ahead.
 6
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
 7
                             Okay.
                                     Thank you, your Honor.
          So the next witness you're going to hear is Mr. Kalanick,
 8
     and I'll to ask him about this, but NewCo is the code name that
 9
     for part of the time Uber was using to describe their efforts
10
11
     to hire Mr. Levandowski and was referring to a company that the
     evidence will show was being -- didn't exist yet and was going
12
13
     to be formed in the future. And so that's why they called it
     NewCo.
14
15
          The other entity --
16
              MR. RABIN:
                          Tyto.
17
              MR. VERHOEVEN: -- Tyto is a company --
              THE COURT:
                          Well, can you -- was NewCo what became
18
19
     Ottomotto?
20
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              That's right.
21
                          All right. Why don't you say that?
              THE COURT:
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              I'm sorry, Your Honor.
22
23
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
                              This is a company that eventually
24
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     became Ottomotto, which is a defendant in this case.
25
```

1 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 2 Your turn. MR. RABIN: Thank you. 3 So what you will hear later on in the trial is NewCo was 4 5 an idea for a new company that could have been developed into several different kinds of companies. 6 As you heard, one of the original ideas of NewCo was an 7 independent company that would simply license its technology to 8 Uber independently as a separate entity, and that was option --9 one of the options. 10 11 There was another option where they would work with There was another option where they would work with 12 Google. 13 Uber. And in all of these iterations, the NewCo name was simply 14 15 the idea for these various options of forming a new company 16 that Mr. Levandowski and Mr. Ron were working on. 17 THE COURT: And how about Tyto? MR. RABIN: 18 Tyto? There was a reference -- yes. 19 THE COURT: There was a 20 reference up there to "T-Y-T-O." 21 Tyto is a separate company that was in the MR. RABIN: laser space. And eventually Ottomotto, which was the entity 22 23 that was ultimately formed, Ottomotto purchased Tyto later in the summer of the 2016 time period. 24 25 THE COURT: All right.

```
Your Honor, can I just add one thing
 1
              MR. PERLSON:
    briefly to that for Tyto? Is that it was a -- the evidence
 2
     will show that Tyto was a company that was controlled by
 3
 4
     Anthony Levandowski, and that had been started even before Otto
 5
     had existed, and was started by and controlled by
 6
     Mr. Levandowski while he was working at Google.
 7
              MR. RABIN:
                          Yeah.
                                 That's -- we agree. He formed Tyto
     actually while he was a Google employee. And while he was a
 8
     Google employee, he formed this company and continued to have
 9
     this company. You'll hear evidence as to whether Google knew
10
11
     about it throughout the course of the trial.
              THE COURT: All right. That -- none of that's
12
13
     evidence, but it helps you frame the issues.
          All right. So I plan to push on now with the next
14
15
     witness, unless one of the members of the jury needs a restroom
16
    break. If so, raise your hand.
17
          (No response.)
                         I'm not seeing a hand go up.
18
              THE COURT:
          Counsel, you -- we used up, I think, 34 minutes on that,
19
20
     and you need to tell me how it breaks down after the jury
21
     leaves for the day.
22
          All right. Please call your next witness.
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                                                      Waymo calls
     Travis Kalanick.
24
25
              THE COURT:
                          All right.
```

```
Will the witness please come to the
 1
              THE COURT:
 2
     witness stand?
                                                               Raise
                 Welcome. Please stand somewhere in there.
          Okay.
 3
 4
     your right hand to take an oath to tell the truth, please.
 5
                            TRAVIS KALANICK,,
     called as a witness for the Plaintiff, having been duly sworn,
 6
     testified as follows:
 7
              THE WITNESS:
                             I do.
 8
 9
              THE CLERK:
                           Thank you. State your name for the record
     and spell your last name for the record.
10
11
              THE WITNESS: Okay. My name is Travis Kalanick.
                          Okay. Welcome.
12
              THE COURT:
13
          First question.
                               May I approach to give the witness an
14
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
15
     exhibit binder?
16
              THE COURT:
                         Please do.
17
          (Tendered binder to witness.)
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
18
     BY MR. VERHOEVEN
19
          Good afternoon, Mr. Kalanick.
20
          Good afternoon.
21
     Α.
          You were a founder of Uber; correct?
22
23
          That is correct.
     Α.
          And you're currently on Uber's Board of Directors?
24
          That is correct.
25
     Α.
```

- 1 Q. And you are a former CEO of Uber; correct?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- 3 Q. You began your tenure as CEO of Uber in 2010?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And you resigned as the CEO in June of last year?
- 6 A. That is correct.
- 7 Q. Uber's business is ride-sharing; correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You agree that autonomous vehicles are going to be safer
- 10 | than human-driven vehicles; right?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Autonomous vehicles are also going to be cheaper than
- 13 human-driven vehicles; agree?
- 14 **A.** Eventually, yes.
- 15 Q. And it's your belief that in order for Uber to exist in
- 16 | the future, it will need to be a leader in the autonomous
- 17 | vehicle space; correct?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Please turn in your binder -- your binder is organized in
- 20 | numerical order with TX numbers in it.
- 21 **A.** Okay.
- 22 Q. So please turn in your binder to TX-0291.
- 23 **A.** Okay.
- 24 | Q. This is a transcript of an interview with Bloomberg
- 25 | Business Week that you attended on July 25th, 2016; correct?

- 1 **A.** It appears to be so, yes.
- 2 MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor, I move Exhibit 0291 into
- 3 evidence.
- 4 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, this is a transcript of a news
- 5 article, so we object on hearsay ground.
- 6 THE COURT: Sustained so far.
- 7 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 8 Q. And you see it says "TK" and there's a back-and-forth and
- 9 one of the responses is "TK"?
- 10 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 11 **Q.** Is that your name?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **Q.** And did you give this interview?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 MR. VERHOEVEN: I move into evidence again.
- 16 THE COURT: That's not enough. You've got to ask him
- 17 | if it accurately reported what he -- what he said in the
- 18 | interview.
- 19 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 20 Q. Have you reviewed this transcript before?
- 21 A. Not in detail.
- 22 **Q.** Did you look at it at your deposition?
- 23 A. Briefly.
- 24 | Q. Are you aware -- do you think that this transcript
- 25 | accurately reflects your answers?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. Well, let's go to the specific questions.
- 3 MR. VERHOEVEN: Can we go to page -- just one second,
- 4 your Honor.
- 5 (Brief pause.)
- 6 MR. VERHOEVEN: I apologize, Your Honor. I don't
- 7 | think this will be confidential.
- 8 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 9 Q. If you'd direct your attention to the first page.
- 10 **A.** Yeah.
- 11 Q. And you see the -- there's an entry that says "Max colon"?
- 12 **A.** Yeah. There -- there's several of them.
- 13 Q. The top one?
- 14 **A.** Yeah.
- 15 Q. "Max colon," do you see that?
- 16 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 17 **Q.** And do you see the question he asks?
- 18 **A.** Yes I do.
- 19 **Q.** And please read your answer.
- 20 A. "At a high level" --
- 21 Q. No. You can read it to yourself, because there's an
- 22 | objection to the exhibit.
- 23 **A.** Sorry.
- 24 (Witness complied.)
- 25 **A.** Yes, I've read it.

- 1 Q. Is that statement accurate?
- 2 A. I believe it would be accurate; correct.
- 3 | Q. We direct your attention to the lower place in the page
- 4 | that starts with "The future," your answer that starts with
- 5 "The future."
- 6 **A.** Okay.
- 7 **Q.** Would you please read that?
- 8 (Witness complied.)
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 11 **Q.** Is that an accurate statement as well?
- 12 A. I believe it to be accurate, yes.
- 13 MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor, I move to admit at least
- 14 | these answers that he's testified, so I can show them to the
- 15 jury, into evidence.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. That's -- that's -- I'm going
- 17 | to allow that, but what's your -- what's your objection to
- 18 | that?
- 19 MS. DUNN: We don't object to to -- to counsel asking
- 20 | him about the statements in the article. That's no problem.
- 21 We object to admission of the news article, and it's still a
- 22 | news article.
- 23 | THE COURT: Well, here's what we're going to do. How
- 24 long are these answers?
- 25 MR. VERHOEVEN: They're short, Your Honor, but this

is -- all right. 1 I mean --Look. Just do this. Read it out loud --2 THE COURT: Well, I can just play it on the board MR. VERHOEVEN: 3 for the first page. I don't think there's an objection to 4 5 that, is there? THE COURT: If everything on the first page has been 6 7 vouched for by the witness, then you can do that, too. BY MR. VERHOEVEN 8 9 Mr. Kalanick, can you read the first entry that I asked you to look at for the record? 10 11 Α. Out loud? Out loud. 12 Q. 13 Α. Okay. "At a high level, Uber is racing towards the 14 15 future, doing everything we can to catch up to Google 16 on autonomy, something we have been working on since 17 late 2014. Self-driving, when applied to ride-sharing, is the future. If only one entity is 18 really far ahead, then Uber won't be a part of that 19 The minute it was clear that Google was 20 21 getting into the ride-sharing space, we realized we needed to make sure there was an alternative, because 22 23 if there is not, we will be out of business." And that's an accurate statement as far as you're 24 25 concerned?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. And you stand by that today?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- Q. Can you please read into the record the second excerpt I asked you to look at earlier?
- 6 A. Absolutely. Okay.
- of that future. We see lots of people talking about
 driverless ride-sharing, Tesla the other day. For us
 it's existential. We are the ride-sharing platform of
 choice at the moment, but if we want to continue to
 be, we have to be part of the future and keep
 innovating."
- 14 **Q.** Now, you -- is that also an accurate statement?
- 15 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 16 **Q.** And you stand by that today?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 Q. Can you explain to the jury, what does the word
- 19 | "existential" mean?
- 20 A. It's similar to this part where it says we would be out of
- 21 business, is that if you're -- if you're running a technology
- 22 company or you are working at a technology company and you are
- 23 | not making new innovations, new things that people want, then
- 24 | you become part of the past.
- 25 **Q.** Meaning, you would cease to exist?

```
Or it could -- it can take a long time, but
 1
     Α.
          Yeah.
                 Yeah.
 2
     eventually, yes.
          Turn in your binder to TX-0387.
 3
     Q.
          (Witness complied.)
 4
 5
     Α.
          Okay.
 6
          Do you recognize this is an email from yourself dated
     August 16th to Rachel Whetstone and some others?
 7
                 I see that, yes.
 8
          Okay.
     Α.
              MR. VERHOEVEN: Move to admit TX-0387, Your Honor.
 9
              MS. DUNN: No objection.
10
                         Received in evidence.
11
              THE COURT:
          (Trial Exhibit 0387 received in evidence.)
12
13
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                               Please publish it on the screen.
14
          Can we pull out about the top -- yeah, about the quarter,
15
     please.
16
          (Document displayed.)
17
     BY MR. VERHOEVEN
          So that's your name on the top. And I want you to look
18
19
     at --
                               That's the wrong part. Go back,
20
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
21
     please.
          There it is, right down at the bottom. It starts with
22
23
     "autonomous transportation."
          (Document displayed.)
24
                               There it is.
25
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
```

BY MR. VERHOEVEN

- 2 Q. So you wrote this email, right?
- 3 **A.** I believe so. I'm still trying to find this part.
- 4 Q. It's at the bottom.
- 5 **A.** Okay. Yeah, yeah, yeah.
- 6 Q. It's on the screen there, too?
- 7 **A.** Yep. Yeah, I got it, yeah.
- 8 Q. And you wrote:
- 9 "Autonomous transportation is very possibly a winner-take-all and, thus, existential for Uber."
- 11 Do you see that?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. What does "winner-take-all" mean?
- 14 A. Well, it's -- it's similar to, like, Google and the search
- 15 business, is that you get certain network effects around
- 16 | particular technology and because it's -- it's the leader, it
- 17 | actually can provide the system and the service better than
- 18 | anything else -- anybody else.
- 19 Q. And what does it mean to say "winner-take-all" then? Does
- 20 that mean the other -- the other non-winners lose?
- 21 A. Not necessarily. Again, I think the best example in
- 22 | technology would be the search business, when you have Google
- 23 | versus Bing in Microsoft, is that Microsoft maybe has
- 24 | 30 percent of the search queries, but they -- but they cannot
- 25 | provide it economically enough, so they lose money generally as

- 1 | a business. Whereas, Google makes all -- all of the profits.
- 2 Q. I'm going to ask you some questions about the Pittsburgh
- 3 ATC team. Okay?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. Explain to the jury what ATC stands for.
- 6 **A.** It means Advanced Technology Center.
- 7 | Q. And that -- it was your idea to start Uber's self-driving
- 8 | car program in late 2014; right?
- 9 A. Not exactly. It was actually Jeff Holden's idea, but one
- 10 | that I supported fully.
- 11 Q. You agreed that that would be something that you fully
- 12 supported?
- 13 **A.** Absolutely, yes.
- 14 Q. And as of January 1, 2015 is it correct that the project
- 15 | was still being put together?
- 16 **A.** Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
- 17 | Q. As of January 1, 2015, the project, the ATC group, was
- 18 | still being put together; correct?
- 19 A. No, I wouldn't say that. It was put together in early --
- 20 | sort of late 2014, early 2015.
- 21 | Q. I would like to play from your deposition --
- 22 MR. VERHOEVEN: This is July 27th, 2017, Your Honor,
- 23 | Page 163, 8 through 15.
- 24 THE COURT: All right. Please roll the tape.
- 25 | (Videotape played in open court, not reported.)

BY MR. VERHOEVEN

- 2 Q. Many of the early employees at the Pittsburgh center were
- 3 | formerly associated with Carnegie Mellon University?
- 4 **A.** That's correct.
- 5 Q. Sir, you were unhappy with the progress and the pace of
- 6 development being achieved at the Pittsburgh center; isn't that
- 7 | true? You wanted the group to move faster?
- 8 A. At some point that is definitely true. I think that
- 9 | there's a date, sort of, when that occurred, but yes.
- 10 **Q.** And by the end of 2015 you agree Uber's autonomous vehicle
- 11 | program was highly dependent on third-party vendors for its
- 12 lasers; correct?
- 13 **A.** That is correct, yes.
- 14 Q. It had a project to develop an in-house LiDAR system; is
- 15 | that right?
- 16 **A.** I believe that to be true, yes.
- 17 | Q. But those laser prototypes were at that time really
- 18 | expensive and too big to be commercially viable; right?
- 19 A. It's probably true. I don't know about the big part, but
- 20 | certainly the expensive part.
- 21 | Q. Let's play from your deposition dated October 2, 2017,
- 22 | Page 394, Lines 22 through 25.
- 23 | (Videotape played in open court, not reported.)
- 24 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 25 Q. Given this lack of progress, you needed someone that had

- 1 experience in transitioning from professor-like prototypes to
- 2 products that would be cost efficient, products that could be
- 3 mass produced; right?
- 4 A. Yes. Though I wouldn't characterize it as lack of
- 5 | progress. We definitely needed to get a commercial orientation
- 6 in the effort.
- 7 | Q. You had a need to get someone who had experience in
- 8 transitioning from a professor-like prototype to products that
- 9 | would be cost efficient and could be mass produced; right?
- 10 **A.** That is correct.
- 11 Q. Now, you agree Google had been working on autonomous
- 12 vehicles for much longer than Uber?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 **Q.** Since 2009; right?
- 15 A. Correct. Or I -- I don't know the exact date when Google
- 16 | started working on it, but certainly earlier than us, a lot
- 17 earlier.
- 18 | Q. And you agree that Oogle -- "Oogle," sorry.
- 19 You agree that Google is the industry leader in autonomous
- 20 | vehicles; right?
- 21 **A.** I think that's the general perception right now.
- 22 **Q.** Okay.
- 23 A. It's a fair statement.
- 24 Q. You believe they are in the lead; right?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. And they were in the lead in 2015 and 2016; right?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 Q. Now, there came a time in the fall of 2015 when your
- 4 company, Uber, approached Mr. Levandowski; right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And there is a fellow named Cameron Poetzscher, I believe
- 7 | is how you pronounce it; is that right?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Tell the jury who he was in that time frame, the fall of
- 10 2015?
- 11 **A.** He worked on our business development team and corporate
- 12 development team, transactions ranging from investment to
- 13 | strategic -- strategic deals that we're doing he was involved
- 14 | in, including something like an acquisition.
- 15 **Q.** Mr. Poetzscher was primarily driving the train on the Uber
- 16 | side for earlier discussions with Mr. Levandowski; correct?
- 17 **A.** I'm not sure if he was leading those discussions or not.
- 18 | Q. Let's play from your deposition dated July 27, 2017,
- 19 | Page 141, Line 25, through 142, Line 7.
- 20 (Videotape played in open court, not reported.)
- 21 Q. For the record, Mr. Poetzscher was vice president of
- 22 | corporate development at Uber at the time; correct?
- 23 **A.** That sounds right.
- 24 | Q. Now, you were also involved in discussions with your
- 25 | management team about this negotiation with Levandowski;

1 | correct?

- 2 A. I think I got involved in those discussions in late '15,
- 3 maybe December time frame.
- 4 Q. So, yes, you were also involved?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Now, in your initial discussion with Mr. Levandowski, he
- 7 | told you that he wanted to do trucking, not cars; right?
- 8 A. Yeah. He said he wanted to do -- I think his initial
- 9 | plans were trucking and lasers.
- 10 Q. And then in 2015, you and Mr. Levandowski discussed the
- 11 purchase by Uber of a NewCo; right?
- 12 A. Real quick, could you say the date again? I didn't hear
- 13 | the first part.
- 14 **Q.** In 2015.
- 15 **A.** It's -- I'm not sure if it was end of December 2015 or
- 16 | early 2016, but in that time period.
- 17 | Q. You discussed, in that time period, the purchase by Uber
- 18 of a nonexistent company, didn't you?
- 19 A. He was very adamant -- yes. So the answer is yes. He was
- 20 | very adamant about starting a company and we were very adamant
- 21 about hiring him.
- 22 | Q. So the answer to my question that you discussed a purchase
- 23 | by Uber of a nonexistent company, a company that did not exist,
- 24 is yes; correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Thank you. So I think you've already said this, but you and 2 Levandowski had conversations in late December about him 3 leaving Google and coming over to your team; right? 4 5 That's correct, yes. Α. 6 Turn in your binder, please, to TX-8002. Q. (Witness complied.) 7 And could you say that number one more time? 8 Α. 8002. 9 Sure. Q. 10 Okay. Α. 11 You recognize this as an Uber visitor badge from Mr. Levandowski? 12 Yes. 13 Α. MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor, I move TX-8002 into 14 15 evidence. 16 MS. DUNN: No objection. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. Received. (Trial Exhibit 8002 received in evidence.) 18 19 MR. VERHOEVEN: Put it on the screen, please. And blow it up. 20 21 (Document displayed.) BY MR. VERHOEVEN 22 23 Is that Mr. Levandowski's picture? 0. That's -- it looks like him. 24 Α. And it says here that he's visiting on December 20th, 25 Q.

- 1 | 2015; right?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.
- 3 Q. Mr. Levandowski was there to see you, wasn't he?
- 4 A. It appears by the badge, yes.
- 5 **Q.** And you met with him on that date?
- 6 A. It's very possible. I don't remember the specific
- 7 | meeting, but it's very, very possible.
- 8 Q. What did you say to him, what did he say to you at this
- 9 meeting?
- 10 A. I don't remember.
- 11 Q. You don't remember?
- 12 **A.** No.
- 13 Q. In the fall of 2015, John Bares was an executive at Uber;
- 14 right?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And at that time, Mr. Bares was in charge of Uber's
- 17 driverless car program; right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And that's the program that was referred to internally as
- 20 the ATC program; correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. Mr. Bares was one of the original members of the team in
- 23 Pittsburgh; correct?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And in December of 2015, he was running the group in

Pittsburgh; right? 1 That's correct. 2 Α. Now, you -- generally, you had meetings with Mr. Bares in 3 December of 2015 to discuss a potential deal with 4 5 Mr. Levandowski where Uber would purchase a NewCo; right? 6 I had a number of meetings with him, yes. Α. 7 Turn in your binder to TX-170, please. Q. (Witness complied.) 8 This is in evidence now, Your Honor? 9 MR. VERHOEVEN: THE COURT: Yes, it's already in. 10 11 MR. VERHOEVEN: May we put it up on the screen? It's TX-170. 12 13 (Document displayed.) BY MR. VERHOEVEN 14 15 And I'll represent to you that these are Mr. Bares' notes. I'll direct your attention to the first page at the 16 17 bottom. MR. VERHOEVEN: Can we blow that up? 18 (Document enlarged.) 19 BY MR. VERHOEVEN: 20 21 You can see it on the screen, sir? I sure do. 22 Α. 23 You see that entry, quote, meeting with TK, close 24 quote? 25 Α. I do see that.

- 1 Q. "TK" is you; right?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. And you met with Mr. Bares on December 22, didn't you?
- 4 A. I don't remember a specific date for a meeting.
- 5 Q. Well, do you have any reason to believe his notes are
- 6 wrong?
- 7 A. No. I don't see a date on the notes, though.
- 8 Q. Okay. Direct your attention to -- just one second.
- 9 Direct your attention to the following page, which is a
- 10 continuation. Do you see it's a continuation of his notes from
- 11 that meeting?
- 12 **A.** Okay.
- MR. VERHOEVEN: And can we put the -- where is it?
- 14 | From the very top "TK: What we want," and bring that up?
- 15 (Document displayed.)
- 16 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 17 Q. "TK" is you; correct?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 | Q. And Mr. Bares' notes is saying that you're telling the
- 20 | team what you want; right?
- 21 A. Looks like it, yes.
- 22 **Q.** And this is in reference to the NewCo deal, isn't it?
- 23 A. I don't know.
- 24 Q. Do you see Cam says "pay at milestones"?
- 25 **A.** I see that, yes.

- 1 Q. And milestones are part of the deal; right?
- 2 **A.** I mean, milestones are definitely part of the Otto deal,
- 3 yes.
- 4 **Q.** And --
- 5 A. These aren't my notes, so I --
- 6 Q. And if you look at the list here, what they're talking
- 7 | about is driverless car technology; right?
- 8 A. It appears to be so.
- 9 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor.
- 10 And, also, I'm not sure the proper foundation has been
- 11 laid for this line of questioning.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 13 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 14 Q. Did you tell the group that what you wanted was a pound of
- 15 flesh?
- 16 | A. I mean, I don't know specifically. It's a term I use from
- 17 | time to time, but I don't know.
- 18 Q. Do you deny that you said it?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 **Q.** Did you tell this group that what you wanted was
- 21 | intellectual property?
- 22 MS. DUNN: Same objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: That's a proper question. Overruled.
- 24 Please answer.
- 25 **THE WITNESS:** I don't remember saying that.

BY MR. VERHOEVEN

- 2 Q. Do you deny you said it?
- 3 **A.** No.

1

- 4 Q. "IP" there at the bottom, that stands for intellectual
- 5 property; right?
- 6 A. Most likely, yes.
- 7 | Q. And you know what intellectual property is; right?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. Intellectual property includes trade secrets, doesn't it?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. It's property that belongs to somebody, isn't it? That's
- 12 | why the word "property" is in it?
- 13 A. That's fair.
- 14 Q. I direct your attention to TX-4174 in your binder.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 | Q. You agree that you had meetings with Mr. Levandowski in
- 17 January of 2016; right?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And if you look at TX-417 -- this -- well, take a look
- 20 | TX-4174. And specifically there's a picture on page 3.
- 21 A. Picture on page 3? Yeah.
- 22 | Q. You're in the bottom right corner. That's your smile;
- 23 | right?
- 24 | A. I think so. I'll take it.
- 25 **Q.** So you were at the meeting that's referenced here?

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. You see on the first page, it says, "Notes from meeting on
- 3 January 3." It's the second left bullet.
- 4 A. Yeah, I do.
- 5 Q. So these are notes prepared of a meeting you were at;
- 6 right?
- 7 **A.** So you're saying these are notes of the whiteboard here?
- 8 Is that what's going on?
- 9 **Q.** Yes.
- 10 A. Okay. Yeah, yeah.
- 11 MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor, I move TX-1474 into
- 12 evidence.
- 13 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. These are not
- 14 Mr. Kalanick's notes, and they're not an exact replication of
- 15 | what's on the whiteboard with this picture.
- So we have no objection to the whiteboard, but the notes,
- 17 | there is no foundation.
- 18 THE COURT: Sustained so far.
- 19 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 20 **Q.** Take a look at the notes, sir.
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** Do those appear to you to be notes of the substance of the
- 23 | meeting that you had?
- 24 | A. I mean, there's a lot of similarities for sure. I
- 25 | would -- I don't think it's exactly the same, but there's a lot

- 1 of similarities.
- 2 Q. I direct your attention to TX -- just a second -- 262.
- 3 This is an email -- are you there?
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 Q. This is an email from Jeff Holden. Tell the jury who Jeff
- 6 Holden is.
- 7 **A.** Jeff Holden is the chief product officer at Uber, and was
- 8 sort of the -- one of the core architects behind the creation
- 9 of our autonomy program.
- 10 Q. Now, we've already established that the meeting occurred
- 11 on January 3. We looked at that; right?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 | Q. I'll represent that that was a Sunday. I went back and
- 14 looked.
- Now, this is an email to you for two days later,
- 16 | January 5th. Do you see that?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 | Q. And Mr. Holden has invited you to edit the following
- 19 document. Do you see that?
- 20 **A.** I do.
- 21 Q. And he says, quote -- well, let's --
- MR. VERHOEVEN: I'd like to move into evidence TX-262.
- 23 MS. DUNN: No objection, Your Honor.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Received.
- 25 (Trial Exhibit 262 received in evidence.)

1 MR. VERHOEVEN: Bring it up on the screen. 2 (Document displayed.) BY MR. VERHOEVEN 3 So we see this is dated two days later, and it's directed 4 5 towards yourself. And it's -- the subject line is "NewCo Plan - Invitation to Edit." 6 7 Do you see that? I do. 8 Α. And NewCo refers to the company that doesn't exist yet 9 that you and Mr. Levandowski were planning; right? 10 11 Α. No. It refers to the company that would become Ottomotto? 12 13 Α. Yes. And you'll see next to the picture of Mr. Holden, he says, 14 15 quote: 16 "A doc I started to capture, state on the NewCo 17 discussions." Do you see that? 18 I do. 19 Α. Now, turning back to Exhibit 4174. This is the notes that 20 Mr. Holden sent you, isn't it? 21 It's just hard to thumb through 22 Α. Hold on a second. 4174. all this. 23 (Brief pause.) 24 25 Yeah, it -- I would assume so. I mean, the notes and the Α.

```
whiteboard are similar, yes.
 1
                              Your Honor, I again move to admit
 2
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
     Trial Exhibit 4174.
 3
                         No objection.
              MS. DUNN:
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          All right. Received.
          (Trial Exhibit 4174 received in evidence.)
 6
     BY MR. VERHOEVEN
 7
          Okay. Let's go back.
 8
     Q.
 9
          (Document displayed)
          Let's go back to the first page of 4174, which is up on
10
     the screen now.
11
                               If we can just pull out the bullet
12
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
13
     that says "Notes from meeting on January 3."
          (Document enlarged.)
14
15
     BY MR. VERHOEVEN
16
          The screenshots of the whiteboard are what's being
17
     referenced because they're attached to what the notes are
     about; right?
18
          Yeah, I don't know if these are exactly the same.
19
     have to compare, but --
20
21
          The document --
     Q.
          -- there's a lot of similarities.
22
23
          The document contains notes of a jam session you had with
     Mr. Levandowski at Uber's offices on January 3rd; right?
24
25
     Α.
          That's correct.
```

- 1 Q. And during this jam session with Mr. Levandowski -- oh,
- 2 | before I go on, you used the phrase "jam session"?
 - A. Yes.

3

- 4 Q. Can you explain, what do you mean by "jam session"?
- 5 A. So it's like a kind of a reference to, like, if you had a
- 6 jazz ensemble, they sort of -- they have ideas. They start
- 7 | somewhere. It's ad hoc, but eventually it sort of comes
- 8 together into beautiful music.
- 9 So a jam sesh is when you get a bunch of interesting
- 10 | creative people in a room, and they're talking about an idea,
- 11 and it eventually becomes something interesting and innovative.
- 12 Q. And your jam session on January 3rd, you were working on
- 13 | ideas with respect to LiDAR lasers; right?
- 14 A. I don't remember -- or sorry. I would say no, I do not
- 15 remember that.
- 16 **Q.** Let's play from your deposition dated October 2, 2017,
- 17 | Page 371, Lines 7 through 15.
- 18 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I let the last one go, even
- 19 | though I would have said it was improper impeachment.
- 20 I just ask for the opportunity to check whether it is
- 21 proper or not.
- 22 THE COURT: Is Mr. Kalanick still on the board of
- 23 directors?
- MS. DUNN: He is.
- 25 THE COURT: Then it comes in under Rule 32 for any

1 purpose. Objection overruled. Please play it. 2 (Videotape played in open court, not reported.) 3 BY MR. VERHOEVEN 4 5 I direct your attention to Page 5 of the Exhibit 4174. Q. 6 I have Page 4 but not Page 5. It says 4 of 4. Α. 7 Maybe I have a typo. Q. Could you blow up the picture, please? 8 MR. VERHOEVEN: BY MR. VERHOEVEN 9 Okay. This is the right picture. I apologize, 10 11 Mr. Kalanick. It's page 4. And I can blow this up so you can see it easier. 12 13 MR. VERHOEVEN: Can we blow up the middle of the left? 14 There you go. 15 (Document enlarged.) 16 BY MR. VERHOEVEN 17 By the way, whose handwriting is this? Do you know? Q. That's mine. 18 Α. Your handwriting? 19 20 Yes. Α. And then under Item 3 on the board, read what it says. 21 Q. "Laser is the sauce." 22 Α. So during this jam session, you discussed the fact that 23 laser is the sauce; correct? 24

25

Α.

I think it was probably a description of our sesh,

- 1 yes.
- 2 Q. And what that meant is that lasers are the sauce to make
- 3 autonomous vehicles work; right?
- 4 A. It's close. I would say it's an important part of making
- 5 autonomous work. It doesn't work without it.
- 6 Q. Let's play from your deposition, October 2nd, 2017, Page
- 7 | 337, Lines 12 through 15.
- 8 It's Page 377, Lines 12 through 15, from the October 2nd,
- 9 2017 deposition.
- 10 (Videotape played in open court, not reported.)
- 11 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 12 Q. If you want to make an autonomous vehicle, you must have a
- 13 | viable way to get lasers at scale; isn't that true?
- 14 A. That is correct.
- 15 Q. And Uber didn't have that sauce prior to the Ottomotto
- 16 | acquisition, did it?
- 17 **A.** That's correct.
- 18 | Q. At the time of this meeting in early January 2016,
- 19 Mr. Levandowski was a Google employee; right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 **Q.** In your meeting with him on a Sunday; right?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 | Q. And at that time you knew generally what areas he was
- 24 | working on at Google; right?
- 25 **A.** Generally, yes.

- 1 Q. Well, you knew that the work -- his work at Google was
- 2 | focused on the hardware and sensor side of autonomous vehicles;
- 3 right?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. In particular, LiDAR sensors; right?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. This summary of your meeting was notes concerning a
- 8 | potential acquisition of a company to be formed in the future;
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. I direct your attention back to page 2 of Exhibit 4174.
- 12 I'm sorry, page 1.
- 13 **A.** Page 1 of?
- 14 MR. VERHOEVEN: There you go. Page 1 of Exhibit 4174.
- 15 | Pull up the same box, Mr. Fisher.
- 16 Thank you very much.
- 17 (Document displayed.)
- 18 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 19 Q. Do you see it on the screen there, sir?
- 20 A. I sure do.
- 21 | Q. And so, again, this is the notes from the January 3
- 22 | meeting. And it says "possible outcomes."
- 23 Do you see that?
- 24 **A.** I do.
- 25 | Q. And one of the possible outcomes was:

1 "Uber Super Duper, or USD, so could be Code Name \$." 2 Do you see that? 3 4 I do. Α. 5 And "Code Name \$" is -- withdrawn. Q. 6 At the meeting, you talked about Uber Super Duper, didn't 7 you? 8 A. Yes. 9 And you were the one who suggested the code name Project \$; right? 10 11 Α. Yeah. And that's the code name you wanted to use for the 12 purchase of this yet-to-be-formed company by Uber; right? 13 That's correct. It was just short for USD. 14 Α. 15 Turn in your binder, please, to Exhibit TX-262. 16 (Witness complied.) 17 MR. VERHOEVEN: I'm informed that's the one I had to go to to get in the earlier one. So that's been covered, Your 18 19 Honor. BY MR. VERHOEVEN 20 21 So please turn to TX-367 in your binder. Q. 367, okay. 22 Α. 23 This is in evidence, so we may put it on the screen. (Document displayed.) 24

And, again, these are Mr. Bares' notes, I'll represent to

25

Q.

1 you. 2 Okay. Α. Please go to Page 40 of these notes in your binder. 3 4 Page 40. Oh, that's me. Okay. 5 MR. VERHOEVEN: And can we pull out where it -- on the 6 top left, enlarge that? (Document enlarged.) 7 BY MR. VERHOEVEN 8 9 Do you see that, sir? Yeah, I do. 10 Α. "TK" refers to you; right? 11 Sure does. 12 Α. And there's a date here, January 4th of 2016; right? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 So that's the Monday after the Sunday meeting you had; 16 right? 17 Α. Yes. 18 The jam session? 19 Correct. Α. 20 And then you'll see some notes. Okay. 21 Can we expand that down, please? MR. VERHOEVEN: Thank you. 22 23 BY MR. VERHOEVEN You'll see some notes in the second column down. 24

25

"AL."

Do you see that?

- 1 A. Yeah.
 2 Q. That's Mr. Levandowski; right?
- 4 Q. And then it says:

Yes.

5 "TK met up with him over the weekend and is a big fan."

- 7 Do you see that?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. That's a reference to your meeting with him on Sunday;
- 10 right?

3

Α.

- 11 A. That's correct. I mean, I would assume so.
- 12 **Q.** And you, indeed, were a big fan; right?
- 13 **A.** I was.
- Q. And then if you look down at the second-to-last row being in this box, it says:
- "TK believes that lasers will be the longest pole and we need access to this tech (this part is easy)."

 Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** I do.
- Q. By "the longest pole" you meant that if Uber didn't start working on lasers and get them developed, lasers could be the thing that slows down all of Uber's other autonomous vehicle efforts; isn't that true, sir?
- 24 A. Yes. Something like that, yes.
- 25 Q. Two rows up it says:

- 1 "Lasers, data, advice are the three things."
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- 4 Q. Those are the three things that Uber wanted from the
- 5 transaction; is that right?
- 6 A. I'm not sure what this is referring to. I'm not sure.
- 7 **Q.** Did Uber want lasers from the transaction?
- 8 A. We wanted somebody who could build a team that could make
- 9 lasers.
- 10 Q. Did Uber want lasers? Did they want to buy lasers from
- 11 this company?
- 12 A. Our initial discussions were they were going to make
- 13 | lasers and sell them to us, but my guess is we wanted somebody
- 14 to build a team that made lasers.
- 15 Q. Well, you wanted to switch the transaction over from a
- 16 | vendor relationship to an acquisition; right?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. And the reason you wanted to do that was because
- 19 Mr. Levandowski was focused on trucking; right?
- 20 **A.** I mean, they were still doing trucking after too. It was
- 21 just better as an acquisition -- look, I wanted to hire
- 22 | Anthony, and he wanted to start a company. And so I tried to
- 23 come up with a situation where he could -- where he could feel
- 24 | like he started a company and I could feel like I hired him.
- 25 \ Q. You wanted to acquire him to make sure that he focused

- 1 | most of his time on self-driving cars and not trucks; right?
- 2 A. I mean, we definitely wanted focus on self-driving cars.
- 3 It was the number one priority for us.
- 4 Q. I direct your attention to -- in your binder to TX-0113.
- 5 **A.** 0113?
- 6 Q. Correct.
- 7 **A.** Okay.
- 8 Q. This is an email that you received on January 9th, 2016;
- 9 correct?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 MR. VERHOEVEN: Your Honor, I'd move TX-0113 into
- 12 evidence.
- MS. DUNN: No objection.
- 14 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Received.
- 15 (Trial Exhibit 0113 received in evidence)
- 16 MR. VERHOEVEN: Put it on the screen.
- 17 Can we pull out the -- make it larger, the top email. No.
- 18 I'm sorry. The bottom email.
- 19 (Document displayed.)
- 20 MR. VERHOEVEN: Thank you.
- 21 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 22 Q. So this portion of the email is an email from yourself to
- 23 | Mr. Holden, Mr. Poetzscher and to Emil Michael; right?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. And the subject is "Project \$"; right?

- 1 A. That is correct.
- 2 Q. And by that, you're referencing the project involving
- 3 Mr. Levandowski; correct?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And then you ask, "Where we at with Anthony?" Right?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 MR. VERHOEVEN: And then if we could go to the email
- 8 | above it?
- 9 (Document displayed.)
- 10 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 11 Q. Mr. Poetzscher says in response, you'll see:
- "He came back to us with proposed milestones
- yesterday (see attached), but no proposed dollars."
- 14 Do you see that?
- 15 **A.** I do.
- 17 **A.** So he had to achieve certain things to get a certain
- 18 | amount of equity; right?
- 19 So when you hire somebody, typically you'll have a vesting
- 20 | schedule. Over time, they earn a certain amount of equity.
- 21 This is a situation where, yes, there was time, but there
- 22 were also milestones that they had to make in order to get that
- 23 equity.
- 24 | Q. They wouldn't get paid until they achieved some technical
- 25 milestones; right?

- 1 A. So the majority of the milestones -- so the answer is yes,
- 2 but a majority of the milestones --
- 3 | Q. Thank you, sir. I don't need you to -- the question is --
- 4 A. That's fair.
- 5 Q. -- that he wouldn't get paid until he achieved the
- 6 | technical milestones.
- 7 Those technical milestones were before any other
- 8 milestones, weren't they?
- 9 A. Yes, but he could get paid without achieving those
- 10 technical milestones if the overall goal of the initiative was
- 11 successful.
- 12 Q. Let's direct your attention to the attachment --
- MR. VERHOEVEN: Mr. Fisher, can we please -- there's
- 14 | been a request not to publish this to the press, so I'm going
- 15 to respect that. Just publish it to the attorneys and the
- 16 jurors.
- 17 **THE COURT:** What number is that?
- 18 MR. VERHOEVEN: This is an attachment to Exhibit 113,
- 19 Your Honor.
- 20 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, it's fine with us to show the
- 21 | gallery.
- MR. VERHOEVEN: Oh, okay.
- 23 Let's put it on the screen.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay. Back we go.
- 25 MR. VERHOEVEN: And then can we enlarge it, the whole

thing. 1 That's fine. 2 (Document displayed.) 3 4 BY MR. VERHOEVEN 5 So these are the milestones attached to the email that Q. 6 Mr. Cameron sent you; right? 7 They may be. They very well may be. Α. Take a look at the document. 8 Q. Is there a number here that I can --9 Α. 10 113. Q. 11 113. Okay, got it. Okay. Α. So this is part of the same email. 12 All right. So these are the milestones attached to the email 13 Mr. Poetzscher sent you; right? 14 15 Α. It appears to be so. And if you take a look at this, on the left it says, 16 17 "laser - long range." Do you see that? I do. 18 Α. And then it talks about things that need to happen. 19 to be a prototype in a first car." Do you see that? 20 21 Α. Yes. And it has to have a 250 -- is that meter range sensing? 22 23 It appears to be so, yes. Α. It has to be able to sense a pedestrian wearing a black 24

Northface jacket and jeans; right?

25

- 1 A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. And it has to have 400,000 points per second; right?
- 3 A. Looks like it, yes.
- 4 | Q. And a configurable horizontal scan rate and area; right?
- 5 **A.** Correct.
- 6 Q. And for this long-range laser, there's a percentage on the
- 7 | right. Do you see that?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And that percentage is the percentage of the total amount
- 10 | they get paid if they met that milestone; right?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 **Q.** 6 percent; right?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And do you recall how much the total contingent payments
- 15 | were in the eventual deal you made?
- 16 | A. I think it was somewhere around 590 million, something
- 17 | like that.
- 18 Q. \$590 million. So if Mr. Levandowski made this milestone
- 19 on time, he gets 6 percent of that; right?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And then another milestone is --
- 22 | A. This was the whole team gets it, not just Levandowski, to
- 23 be clear.
- 24 Q. Another milestone is -- you see down there "mid-range" --
- Well, before we go on to that, on the right-hand side,

- 1 after they make this protocol long-range laser, once they
- 2 deliver 50 of them to you, they get 7 percent more of that
- 3 \$500 million; right?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And if they can make one that's mass-manufacturing ready,
- 6 | they get another 7 percent of that 500-something million
- 7 | dollars; right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. Okay. And that's the long-range laser technical
- 10 | milestones, that's what that's referring to?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And there is a different type of laser you wanted, too;
- 13 | right?
- 14 **A.** It appears to be so, yes.
- 15 **Q.** The mid-range laser; right?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. And if they could develop a prototype of a mid-range laser
- 18 | that had 100 channels that increased -- increased the range 150
- 19 meters and increased the frame rate to 15 hertz with software
- 20 support integration, they get another 6 percent of the
- 21 | 500-something million dollars; right?
- 22 A. That's what this says, yes.
- 23 | Q. But if they didn't do that, they wouldn't get that
- 24 | 6 percent; right?
- 25 A. That is not correct.

- 1 **Q.** Okay.
- 2 THE COURT: We have one minute to go.
- 3 MR. VERHOEVEN: I'll just finish this document, Your
- 4 | Honor, if that's okay.
- 5 THE COURT: We'll see at 1:00 o'clock. I'll give you
- 6 two minutes to finish.
- 7 MR. VERHOEVEN: I only need one minute.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. You take one minute.
- 9 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 10 Q. And then if you look to the right-hand side below that
- 11 | mid-range entry -- are you with me?
- 12 A. Yeah, I'm with you. I'm with you.
- 13 Q. If they deliver 50 lasers that meet those requirements,
- 14 | they get another 7 percent of the 500 and -- what did you
- 15 | say? -- \$590 million?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. They get another 7 percent of the \$590 million; right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And if they get it good enough that it can be mass
- 20 manufactured, they get yet another 7 percent of the
- 21 | \$590 million; right?
- 22 **A.** That's correct.
- 23 Q. So there are incentives built in here -- and these
- 24 | milestones were pretty aggressive, weren't they?
- 25 **A.** I don't know. I don't know what all the milestones are,

- 1 because there's probably some timing to this.
- 2 Q. Right. You didn't know that the milestones -- your team
- 3 didn't tell you the milestones were aggressive?
- 4 A. I just don't know what they are on here. I wasn't in
- 5 | the -- I was not making this document.
- 6 Q. You'd agree that the deal that you entered into with
- 7 payments conditioned on these technical milestones provided a
- 8 | great incentive for Mr. Levandowski to meet these technical
- 9 milestones, wouldn't you?
- 10 MS. DUNN: Objection, Your Honor. Assumes facts.
- 11 | He's not established that these were the actual milestones of
- 12 the deal.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 14 Please answer.
- 15 **THE WITNESS:** Can you ask the question one more time?
- 16 BY MR. VERHOEVEN
- 17 Q. Yes. I hope I don't get another objection.
- 18 You would agree that the way this deal was set up, where
- 19 Mr. Levandowski and team would get these percentage payments if
- 20 | they made technical milestones by a particular deadline,
- 21 provided a huge incentive for them not to -- not to miss the
- 22 | deadlines and to develop this technology within the deadlines
- 23 as soon as they could; right?
- 24 A. Yes, but they could still get --
- 25 Q. Thank you, sir.

```
Well, no. Go ahead, finish your answer.
 1
              THE COURT:
                            They could still get the entire
 2
              THE WITNESS:
     incentive without hitting those deadlines.
 3
              THE COURT: All right. Let's break at this moment.
 4
 5
          Mr. Kalanick, you've got to be here at 7:30 in the
 6
     morning. We'll continue with your testimony at that time.
          The jury will now go back into the jury room.
 7
     excused for the day. But we'll see you at 7:45 in the morning.
 8
     I thank you for your close attention.
 9
              THE CLERK: All rise for the jury.
10
11
          (Jury exits the courtroom at 1:02 p.m.)
              THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kalanick, you can exit
12
13
     stage left and we'll see you in the morning. Thank you.
          (Witness steps down.)
14
15
                         All right. Everyone else be seated.
              THE COURT:
16
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
                              I'm sorry. Just for the record, the
     rule is that no talking to the witness over the evening; right?
17
18
     Is that your ground rule?
              THE COURT:
                          That is correct.
19
          Now, he has his own lawyer, so I can't -- I'm not going to
20
21
     stop him from talking to his own lawyer, but these lawyers over
     here should not talk to him while he's on cross-examination.
22
23
     All right?
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
24
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
25
              THE COURT:
                          Now, I have a few things to bring up with
```

```
1
     you.
          I misspoke a minute ago on the deposition of Bares.
 2
     actually 44 minutes that you used up. So tell me how that
 3
 4
     breaks down.
 5
                              I thought we got away with one.
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
              THE COURT: You almost did, but I -- I got some rule
 6
 7
     here.
            Okay.
                   Go ahead.
                          So both parties were treated the same way.
 8
              MR. RABIN:
     We believe the completeness objections would go to the party
 9
     designating the original testimony --
10
11
              THE COURT: No, that's not the way it works.
                                                             No.
     Whoever put it in there has to bear the burden of the time.
12
13
              MR. RABIN:
                          Okay. So Waymo would be charged with
     28 minutes and 43 seconds --
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          And what about you?
16
              MR. RABIN:
                          Uber would be charged with 13 and 55.
17
              THE COURT:
                          Well, that doesn't add up to 44.
              MR. RABIN:
                          The run time shows 42:38 according to the
18
19
     clip sheet.
20
              THE COURT:
                          Well, I don't -- my run time is 44.
21
     giving 30 minutes to Uber -- I'm sorry, to Waymo, 30. And 14
22
     goes to Uber.
23
          All right?
                         Your Honor, we also have reached
24
              MR. RABIN:
25
     agreements as relates to some exhibits to enter into evidence.
```

```
THE COURT:
                          Fine.
 1
 2
              MR. RABIN:
                          Can I just read them to you?
              THE COURT:
                          How many there are?
 3
              MR. RABIN:
                          About 13, I think.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Oh, goodness. All right. Go slowly.
              MR. RABIN:
                          332.
                                 333.
                                       346. 770. 803.
                                                          1088.
                                                                 1089.
 6
 7
     1096.
            1353.
                   1895.
                          1991.
                                 2116. 3239.
                                                3564.
                                                       And 3574.
              THE COURT:
                          3574?
 8
 9
              MR. RABIN:
                          Yes, Your Honor.
              THE COURT:
                          All right. All of those received in
10
11
     evidence; is that right?
              MR. EISEMAN: Yes, Your Honor.
12
13
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
                                 Great.
                                          Thank you.
          (Trial Exhibits 332, 333, 346, 770, 803, 1088, 1089,
14
15
           1096, 1353, 1895, 1991, 2116, 3239, 3564, 3574
16
           received in evidence)
17
              THE COURT: I have two more things. Are there any
     depositions that I need to rule on and give to you for
18
19
     tomorrow's use?
                      In other words, do you plan on playing
20
     depositions like we did today with Bares so I can turn my
21
     attention to that?
                          I -- yes, Your Honor. Brian McClendon, we
22
              MR. JUDAH:
23
     are also going to be playing and we have rulings on those.
              THE COURT:
                          What?
24
25
              MR. JUDAH:
                          Brian McClendon, we're also going to be
```

```
playing and I believe you've given us rulings on it.
 1
                          I've already given you ruling on -- are
 2
              THE COURT:
 3
     you sure?
              MR. JUDAH:
                          I believe so.
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          I don't remember doing that.
              MR. JUDAH:
                          Perhaps not. It was handed in along with
 6
     the Bares --
 7
                          Yes, I handed them back, but I did it in
 8
              THE COURT:
 9
     order to get you to do it my way.
              MR. JUDAH:
                          We'll resubmit it.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Work with Angie because I need
     to get it today if I'm going to work on it.
12
          All right. So McClendon will be your only one; right?
13
              MR. PERLSON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15
              THE COURT:
                          Great.
                                  Thank you.
16
          And then for the benefit of the record -- you don't have
17
     to do this today or tomorrow, but remember, you've got to do it
     eventually. For the benefit of the record on appeal, you need
18
     to put in a disk that has the exact deposition video that's
19
20
                   It can't have an extra word or two.
     been played.
                                                         It's got to
21
     be exactly what the jury saw.
          I know you have the ability to do this, but it has to be
22
     done eventually. Otherwise, your record on appeal will be
23
     inadequate or at least a gap in it.
24
25
          All right.
                      I think that's all that I have for -- on my
```

list.

MS. GOODMAN: Your Honor, I mentioned I had one housekeeping matter, which is Waymo disclosed its first expert witness and Uber's cross-exam disclosures would be due this afternoon.

Based on your Honor's comments at the last pretrial conference, we understand documents that the expert did not rely upon would not have to be disclosed as that would be sort of proper impeachment for an expert. We just wanted to clarify that with Your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, if it's for impeachment only.

Now, remember, it won't come into evidence. Just the -the little passage would be -- would be read into the record in
front of the jury. So that's one thing to keep in mind.

But the -- there is a rule -- and I'm -- I'm blanking on it. There are limits on what you can on cross-examination try to impeach the expert with because they did not consider something, and I don't have that clearly in mind right now.

So I just don't want to just give you a blank check to confront the expert with whatever you think happens to help your case. So I -- I don't know. Give me an example so that I can help you understand the problem.

MS. DUNN: So let's say that an expert has an opinion about a fact or about an aspect of this case, but he did not look at exhibits that would be relevant to his opinion.

In my experience, it's possible to use those with the 1 2 experts. They're part of the record in the case or they'll be proved up later. And then the expert can say, you know, no, 3 4 this wouldn't change any opinion or, you know, I didn't 5 actually consider this or whatever. But that does not have to be disclosed. 6 It's not like pulling out things in the world, the broader 7 world at large. 8 Well, all right. It's okay -- there are 9 THE COURT: two parts to the answer. 10 11 It's okay to say to the expert, "Did you consider records within your own client's company on the very subject that you 12 are testifying to today?" 13 Answer, "No." 14 15 And then you feign shock and surprise. 16 And then you say, "What? You didn't ask your own" -- I 17 quess it would be Waymo. "You didn't ask Waymo for that file that would have shown how many -- how quickly they were able to 18 19 solve that problem?" 20 "Uh, no." 21 Now, but for you then to -- and then you could say, "Well, if there were evidence" -- you have to have a good faith 22 23 basis -- "that they solved the problem in five minutes and 14 seconds, would that change your opinion?" 24 25 And he says, "No."

And you say, "Really? Something they could do in five 1 minutes and 14 seconds wouldn't change your opinion? 2 "No." 3 But I -- for you then to parade in front of him a bunch of 4 5 documents that he has not seen and you're going to otherwise be able to get into evidence, I'm not so sure that that -- you can 6 7 go down that path. But you can certainly do the first thing. I think the second path, Your Honor, is 8 MS. DUNN: fairly standard to show the expert things in the case that 9 would bear on his opinion. Our question really is whether we 10 11 need to disclose that or not. THE COURT: Well, are you trying to get it into 12 13 evidence or are you trying just to --No, every -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. 14 MS. DUNN: Is it going to come into evidence later or 15 THE COURT: 16 17 MS. DUNN: Or already in evidence, one or the other. THE COURT: Well, if it's already in evidence -- okay. 18 19 Well, that -- well, you didn't tell me that. 20 If it's already in evidence, then you can use it for impeachment and you don't have to give any disclosure on that 21 22 if it's for true impeachment. But it would have to be something that really does contradict what the witness has 23 It can't be just you're building point by point your 24 overall case-in-chief argument. It's got to be -- let's say 25

```
that -- let's say that the witness says, "In my opinion" --
 1
     well, let's say it's a Waymo witness. And the Waymo witness
 2
     says, "In my opinion, Uber would not have made their milestones
 3
 4
     for 20 years."
                     That's what the witness says. And they
 5
     desperately needed Levandowski to cut that back.
 6
          And you've got an internal document from Waymo that says
 7
     they can do it in three years. And then you -- sure, I'd let
     you do that, but I am not --
 8
              MS. DUNN:
 9
                         This is a --
                          I'm not going to -- I'm just not going to
10
              THE COURT:
11
     allow you to hijack their case with trying to build your own
     argument document by document on things that -- unless it just
12
     cries out that he should have considered it.
13
                         Well, that's -- that's a circumstance, Your
14
              MS. DUNN:
15
     Honor, is that if you have an expert who's rendering an opinion
16
     and that there are exhibits in the case that are in or coming
17
     in and that --
              THE COURT: Well, in or coming in are two different
18
19
     things.
20
                         Well, in a previous hearing you said that
              MS. DUNN:
21
     we could use --
22
                          If you convince me you're going to get it
              THE COURT:
23
     in somehow.
24
              MS. DUNN:
                         Right.
                          But sometimes I hear that and it never
25
              THE COURT:
```

comes in. 1 MS. DUNN: All right. So tabling that for a second. 2 You know, we wouldn't use with the experts exhibits that 3 4 were not germane to the issues that he was opining on, 5 obviously, but I do think that -- that if the expert's going to 6 opine on issues in the case, that we should be able to ask him 7 about evidence in the case that bear on those opinions. **THE COURT:** If you have a document that's already in 8 evidence that directly contradicts a point that is being made 9 by the expert, it's okay with me for you to use that as 10 11 impeachment and not disclose it. But if it's big-firm impeachment, which is a sideswipe and 12 it doesn't directly hit them, I'm going to probably say you're 13 out of luck on that and save it for your own expert. 14 15 MS. DUNN: Your Honor, I --16 THE COURT: But it's got to be clear. It can't be 17 big-firm impeachment. We don't want to do big-firm impeachment. 18 MS. DUNN: 19 And I think the answer may be we'll just disclose. 20 Well, good. THE COURT: 21 MS. DUNN: So there you go. THE COURT: That solved that problem, but you --22 23 still, I -- I'll give you some flexibility to use the kind of impeachment that I -- that I'm talking about. 24 25 MS. DUNN: Thank you.

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          All right. What else do you want to bring
 2
     up with the judge?
                               Nothing from plaintiff, Your Honor.
              MR. VERHOEVEN:
 3
                          How about over there?
              THE COURT:
 4
 5
              MR. CARMODY: Nothing else, Your Honor.
 6
              THE COURT:
                           Great.
                                   So we'll see you at 7:30 in the
 7
     morning.
          Oh, give us a heads up. Who's going to come after
 8
     Mr. Kalanick?
 9
              MR. PERLSON: Mr. McClendon. Mr. McClendon by video
10
11
     will be up, and it's pretty short.
              THE COURT: All right. So I need to get that
12
13
     McClendon thing done today.
          All right, everybody. See you tomorrow. Have a good day.
14
15
          (Whereupon at 1:14 p.m. further proceedings were
16
           adjourned until Wednesday, February 7, 2018 at
17
           7:30 \text{ a.m.}
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX		
2			
3	PLAINTIFF'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
4	FIRITIFF D WIINESDED	PAGE	VOII.
5	DOLGOV, DMITRI	401	2
6	(PREVIOUSLY SWORN) Direct Examination resumed by Mr. Jaffe	421 422 429	
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. González Redirect Examination by Mr. Jaffe Recross-Examination by Mr. González Sealed Direct Examination by Mr. Jaffe		3
8			3
9	Sealed Cross-Examination by Mr. González	472	3
10	BROWN, GARY THOMAS		
11	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Lyons	482 482	3
	Cross-Examination by Mr. González	504	
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Lyons	527	3
13			
14	GROSSMAN, WILLIAM		
15	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Perlson	533 534	
16	Cross-Examination Mr. Gonzàlez	545 553	3
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Perlson	552	3
17			
18	BARES, JOHN	F.C.2	2
19	VIDEOTAPED TESTIMONY	563	3
20	KALANICK, TRAVIS	5.60	
21	(SWORN) Direct Examination by Mr. Verhoeven	569 569	3
22			
23			
24			
25			

1				
2	EXHIBITS			
3	TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	EVID	VOL.
4	1049		446	3
5	1248		446	3
6	1136 - page 10		451	3
7	602 (sealed)		466	3
8	4039 (sealed)		471	3
9	4879		487	3
10	4850		489	3
11	4840		492	3
12	4852		494	3
13	4881		495	3
14	4846		497	3
15	4842		500	3
16	2260		502	3
17	5031		502	3
18	2241		506	3
19	2232		512	3
20	2211		517	3
21	2242		519	3
22	2215		522	3
23	4835		538	3
24	170		565	3
25	171		565	3

1		INDEX		
2		EXHIBITS		
3	TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	EVID	VOL.
4	367		565	3
5	433		565	3
6	459		565	3
7	662		565	3
8	663		565	3
9	668		565	3
10	674		565	3
11	678		565	3
12	682		565	3
13	0387		576	3
14	8002		583	3
15	262		590	3
16	4174		592	3
17	0113		601	3
18	332		611	3
19	333		611	3
20	346		611	3
21	770		611	3
22	803		611	3
23	1088		611	3
24	1089		611	3
25	1096		611	3

1		INDEX		
2		EXHIBITS		
3	TRIAL EXHIBI		EVID	VOL.
4	1353		611	3
5	1895		611	3
6	1991		611	3
7	2116		611	3
8	3239		611	3
9	3564		611	3
10	3574		611	3
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

CERTIFICATE	Ω F	REPORTER

We certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

Llebra X. Pard

Debra L. Pas, CSR 11916, CRR, RMR, RPR

Kathering Sullivan.

Katherine Sullivan, CSR 5812, CRR, RMR
Tuesday, February 6, 2018